

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Business Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jbusres



Digital entrepreneurship research: A systematic review

Justin Paul^{a,b,*}, Ibrahim Alhassan^c, Nasser Binsaif^c, Prakash Singh^c

^a University of Puerto Rico, USA & Visiting Professor-University of Reading, UK

^b Former Faculty Member, University of Washington, USA

^c College of Administrative and Financial Sciences, Saudi Electronic University, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Digital entrepreneurship Enterprise Systematic literature review TCM framework

ABSTRACT

Several extant contemporary works of literature diagnose the lack of sound theoretical underpinnings of the Digital Entrepreneurship phenomenon. This paper provides a systematic literature review offering insights on the Digital Entrepreneurship phenomenon to generate insights into recent developments in the field. A systematic literature review was conducted to enhance the understanding of the phenomenon. Web of Science and Scopus were employed to identify, extract, select, and review related articles using search keywords. Finally, articles from 25 SSCI-indexed journals were selected for this study. This systematic literature review identifies current research paths on digital entrepreneurship categorizing the key findings into themes, contexts, and methodologies using the TCM framework. Finally, we propose a conceptual model that presents how a traditional enterprise can transform into a digital enterprise. The study contributes to the understanding of the conceptualization of Digital Entrepreneurship by laying the groundwork for further research development encouraging researchers to investigate this phenomenon.

1. Introduction

While contemporary studies withstand to highlight the prominence of Digital Entrepreneurship, the chronological terminology of this arena is chronically unnoticed. Digital Entrepreneurship predisposes to be deliberated a new phenomenon regardless of budding in the early 1990s. The continuing articles that defined the phenomenon of Digital Entrepreneurship (Kollmann, 2006; Hull et al., 2007; Davidson & Vaast, 2010; Sussan & Acs, 2017; Nambisan, 2017; Le Dinh et al., 2018) disclosed that this phenomenon was frequently assumed in the same way, although a positive advancement over time can be acknowledged. Kollmann (2006) defined the phenomenon of Digital Entrepreneurship: "E-entrepreneurship refers to establishing a new company with an innovative business idea within the net economy, which, using an electronic platform in data networks, offers its products and/or services based upon a purely electronic creation of value. Essential is the fact that this value offer was only made possible through the development of information technology". Further, Hull et al., (2007) defined this phenomenon: "Digital Entrepreneurship refers to technological advancement with new ways of establishing and performing business (Hull et al., 2007)". Furthermore, Davidson and Vaast (2010) expanded this definition to: "Digital Entrepreneurship as the pursuit of opportunities based on the use of digital media and other information and communication technologies" followed by Sussan and Acs (2017) definition: "Digital Entrepreneurship is the combination of digital infrastructure and entrepreneurial agents within the context of both ecosystems". Additionally, Nambisan (2017) defined it as the platforms, infrastructures, or things that employ computing power on universal public networks are known as digital technologies and the intersection of digital technologies and entrepreneurship. Likewise, Le Dinh et al., (2018) extended this definition: "Digital Entrepreneurship has ascended over technological resources like the Internet and Information & Communications Technologies (ICT)." The development of digital platforms, and related entrepreneurial environments have headed a fresh and theoretically significant perspective for entrepreneurship. The advent of innovative and potent digital technologies, digital platforms and digital infrastructures has disrupted innovation and entrepreneurship in considerable ways. However, the benefits to entrepreneurs associated with their participation in these entrepreneurial environments are well understood, and the associated technological disruptions leading to Digital Entrepreneurship are yet to be studied (Nambisan, 2017; Nambisan et al., 2019; Nambisan & Baron, 2021).

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113507

Received 12 July 2022; Received in revised form 22 November 2022; Accepted 24 November 2022 Available online 30 November 2022 014& 2063 (© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the (

0148-2963/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

E-mail addresses: profjust@gmail.com, Justin.paul@upr.edu, j.paul@reading.ac.uk (J. Paul), ialhassan@seu.edu.sa (I. Alhassan), nbinsaif@seu.edu.sa (N. Binsaif), p.kishore@seu.edu.sa (P. Singh).

With the digital progressions enabled by disruptive technological changes in the global business landscape over the preceding decade, innovative digital technologies had occurred throughout the entrepreneurial progression, which was hunted and then implemented and grasped by entrepreneurs globally (Autio, 2018). Through the usage of novel digital tools, digital capabilities, and skills can be developed to further enable the performance of diverse entrepreneurs. Topical research studies in entrepreneurship and digital innovation have shown that digital technology enables businesses at different phases of their evolution and consequently, Digital Entrepreneurship has advanced into an increasingly important research area and is a contemporary issue with both research and practical implications (Ghezzi & Cavallo, 2020; Kraus et al., 2018; Nambisan, 2017). The digitalization of entrepreneurial processes has helped individuals and organizations to disrupt the boundaries of physical and digital businesses in accomplishing new milestones in the world of business (Huang et al., 2017). Hence, it became very imperative to investigate this phenomenon in more detail by employing a review of related literature using a better research approach.

However, Zaheer et al., (2019) provided insights into recent developments in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship using the metasynthesis method derived from Tranfield et al., (2003). In their study, the three aspects of critical research i.e., insight, critique, and transformative redefinition were applied to investigate and produce the literature in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship. Likewise, Zaheer et al., (2019), and Kraus et al., (2018) reviewed the literature on Digital Entrepreneurship and provided ideas for further research for scholars working in this field of Digital Entrepreneurship. Satalkina and Steiner (2020) conducted a systematic literature review to advance a demanding and thoughtful concept of Digital Entrepreneurship and its role in the transformation of the innovation system. Through the identification of key categories of Digital Entrepreneurship, using a comprehensive Systematic Literature Review based on a PRISMA framework. This study helped to detect the distinctiveness of Digital Entrepreneurship vis-a-vis traditional business mechanisms.

Steininger (2019) conducted research work in Information Systems (IS), Entrepreneurship, and General and Strategic Management (GSM) to produce an outline of the Information Technology-related entrepreneurship research background. Similarly, Anim-Yeboah et al., (2020) contributed to the thoughtful conceptualization of the Digital Entrepreneurship phenomenon using a systematic literature review approach and observed that existing literature typically lacked comprehensive theoretical foundations. More work implementing suitable and recognized theoretical methodologies is anticipated. Conversely, most of the studied papers also concentrated largely on concerns vis-a-vis the technology itself than those about the traditional enterprise.

Given the above-stated literature review lacking comprehensive theoretical foundations, this study aims to address the following research questions:

RQ1: What key themes, study contexts, and methodologies are employed in Digital Entrepreneurship research studies?

RQ2: How has the concept of Digital Entrepreneurship evolved over the years?

RQ3: What are the future research avenues related to Digital Entrepreneurship research studies for scholars working in diverse fields?

To uncover the answers to the above-stated research questions, the objectives of this review were set as (1) accelerate thematic progress on the concept of Digital Entrepreneurship by investigating all its interrelated key themes through extracting, selecting, and reviewing the literature on Digital Entrepreneurship; (2) compile key themes, contexts of studies, and methodologies that are related to the concept of Digital Entrepreneurship; and (3) propose a conceptual model followed by providing ideas for future research avenues for scholars and academicians working in diverse fields.

The paper is organized into seven sections to address our research questions which are as stated. Section 1 offers an overview of this research study along with its purpose and flow of this study. Section 2 presents the conceptual underpinnings and recent debate on Digital Entrepreneurship. Section 3 describes the research approach and the method used for searching relevant articles in databases. Section 4 discusses our findings of the study as identified from the results of reviewing the literature. Section 5 proposes a conceptual model. Section 6 presents the future research avenues and Section 7 outlines the concluding observations of the study.

2. Conceptual underpinnings and recent debate on digital entrepreneurship

This section defines the conceptual underpinnings and recent debate on Digital Entrepreneurship.

With the emergence of industrialization in the early 1840s, individuals and organizations started setting up new types of enterprises. This gave birth to the term 'Entrepreneurship', which was later used in business terminology during the 1980s (Stevenson, 1983). Entrepreneurship as a theory can be defined as the process by which new organizations come into existence. The founder of a new organization is known as an entrepreneur (Gartner, 1989). In other words, an entrepreneur is someone who develops a niche or a business strategy within a market to satisfy the market's needs and wants (Garfield, 1986; Gartner, 1985). Many individuals aspire to be entrepreneurs because they are looking for freedom, independence, and wealth which can be achieved through entrepreneurship. Larger corporations want to become more 'entrepreneurial' because of the innovative and adaptive qualities they see in their smaller and often more successful competitors (Stevenson, 1983). Digitalization is not a new phenomenon for the global business world, it has been transforming traditional businesses into digital businesses using digital technologies through the Internet in previous decades. This led to the birth of the concept of Digital Entrepreneurship, which has been defined as a "sub-category of entrepreneurship in which some or all of what would be physical in a traditional organization has been digitalized". This is inclined to be considered a new phenomenon, despite emerging in the early 1990s (Hull et al., 2007). Undoubtedly, digitalization is not the only response to most of the organizational challenges across the diverse sub-segments and Digital Entrepreneurship rolls over to other businesses enabling them to recover faster from the diverse organizational challenges to become more resilient (Khlystova et al., 2022).

We follow the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach seen in prior reviews (Hassan, Rahman & Paul, 2022; Mariani et al., 2022a; Mariani et al., 2022b; Mariani et al., 2021; Satalkina & Steiner, 2020; Mariani & Borghi, 2019; Kraus et al., 2018; Zaheer et al., 2019). Moreover, the SLR approach was employed in diverse existing studies in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship to produce insights into contemporary advancements in this phenomenon (Zaheer et al, 2019). A few researchers in their study, compiled literature on Digital Entrepreneurship to provide an up-to-date compilation of key topics and methods discussed in the relevant literature and based on the findings of the systematic literature review, researchers proposed a research map pointing to further research opportunities for scholars working in the field (Kraus et al., 2018). In another study, a structured literature review approach employed the identification of key categories of Digital Entrepreneurship and its differentiation from other types of business activities (Satalkina & Steiner, 2020). In most of the ongoing research, the main goal of entrepreneurship-related studies was to uncover the nature of the entrepreneurial landscape and what poses hindrances to it (Nambisan, 2017; McKelvie et al., 2011).

Regardless of existing studies, modern research investigations resist highlighting the significance of Digital Entrepreneurship. In addition to this, the chronological terms and concepts of this arena have repeatedly gone unnoticed. However, the existing research on entrepreneurship has mostly ignored the part played by digital technologies in entrepreneurial quests. Previous research on technology and entrepreneurship

Comparison of the key features of the extant literature reviews

Study	Focus	Findings
Anim-Yeboah et al., 2020	Conceptualization of Digital Entrepreneurship	 Six electronic databases were selected for extracting related articles. Period of publication selected were 2013 to 2018. 101 articles were found related to the research theme. The findings suggest that extant literature mostly lacked sound theoretical underninnings
Kraus et al., 2018	Assessment of relevant academic articles on Digital Entrepreneurship	 35 articles were found related to the research theme.Six streams namely digital business models; Digital Entrepreneurship process; platform strategies; digital ecosystem; entrepreneurship education; and social Digital Entrepreneurship of research that deals with Digital Entrepreneurship were recognized.It was founded that research on Digital Entrepreneurship still appears to be in its embryonic stage
Mariani et al., 2022b	An integrated view of the body of knowledge of artificial intelligence	 Articles were extracted from the Scopus database. 4488 articles were found related to the research theme. Eight clusters were identified: Memory and computational logic, Neural networks, Machine learning, and linguistic analytics, Decision making and cognitive processes, Technology acceptance and adoption, big data and robots, social media and text mining, and social media content analysis. The study has presented a holistic manner of research on AI in disciplinary areas in an exemplary way
Satalkina & Steiner, 2020	Concept of Digital Entrepreneurship and its role in the transformation of the innovation system	 Three core dimensions of the innovation system namely Entrepreneur, Entrepreneurial process, and its relevant ecosystem within Digital Entrepreneurship determinants were identified. Existing literature's systematization is extremely significant for future research directione
Steininger, 2019	Information Systems, Entrepreneurship, and General and Strategic Management	 Articles were extracted from the websites of each journal. Years of publication selected were 1990 to 2017. 292 articles were found related to the research theme. <i>Facilitator; Mediator,</i> <i>Outcome;</i> and Ubiquity were the identified four major roles played by Information Technology in entrepreparial operations
Zaheer et al., 2019	Recent developments in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship	 Application of the three aspects of critical research namely Insight, Critique, and Transformative redefinition

Table 1 (continued)

Study	Focus	Findings
		were employed to present the findings of the study. 133 articles were found related to the research theme. The study disclosed that there is a lack of in-depth study despite the theoretical perspectives and methodolo- gies related to existing studies.

concentrated on entrepreneurship as experienced in digital technology and intensive settings, wherein technology was treated simply as a perspective for experimental research studies (Zupic, 2014; Beckman et al., 2012). Limited efforts have been made to conjecture the role of specific aspects of Digital Entrepreneurship. Hence, it is now essential to explore the concept of Digital Entrepreneurship from a thematic perspective to build a notional consideration of it and to formulate suggestions for how to close the Digital Entrepreneurship gap (Kollmann et al., 2022; Engel, 2015). A better understanding of business and its internationalization through a digital presence is required to learn about the phenomenon of Digital Entrepreneurship in more detail (Nambisan, 2017). Digitalization has helped a lot in conceptualizing entrepreneurship worldwide (Prashantham et al., 2019; Ojala et al., 2018). Digital developments and international entrepreneurship are increasingly relying upon digital platforms (Chen et al., 2019; Brouthers et al., 2016). Legislators, scholars, and researchers have paid attention to the concept of the Digital Ecosystem and the term has been extensively used in academic research (Khlystova et al., 2022). Hence, compelled by the challenges posed by the development of the Digital Entrepreneurship concept, this study seeks to provide a systematic review of the literature on this topic. The comparison of the key features of the extant literature reviews tabulated in Table 1 states the key findings and focus of extant studies.

After comparing the key features of the extant literature reviews tabulated in Table 1 to clarify what other reviews found and how our study is different, it was observed that most of the studies (Mariani et al., 2022b; Satalkina & Steiner, 2020; Zaheer et al., 2019; Kraus et al., 2018) stated in this table had not employed multiple electronic databases for extracting relevant articles. However, in this study, five electronic databases namely Web of Science, SAGE Journals, ScienceDirect, EBSCOhost, and Scopus databases will be used. According to Levy and Ellis (2006), it is better to use multiple databases in conducting literature searches using a systematic literature review approach for research related to multi-disciplinary subjects (Levy & Ellis, 2006). Our selection of five electronic databases is suitable for Digital Entrepreneurship study, which is a multidisciplinary subject. Additionally, it was also found that many of the studies (Mariani et al., 2022a; Satalkina & Steiner, 2020; Anim-Yeboah et al., 2020; Zaheer et al., 2019; Kraus et al., 2018) stated in this table had employed the SLR approach like this research study, but the years of publication selected in their studies were either limited or till 2018. This study will act as an extension to previous studies in many ways as relevant articles in this study will be extracted till 2021 as years of publication. This will further provide better insights into the phenomenon of Digital Entrepreneurship. This study is also different from previous studies as the key findings of the study will be presented using the TCM framework (i.e., T-Themes, C-Contexts, and M-Methodologies). This framework was adapted from the prior reviews (Paul et al., 2017; Paul & Rosado-Serrano, 2019; Mishra et al., 2021) and had not been used by previous studies. This study will identify and describe the main themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in Digital Entrepreneurship research studies. It will also present the results of the review of the literature in form of the key findings and set directions for future research using the TCM framework. None of these



Fig. 1. Steps employed in the SLR approach of Rowley and Slack (2004).

studies proposed future research avenues along with Future Research Questions (FRQ). However, this study will propose future research avenues along with FRQs to further differentiate this study from previous studies. None of these stated studies had extracted relevant articles exclusively from the Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI) indexed journals as inclusion criteria for selection. The goal of this study is to present a review that can serve as a base and one-stop source for offering insights into what has been done in Digital Entrepreneurship, what is currently being done, and what are the future research avenues in Digital Entrepreneurship studies. The next section describes the employed research approach of the study.

3. Research approach

A Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach was employed to fulfill the research objectives of this study following Rowley & Stack and Paul et al. (2021a) (See Fig. 1). The SLR approach is a systematic approach for scanning information or knowledge resources and devising a plan of focus to perform an analysis of literature, including its explanatory aspects, and the details (Paul & Criado, 2020; Paul & Barari, 2022) (See Fig. 1).

The SLR is considered an indispensable approach to synthesize the findings of a given body of research articles (Ginsberg & Venkatraman, 1985; Paul, 2019; Paul & Mas, 2020). In comparison with the research approach concerning the SLRs of Mariani et al., (2021), it was observed that the Bibliometric mapping and the SLR were employed in the field of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in family businesses drawing on the Web of Science (WOS) and the Scopus databases. They systematized their studies into an interpretative framework through the SLR approach by identifying the drivers and outcomes of CSR practices, processes, and strategies in family businesses Mariani et al., (2021). In addition, Mariani et al., (2022a) employed the SLR approach and extracted relevant articles from the Scopus database and presented a cohesive outlook of the body of knowledge vis-a-vis Artificial Intelligence (AI) research (Mariani et al., 2022b).

Furthermore, Mariani et.al (2022b) in one more alike study, followed the SLR methodology proposed by Tranfield et al., (2003) and Williams Jr. et al., (2020). They extracted data from the Web of Science and the Scopus databases. Here, two databases were used were selected as they accumulate an assortment of the most imperative sources of academic

research and scholarly articles in the social sciences field (Vieira & Gomes, 2009). The SLR approach is considered an appropriate tool to systematically assess and evaluate a given body of literature (Tranfield et al., 2003). Thus, helping generate robust research agendas to advance the field (Williams Jr. et al., 2021). Consequently, the SLR approach has been largely adopted in the social sciences (Tranfield et al., 2003) and more specifically in management and entrepreneurship studies to present findings in a relevant and accessible manner to scholars and decision-makers (Williams Jr. et al., 2021; Cubric, 2020; Parris & Peachey, 2013; Crossan & Apaydin, 2010; Pittaway et al., 2004; Tranfield et al., 2003). In addition to Tranfield et al. (2003), Zupic and Čater (2015) provide ideas for a Bibliometric method for mapping research specialties and can reliably connect publications, authors, or journals, identify research sub-streams, and produce maps of published research. Furthermore, as a comprehensive, well-thought-out, and methodical way of precisely consolidating examinations, the SLR is an effective approach to identifying research gaps in the literature (Klassen et al., 1998). In comparison with other conventional literature review approaches, the SLR accommodates a greater diversity of research methods in its approach (Kitchenham & Charters, 2007). This study is not related to the Bibliometric approach and hence, we decided to work with the TCM framework (Paul & Rosado-Serrano, 2019) along with the SLR approach adopted from Rowley and Slack's (2004) study. This study has employed the five steps from Rowley and Slack (2004) study namely, 1. Data collection, 2. Evaluation of the data, searching for and locating information sources, 3. Developing a conceptual model, 4. Collating the research, and 5. Summarizing it (Rowley & Slack, 2004). This approach was indispensable for pinpointing the most prominent Digital Entrepreneurship research studies and defining the key themes, contexts, and methodologies, providing insights for future research avenues in this field of research.

We deployed the SLR approach over other literature review approaches for several reasons: first, the SLR allows to yield holistic conclusions curtailing from a comprehensive, translucent and deliberate approach that supports reproducibility (Williams et al., 2021; Cubric, 2020; Snyder, 2019). Second, in comparison to the narrative literature reviews, the SLR is more objective in nature (Tranfield et al., 2003). Third, by implementing a quantitative approach, the SLR supports finding the current status of research and research gaps in ongoing studies (Snyder, 2019; Tranfield et al., 2003). Hence, we found the SLR

Search strategies employed for extracting articles from electronic databases using a systematic literature review approach.

Name of database: SAGE Journals

Entrepreneurship") AND ("Digital

entrepreneurship Ecosystem*" OR

technolog*" OR "Digital

"Digital platform*")

by most cited

were eliminated.

technolog" OR "Digital

from the search keywords.

"Digital platform")

were eliminated.

Search keywords employed: ("Digital

Inclusion criteria: Refine Search: Sort

Exclusion criteria: Articles not listed in

SSCI-indexed journals and outside the

research scope were excluded. The

articles were also restricted to those

concerning Digital Entrepreneurship,

and thus, all articles concerning policy,

education, and social entrepreneurship

Search keywords employed: ("Digital

Note: As Wildcard symbols such as * are

not accepted over ScienceDirect during

the search process, they were removed

Inclusion criteria: Refine by: Article

type: Research articles; Subject areas:

Business, Management, & Accounting

SSCI-indexed journals and outside the

research scope were excluded. The

articles were also restricted to those

concerning Digital Entrepreneurship,

and thus, all articles concerning policy,

education, and social entrepreneurship

Exclusion criteria: Articles not listed in

Name of database: ScienceDirect

Entrepreneurship") AND ("Digital

entrepreneurship Ecosystem" OR

Name of database: Web of Science Search keywords employed: ("Digital Entrepreneurship") AND ("Digital technolog*" OR "Digital entrepreneurship Ecosystem*" OR "Digital platform*") Inclusion criteria: Document type: Articles: Language: English Exclusion criteria: Articles not listed in SSCI-indexed journals and outside the research scope were excluded. The articles were also restricted to those concerning Digital Entrepreneurship. and thus, all articles concerning policy, education, and social entrepreneurship were eliminated. Name of database: EBSCOhost Search keywords employed: ("Digital Entrepreneurship") AND ("Digital

technolog*" OR "Digital entrepreneurship Ecosystem*" OR "Digital platform*")

Inclusion criteria: Limit to: Full text and scholarly (peer-reviewed) journals; Source types: Academic journals Language: English

Exclusion criteria: Articles not listed in SSCI-indexed journals and outside the research scope were excluded. The articles were also restricted to those concerning Digital Entrepreneurship, and thus, all articles concerning policy, education, and social entrepreneurship were eliminated.

Name of database: Scopus

Search keywords employed: ("Digital Entrepreneurship") AND ("Digital technolog*" OR "Digital entrepreneurship Ecosystem*" OR "Digital platform*") Inclusion criteria: Document type: Articles Language: English; Publication stage: Final: Subject area: Business, Management, & Accounting Exclusion criteria: Articles not listed in SSCI-indexed journals and outside the

research scope were excluded. The articles were also restricted to those concerning Digital Entrepreneurship, and thus, all articles concerning policy, education, and social entrepreneurship were eliminated.

The total number of articles displayed	399
after using the search keywords	
The total number of articles identified	109
after using inclusion and exclusion	
criteria	
The total number of articles extracted	65
after reading	
The total number of duplicate articles not	25
listed in the SSCI-indexed journals	
were removed after reading	
The total number of articles listed in the	40
SSCI-indexed journals selected for the	
final review	

approach fit for addressing the stated research questions of this study. The research approach employed for this study has followed a few guiding articles on how to craft impactful review papers and to develop the knowledge base in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship, this study has taken guidance for designing the research approach of this study (Mariani et al., 2022a; Mariani et al., 2022b; Mariani et al., 2021; Paul et al., 2021a; Paul & Criado, 2020; Rowley & Slack, 2004; Tranfield et al., 2003).

3.1. Study parameters

Qualitative and Quantitative research approach has been used as

output-measuring techniques to enumerate and evaluate the research conducted in Digital Entrepreneurship studies. To ensure that the research study would be more inclusive, it was necessary to account for the use of various terminologies by different authors in the examination of similar concepts, which was premeditated to create the research study more inclusive (Rey-Martí et al., 2016; Merigó et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2010). The study parameters that were employed in this study are presented in Table 2.

3.2. Data extraction

This review is based on the following classification: the names of journals, article titles, authors, year of publication, and key findings. To extract relevant articles, a search was conducted in five electronic databases (see Fig. 2).

3.3. Review protocol

To review the research work, a protocol to document the analysis method was developed and research publication was considered as the inclusion criteria. In this review, five electronic databases were employed namely: Web of Science, SAGE Journals, ScienceDirect, EBS-COhost, and Scopus databases were employed to find relevant articles. We ran a search query in the stated five electronic databases using a combination of the search keywords ("Digital Entrepreneurship") AND ("Digital technolog*" OR "Digital entrepreneurship Ecosystem*" OR "Digital platform*") (adopting the Boolean operators "AND" and "OR") in the fields related to "title," "abstract," and "keywords." We considered works published until December 31, 2021. The exclusion criteria applied included the delimiting of the papers to peer-reviewed research articles. Confining the search to the subject areas "Business, Management, and Accounting", the articles were also restricted to those concerning Digital Entrepreneurship, and thus, all articles concerning policy, education, and social entrepreneurship were eliminated. After the papers had been identified and extracted, they were arranged and cross-checked to remove duplications of articles.

3.4. Data screening

After screening all five selected databases to identify and select relevant articles in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship Research, 399 articles were displayed using the search keywords. After using inclusion and exclusion criteria, 109 articles were identified, of which 65 were extracted. After reading the abstracts, 25 articles were removed due to the duplication of articles, unknown journals, and lack of relevance to the research theme. Finally, 40 articles from 25 journals listed in the Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI) indexed journals were selected as the final sample for the review of the literature (see Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4).

This review is based on 40 articles and here the sample size is relatively small and is indicating the minimum threshold value. However, the rule of thumb related to the minimum threshold value states, "A domain with 40 articles or more indicates that the domain has reached sufficient maturity for review." Consequently, this rule enables our employed Systematic Literature Review approach for this study to make a substantial contribution to the domain of research with the minimum threshold value of 40 articles as the final sample size (Paul & Criado, 2020)

All the selected articles were tabulated in MS Excel to identify the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the research studies to form the basis of the selected literature. All the selected articles were evaluated using MS Excel and four themes were identified as key findings of this study. These findings were further explained in the next section.



Fig. 2. Search and selection procedure for research articles.

4. Key findings: themes, contexts, and methodologies identified in digital entrepreneurship studies

The TCM framework (i.e., *T*-Themes, C-Contexts, and M-Methodologies) was adapted from the prior reviews (Paul et al., 2017; Paul & Rosado-Serrano, 2019; Mishra et al., 2021) and has been used to classify the key findings of this study. Moreover, the TCM framework is indispensable for pinpointing the most prominent Digital Entrepreneurship research studies and defining the key themes, contexts, and methodologies, providing insights for future research avenues in this field of research (Billore & Anisimova, 2021; Paul et al., 2021b; Khlyslova et al., 2022; Hassan, Rahman, Paul; 2022). The key findings of this study were classified in the below sub-sections using this framework.

4.1. Major themes in digital entrepreneurship studies

Digitalization has become a buzzword for the modern business world and brought technological disruptions to the existing business models of organizations. Businesses are now using Information and Communications Technology (ICT) tools, such as artificial intelligence, Chat-bots, mobile applications (apps), social media platforms, Cloud-based services, enterprise resource planning systems, big data and business analytics, Web-based services, and various other Internet-enabled technologies to automate diverse business activities that need substantial human participation. In this section of the study, four major themes have been identified that are found to be widely examined in Digital Entrepreneurship research and allied research contexts.

4.1.1. Digital technologies

Digital technologies have broadened the latitude of entrepreneurial actions by transforming the landscape of entrepreneurial processes and elevating important interrogations at the juncture of entrepreneurship and digital technologies, resulting in Digital Entrepreneurship (Nambisan, 2017). Entrepreneurs started using ICT in digital technology markets, as well as its social capital, to overcome challenges and nurture their businesses. The digital transformation of businesses depended on a few parameters, such as business size, age, industry type, and market competition (Kromidha & Robson, 2021). ICT overpoweringly shaped all types of business activities, such as commercial transactions, business communication, and interactions with clients, suppliers, and target customers. Through the adaptation of diverse digital technologies, there was an increase in Digital Entrepreneurship among firms globally. It further led to an opening up of business prospects for small firms by delivering a competitive edge over large firms (Reuschke et al., 2021). Digital technologies assisted business firms in creating new possibilities.

Hence, it became important to formulate a digital tool or a procedure through which entrepreneurs could handle business uncertainties by leveraging the potential of digital technologies (Vassilakopoulou & Grisot, 2020).

Businesses also acquired cost advantages through the acceptance of digital technologies, and this further resulted in digital interactions instead of traditional interactions (Braune & Dana, 2021). This led to an increase in online businesses globally through the engagement of traditional businesses in the online buying and selling of goods and services. Customers were also able to access online sales support. However, the inclusive digital transformational effects of digital technologies on the landscape and procedures of entrepreneurship were trivial, as small firms traded in an offline mode due to limited capital and technical know-how. There was limited use of digital technologies by businesses for their online business models, especially in the homebased business sector in rural areas. Furthermore, digital technologies also had a significant influence on how new business ventures were conceptualized and established (Reuschke & Mason, 2020; Mariani, 2019). Conversely, the need to identify the key role and significance of Digital Technologies for academic entrepreneurship was observed (Secundo et al., 2020). Although the topic of Digital Entrepreneurship had become more widely known and its potential recognized, there was insufficient literature available on digital technologies and their impact on the entrepreneurial process (Elia et al., 2020). Digital technologies played a key role as resources for entrepreneurial activities and there was significant concentration on the phenomenon of Digital Entrepreneurship. Although considerable research was concentrated on the role of digital technologies in entrepreneurship, and how they were transforming the field, there was relatively little research on the role of digital technologies in Digital Entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurs in developing countries utilized entrepreneurship as a tool to generate employment and income and, in so doing, supported their home countries in tackling poverty, especially in rural populations. Business partners, families, and communities also supported entrepreneurs in overcoming diverse challenges by bridging the business and market gaps. Through the adoption of digital technologies, such as mobile apps, entrepreneurs could strengthen their ties with communities and families, as the use of digital technologies had a positive impact on these relationships. However, business partners' support had no association with entrepreneurial processes (Soluk et al., 2021).

Businesses that were unsuccessful in digital transformation became less stable in comparison with those that were successful. Hence, it became vital for businesses to address Digital Entrepreneurship tactically. This had further compelled businesses to employ an array of ICT tools across multiple use cases, with an ability to be flexible in both the

List of the journals included in the systematic literature review.

S. No.	List of the Journals as final selection	Total no. of articles identified	Total no. of articles extracted	Total no. of articles selected
1	Canadian Journal of	2	2	2
2	International Entrepreneurship and	3	3	2
3	Management Journal Small Business Economics	12	10	5
4	Journal of Business Besearch	15	11	3
5	Technological Forecasting and Social	22	12	5
6	Technology Analysis & Strategic Management	3	1	1
7	International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Besearch	3	1	1
8	Journal of Strategic	8	4	2
9	International Business	2	1	1
10	European Journal of	2	0	0
11	Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice	2	1	1
12	Organization	1	1	1
13	Creativity and Innovation Management	4	1	1
14	Business Horizons	2	1	0
15	International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management	1	0	0
16	Journal of Business Venturing	2	1	1
17	International Journal of Emerging Markets	1	0	0
18	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications	2	1	0
19	Management Decision	1	0	0
20	International Marketing Review	2	1	1
21	Information & Management	2	1	1
22	Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing	1	0	0
23	Journal of Small Business Management	1	0	0
24	Futures	4	3	3
25	International Journal of Information Management	1	1	1
26	Technology in Society	2	1	1
27	Cities	1	1	1
28	Entrepreneurship & Regional Development	1	1	1
29	Journal of Management Studies	1	1	1
30	Information Systems Journal	2	1	1
31	Tourism Review	1	1	1
32	International Journal of Cultural Studies	1	1	1
33	International Small Business Journal	1	1	1
	Total	109	65	40

adoption and usage of digital technology (Arvidsson & Monsted, 2018). New digital business firms were frequently established on the foundation of innovative business models that distinguished them from industry rivalries and determined whether the business model was suitable or not. Entrepreneurs in their digital entrepreneurial endeavors

Table 4Authors of the selected articles.

S. No.	List of Author (s)
1	Ahsan & Musteen, 2021
2	Arvidsson & Monsted, 2018
3	Braune & Dana, 2021
4	Dong, 2019
5	Du et al., 2018
6	Dy, 2019
7	Elia et al., 2020
8	Fossen & Sorgner, 2021
9	Griva et al., 2021
10	Gupta & Bose, 2019
11	Huang et al., 2020
12	Khan et al., 2021
13	Kraus et al., 2018
14	Kromidha & Robson, 2021
15	Kuester et al., 2018
16	Ladeira et al., 2019
17	Leong et al., 2020
18	Leung & Cossu, 2019
19	Luo & Chan, 2021
20	Mariani, 2019
21	Martinez Dy et al., 2018
22	McAdam et al., 2020
23	Murthy & Madhok, 2021
24	Nambisan, 2017
25	Nambisan & Baron, 2021
26	Park et al., 2021
27	Reuschke et al., 2021
28	Reuschke & Mason, 2020
29	Sahut et al., 2021
30	Schiavone et al., 2020
31	Schückes & Gutmann, 2021
32	Secundo et al., 2020
33	Shaheer & Li, 2020
34	Soluk et al., 2021
35	Song, 2019
36	Sussan & Acs. 2017
37	Taylor-Wesselink & Teulon, 2022
38	Vadana et al., 2019
39	Vassilakopoulou & Grisot 2020
40	Wilk et al 2021

focus on implementing multiple business models by utilizing innovative digital technologies before settling upon a particularly viable business model with the support of innovative digital platforms (Gupta & Bose, 2019).

4.1.2. Digital platforms

A "Digital Platform is a shared, common set of services and Information Technology architecture that aids to host complementary offerings, including digital artifacts" (Nambisan & Baron, 2021). Examples of digital platforms are Apple's iOS (a mobile app system that runs on Apple smartphones), Google's Android (that enables mobile apps to run on their particular company's smartphones), Amazon Web Services (that offers digital tools and digital platforms to start-ups), Microsoft Azure (digital tools and digital platforms for small-sized enterprises), Google Clouds (digital tools and digital platforms for small-sized enterprises as well as start-ups), and Ford's SYNC 3 (that hosts integrated communication, navigation, and entertainment apps in cars). Entrepreneurs across the world had developed business opportunities using diverse digital tools and digital platforms and offered their products and services to target markets more effectively by delivering better quality products and services (Park et al., 2021; Nambisan & Baron, 2021). Digital platforms and their associated digital ecosystems also delivered countless benefits by providing a new and economically favorable entrepreneurship environment for entrepreneurs (Nambisan & Baron, 2021). Additionally, digital technologies had started to disrupt traditional business models, and existing businesses that were unable to adapt were outflanked. Studies also revealed that traditional businesses were at risk due to the increase in digital platforms and the potential to alter old

Major themes in digital entrepreneurship studies.

Themes	No. of articles identified	%	Exemplary studies
Digital technologies	12	30.00	Reuschke et al.,2021; Kromidha & Robson, 2021; Braune & Dana, 2021; Soluk et al., 2021; Vassilakopoulou & Grisot, 2020; Reuschke & Mason, 2020; Elia et al., 2020; Secundo et al., 2020; Gupta & Bose, 2019; Mariani, 2019; Arvidsson & Monsted, 2018; Nambisan, 2017
Digital platforms	07	17.50	Nambisan & Baron, 2021; Khan et al., 2021; Taylor-Wesselink & Teulon, 2022; Ahsan & Musteen, 2021; Park et al., 2021; Murthy & Madhok, 2021; Shaheer & Li, 2020
Digital transformation of businesses	17	42.50	Wilk et al., 2021; Griva et al., 2021; Schückes & Gutmann, 2021; Luo & Chan, 2021; Sahut et al., 2021; McAdam et al., 2020; Leong et al., 2020; Schiavone et al., 2020; Leung & Cossu, 2019; Vadana et al., 2019; Ladeira et al., 2019; Dong, 2019; Dy, 2019; Kraus et al., 2018; Du et al., 2018; Martinez Dy et al., 2018; Kuester et al., 2018
Digital ecosystems	04	10.00	Huang et al.,2020; Fossen & Sorgner, 2021; Song, 2019; Sussan & Acs, 2017

Note: The reported frequencies were based on the most appropriate studies from 40 selected articles.

business models. On the other hand, digital tools and digital platforms were nurturing entrepreneurship by supporting entrepreneurs (Khan et al., 2021; Shaheer & Li, 2020).

Entrepreneurs networked with other digital platform users to seek new business opportunities for developing and managing their businesses at different stages (Taylor-Wesselink & Teulon, 2022). In this way, digital platforms assisted businesses in achieving cost advantages through the timely matching of market demands, shaping digital social interactions, and adding value by contributing to the growth of existing businesses through economic development. The innovative features of digital platforms made them the foundation of the Digital Entrepreneurship ecosystem and the knowledge generated by these aided entrepreneurs in diverse business activities in the long run. Digital platforms and their associated ecosystems also created Digital Entrepreneurship (Nambisan & Baron, 2021). Despite the popularity and global dissemination of digital platforms, there was a limited amount of literature available uncovering their underlying potential and role in the creation of Digital Entrepreneurship (Ahsan & Musteen, 2021; Murthy & Madhok, 2021).

4.1.3. Digital transformation of businesses

With the global advancement in the acceptance and adoption of digital technologies and digital platforms, these technological disruptions had a great impact on traditional businesses, entrepreneurship, and the existing business models in developing countries, such as India, Russia, China, Mexico, Indonesia, Malaysia, Turkey, Thailand, and South Africa. The impact of Digital Entrepreneurship on business situations in developing economies had altered traditional businesses, which started transforming into digital businesses with the knowledge of entrepreneurs who played a key role. Digital businesses implemented innovative digital technologies to advance their business set-ups and formulate new and original digital business models, and hone business intelligence by employing it with existing and potential customers and

Table 6

Contexts investigated in digital entrepreneurship studies.

Context (country or region)	No. of studies identified	%	Exemplary studies
Canada	01	02.50	Braune & Dana, 2021
China	05	12.50	Luo & Chan, 2021; Park et al., 2021;
			Huang et al., 2020; Kraus et al.,
			2018; Du et al., 2018
European Union	01	02.50	Khan et al., 2021
Finland	01	02.50	Vadana et al., 2019
France	02	05.00	Sahut et al., 2021; Schiavone et al.,
			2020
Germany	02	05.00	Schückes & Gutmann, 2021; Kuester
			et al., 2018
Greece	01	02.50	Griva et al., 2021
India	02	05.00	Soluk et al., 2021; Gupta & Bose,
			2019
Indonesia	01	02.50	Leong et al., 2020
Italy	03	07.50	Fossen & Sorgner, 2021; Elia et al.,
			2020; Secundo et al., 2020
Netherland	01	02.50	Dong, 2019
Norway	02	05.00	Vassilakopoulou & Grisot, 2020;
			Arvidsson & Mønsted, 2018
Portugal	01	02.50	Ladeira et al., 2019
Saudi Arabia	01	02.50	McAdam et al., 2020
Scotland	03	07.50	Reuschke et al., 2021; Reuschke &
			Mason, 2020, Dy, 2019
Taiwan and Thailand	01	02.50	Leung & Cossu, 2019
Trinidad and	01	02.50	Taylor-Wesselink & Teulon, 2022
TIK	03	07 50	Kromidha & Robson 2021: Mariani
UK	05	07.50	2019: Martinez Dy et al. 2018
USA	05	12 50	Nambisan & Baron, 2021: Absan &
00/1	00	12.50	Musteen 2021: Song 2019: Suscen
			& Acc. 2017: Nambican 2017
Not specified	03	07 50	Wilk et al 2021: Murthy & Madbak
not specified	00	07.50	2021: Shaheer & Li. 2020

Note: The reported frequencies were based on the most appropriate studies from 40 selected articles.

stakeholders using digital tools and digital platforms. This led to the digitalization of traditional businesses, products, and services, as well as associated entrepreneurial activities by creating digital ecosystems by transforming traditional businesses into digital businesses and the Internet played a key role in this makeover (Sahut et al., 2021; Leung & Cossu, 2019). Little research was done on the emergence of businesses that were increasingly becoming involved in Digital Entrepreneurship with the digitalization of the primary and support activities of the business value chain and how digital transformation had empowered traditional businesses (Schückes & Gutmann, 2021; Vadana et al., 2019; Dy et al., 2018).

In a progressively digital world, almost every transaction could now be done through a smartphone, tablet, laptop, or personal computer and even the application of digital technologies and digital platforms had brought several benefits to businesses, society, and communities at large. However, the factors contributing to the success of the digital transformation of businesses and its inter-relations were still blurred (Schückes & Gutmann, 2021; Ladeira et al., 2019). The digital had posed enormous societal consequences beyond its business implications (Leong et al., 2020). There were also positive digital sentiments connecting digital technology, digital platforms, digital ecosystems, and industry with entrepreneurs in the promotion of their existing businesses, and, more broadly, the role of digital technologies and digital platforms in global business transformation became very imperative. However, negative digital sentiments indicated that the support of young people would be needed for digital transformation in the form of digital entrepreneurs focusing on youth regarding business strategy, digital leadership, and digital business management.

Although there were issues that businesses sought to overcome,



Fig. 3. Geographical contexts investigated in Digital Entrepreneurship research studies.

 Table 7

 Methodologies Investigated in Digital Entrepreneurship studies.

Methodologies	No. of studies identified	%	Exemplary studies
Quantitative approach	19	47.50	Nambisan & Baron, 2021; Khan et al., 2021; Taylor-Wesselink & Teulon, 2022; Soluk et al., 2021; Fossen & Sorgner, 2021; Luo & Chan, 2021; Kromidha & Robson, 2021; Schückes & Gutmann, 2021; McAdam et al., 2020; Vassilakopoulou & Grisot, 2020; Leong et al., 2020; Reuschke & Mason, 2020; Gupta & Bose, 2019; Leung & Cossu, 2019; Vadana et al., 2019; Ladeira et al., 2019; Dong, 2019; Arvidsson & Monsted, 2018; Martinez Dy et al., 2018
Qualitative approach	17	42.50	Braune & Dana, 2021; Ahsan & Musteen, 2021; Reuschke et al., 2021; Sahut et al., 2021; Shaheer & Li, 2020; Elia et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020; Schiavone et al., 2020; Secundo et al., 2020; Song, 2019; Dy, 2019; Mariani, 2019; Ahsan & Kuester et al., 2018; Kraus et al., 2018; Du et al., 2018; Sussan & Acs, 2017; Nambisan. 2017
Mixed approach	04	10.00	Wilk et al., 2021; Griva et al., 2021; Park et al.,2021; Murthy & Madhok, 2021

Note: The reported frequencies were based on studies included in the review.

similar changes stirred among digital entrepreneurs and social media users who were keen to understand, share, and learn from success and failure stories, as this was one of the most significant and impressive practices of entrepreneurship (Wilk et al., 2021). However, most digital start-ups fail before they can realize their potential to accomplish business growth. Moreover, what was required to accomplish business growth was highly dissimilar for digital start-ups compared with more traditional established businesses (Griva et al., 2021; Martinez Dy et al., 2018). The digital transformation had an evolving phenomenon in this digital age. When the literature started to investigate this phenomenon, attention was mainly paid to digital start-ups in developing markets. However, it was undecided exactly how a new start-up might digitally alter a business through entrepreneurial actions and digital innovations to meet business challenges and deal with the regulations that exist in highly regulatory business settings (Dong, 2019).

Digital innovation was the latest trend in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship and start-ups were adopting it to gain competitive advantages over their rivals. This caused both male and female entrepreneurs to focus more on their digital entrepreneurial journey. In addition, the rise of digital infrastructure had led to women's empowerment. Women's entrepreneurial activities and spaces are mutually sustaining. However, feminist geography research on entrepreneurship was underexplored, especially at the place of work, and the socialization of gender distinctiveness led to a gendered digital entrepreneurial process. Digital innovation was often underlined as a tool for socially sustainable economic development and the gendering of digital transformation sought further detailed probing (Luo & Chan, 2021; Du et al., 2018; Kuester et al., 2018). Moreover, digitalization was labeled as a great leveler in terms of the entrepreneurial journey of women. However, little was known about the business possibilities offered by digital innovation and transformation for women who were controlled by sociocultural practices. Women in Saudi Arabia, for example, used digital resources to renovate their epitomized identities and survived actualities rather than to outflow gender personification as offered by the digital environment (McAdam et al., 2020). All these occurrences in the digital business environment ignited the interest of academics and practitioners to explore this phenomenon further to uncover how entrepreneurs established digital start-ups to commercialize their innovations through digitalization (Schiavone et al., 2020; Kraus et al., 2018; Martinez Dy et al., 2018). Digital skills and knowledge played a vital role in the incorporation of digital businesses with a better understanding of digital tools, digital platforms, and digital ecosystems for growth-oriented Digital Entrepreneurship through the digital transformation of businesses globally (Luo & Chan, 2021; Du et al., 2018).

4.1.4. Digital ecosystems

Digital Entrepreneurship was considered a part of various digital entrepreneurial ecosystems, as it had been offering a digital environment for the smooth operation of diverse entrepreneurial activities. The digital ecosystem framework comprised four key concepts: (1) Digital Entrepreneurship, (2) infrastructure governance, (3) digital user citizenship, a diverse group of users distinguished by their primary activity as either consumers or producers and (4) a digital marketplace. However, a noteworthy gap occurred in the conceptualization of entrepreneurship in this contemporary digital era and, by investigating the ongoing digital innovations, the gaps could be filled (Sussan & Acs,



Fig. 4. Conceptual Model of Digital Entrepreneurship.

2017). Although digital innovation was becoming increasingly important in today's economy, many digital innovations were established not only inside businesses but also in innovation-led entrepreneurial ecosystems, in which various entrepreneurship-related stakeholders collaborate. Regardless of its importance, studies on digital ecosystems were limited, and the concept was largely unexplored (Huang et al., 2020). Although digital innovation was able to engineer an unexpected expansion of entrepreneurial start-ups, a few challenging business problems, and the ongoing Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic catastrophe had increased the need to explore how technological digital innovation and human capital could be successfully combined to shape a robust socio-technical and digital ecosystem. The literature on entrepreneurial ecosystems began to reveal business network relationships between different stakeholders, as well as the role of context in diverse sectoral backdrops (Huang et al., 2020). In the context of the sports industry, in-depth interviews with football stakeholders in the sports entrepreneurship ecosystem led to an understanding of the occurrence of start-ups in the field of online or digital sports. It was found that the disruptive nature of digital ecosystems had a direct impact on new technological advances and hence different stakeholders, especially digital sports entrepreneurs, had to keep an eye on the ongoing transformations in the landscapes of digital sports. As part of this endeavor, digital entrepreneurial skills and digital competence might play a key role. Furthermore, in the 21st century, entrepreneurial skills and digital competence have been identified as fundamental elements of education, are transversal competencies in university degrees, and contribute to being a successful entrepreneur (Song, 2019). Hence, entrepreneurs must fill two key roles in digital ecosystems: either as an ecosystem member and a new digital venture, a start-up leader, or both. These roles are repeatedly mismatched and have, therefore, been causing role conflict and high levels of stress among entrepreneurs. This further reduces

digital start-up performance by interfering with entrepreneurs' enactment of their key entrepreneurial activities in digital ecosystems in their journey to the world of digital business (Fossen & Sorgner, 2021).

Based on the results of the review of the literature, a summary table was prepared that describes the key findings identified in this study (see Table 10 in the Appendix). The four key themes employed in Digital Entrepreneurship research studies referred to above were synthesized to show the total number of articles identified for each theme. These exemplary studies were referenced in Table 5.

The reported frequencies indicated that the theme 'Digital technologies' was employed in 30.00 % of the selected articles, the theme 'Digital platforms' was employed in 17.50 % of the selected articles, the theme 'Digital transformation of businesses' was employed in 42.50 % of the selected articles, and the theme 'Digital ecosystems' was employed in 10.00 % of the selected articles.

4.2. Contexts identified in digital entrepreneurship studies

The geographical contexts of the research related to Digital Entrepreneurship studies considered in the review were tabulated to show the names of the countries and the total number of articles identified for each. These exemplary studies had been referenced in Table 6.

Based on the geographical contexts of this study, USA and China were in the first spot. Research studies in the USA context were specially conducted on key themes namely, Digital technologies, Digital platforms, and Digital ecosystems whereas in the geographical context of China, studies were conducted on key themes namely, Digital transformation of businesses, Digital platforms, and Digital ecosystems. However, Italy, Scotland, and the U.K were in the second spot based on the geographical contexts of this study. Surprisingly, Digital technologies and the Digital transformation of businesses were found to be the



Fig. 5. Digital Entrepreneurship Orientation Cycle.

common themes investigated in the geographical contexts of these three countries.

Geographical contexts were not specified in some studies. France, Germany, India, and Norway were simultaneously in the third spot based on the geographical contexts in prior studies. Digital technologies, Digital platforms, Digital transformation of businesses, and Digital ecosystems were among the common themes of their respective studies. Other geographical contexts of identified studies on the common themes of their respective studies were related to countries such as Canada, European Union, Finland, Greece, Indonesia, Netherlands, Portugal, Saudi Arabia, etc. In nutshell, most of the research studies were conducted in the geographical context of the American and Asian continents, followed by Europe and African continents. There were no research studies observed in the Australian continent's geographical contexts, however, it might be possible that a few research studies under the not specified category may be related to the Australian continent (see Table 6 and Fig. 3).

4.3. Methodologies identified in digital entrepreneurship studies

The methodologies employed in the studies relating to Digital Entrepreneurship research were synthesized and shown in Table 7.

The reported frequencies indicated that the quantitative approach was employed in 47.50 % of the selected articles and the qualitative approach was taken in 42.50 % of the selected articles, whereas the mixed approach was used only in 10.00 % of the selected articles. The next section of the study presents the conceptual model based on the review of the literature.

5. Conceptual model

A conceptual model of Digital Entrepreneurship is proposed in this section (based on the review) which would further assist traditional enterprises in their digital transformational journey into Digital enterprises (see Fig. 4).

This model consists of six steps namely: 1. Digital Knowledge Base Creation, 2. Digital Technology Adoption, 3. Digital Platform Readiness, 4. Digitalization Process (4.1 Business Process Transformation, 4.2 Business Resources Transformation, and 4.3 Business Model Transformation), 5. Transition to Digital Ecosystem, and 6. Successful Digital Transformation of Traditional Enterprise into Digital Enterprise. Using these six steps, a Traditional enterprise can go digital. It was observed in extant studies that most developed countries had been conducting several research studies related to Digital Entrepreneurship (Nambisan & Baron, 2021; Huang et al., 2020; Nambisan, 2017). Most countries from the American and European continents have started shifting from traditional business to digital business by employing Digital Entrepreneurship through the adoption of digital technologies and the readiness of digital platforms. However, in this digital era, global business landscapes have been witnessing a drastic and disruptive technological transformation due to alterations in the digital ecosystem of businesses globally, so businesses must keep an eye on all such occurrences to be in the race in the long run (see Fig. 4).

In addition to the conceptual model, we have further designed a Digital Entrepreneurship Orientation Cycle which is based on the review of the literature and is created to explain the transition from traditional entrepreneurship to Digital Entrepreneurship (see Fig. 5).

In the next section, future research avenues have been tabulated and proposed for further investigation by scholars, researchers, and academicians.

6. Future research avenues

Entrepreneurial models and practices have undergone major changes due to the problems caused by COVID 19 Pandemic (Gordon-Wilson, 2021; Yap et al., 2021; Rayburn et al., 2021: Kursan Milaković, 2021; Th

Di

Table 8

s.

No.

2

Di

Key research themes identified for future research studies.

in themes racitmed re	/ future research studies.	s.	Them
emes	Key research themes for future research studies	No.	
gital technologies	 Further research investigation is desirable to observe the stimulus of digital technology on entrepreneurship in diverse geographical and sectoral contexts (Huang et al., 2020). How Digital technologies and Digital infrastructure can be external enablers of new venture formation & development (Huang et al., 2020; Nambisan, 2017). There is a need for further investigation into 	4	Digit
gital platforms	 the ways a business can gain benefits from opportunities arising from the use of digital technologies (Vassilakopoulou & Grisot, 2020). There is a need for more research that focuses on a few parameters, such as business size, age, industry type, and market competition to investigate the impact of digital transformation of traditional businesses in diverse geographical and sectoral contexts (Kromidha & Robson, 2021). Although digital platforms have become the need of the hour for global businesses as an important tool for entrepreneurs, little empirical research exists on how entrepreneurs connect using digital platforms to meet their business goals. 		Othe
	Further probing is required (Taylor- Wesselink & Teulon, 2022).In the Digital platform, platform sponsors & complementors co-create value in its eco- systems. The way a digital platform ecosystem occurs in the embryonic stage, especially in a situation where value co- creation encompasses fascinating com- plementors to their platform sought further investigation (Murthy & Madhok, 2021).After exam- ining the perceptions depicted in Digital Entrepreneurship literature, there is a need to identify the fundamental spatial and chronological dimensions of digital crowd- funding platforms (Ahsan & Musteen, 2021).	Nayal o prefer et al., 2 Arora & In t 2021; 1 Singh & tabulat academ concep implica Foll	et al., digital 2022; F & Paul his see Nanda & Paul ed sugnicians t of D ations
		20211	HINDA

3 Digital transformation of businesses Digital transformation is of great relevance as digital technological developments and advances in digital infrastructure have created countless business opportunities for entrepreneurs. Very little research has been undertaken to understand the opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of the digital transformation of businesses. More detailed studies would support existing and budding entrepreneurs in their entrepreneurial journey in the transformation of traditional business to digital business (Kraus et al., 2018). The growing ease of access to digital technologies has had an impact on the scope and nature of entrepreneurship activities and, through the Internet and digital platforms such as social media, entrepreneurs have been empowered to reach larger markets across geographical boundaries with potential impacts on the economic outcomes of their businesses. Therefore, there is a need to elucidate the key issues and challenges of businesses in their digital transformational journey (Vadana et al., 2019; Dy et al., 2018).In

(vadana et al., 2019; Dy et al., 2018).In contrast with the traditional practices of entrepreneurship, entrepreneurs in digital businesses have been struggling a great deal. Further studies could be conducted to identify the impact of digital transformation

Table 9	(continued)	
Table 8	(communed)	

S. No.	Themes	Key research themes for future research studies
4	Digital ecosystems	 on the existing business models of traditional businesses in diverse geographical and industrial settings (Sahut et al., 2021; Leung & Cossu, 2019). Although the benefits delivered by digital platforms and their digital ecosystems to entrepreneurs are known, there is still a need to investigate further the related
	Other themes	 expenditures and shortcomings of digital ecosystems faced by entrepreneurs in diverse industry and geographical settings (Nambisan & Baron, 2021).Further studies can be conducted to identify how Digital ecosystems survive and grow over a period (Murthy & Madhok, 2021).Further studies to identify digital ecosystems and digital business factors are also recommended (Song, 2019; Sussan & Acs, 2017). Further investigation could be undertaken to identify the role of digital transformation in the orientation of Digital Entrepreneurship (Kromidha & Robson, 2021; Arvidsson & Monsted, 2018).Studies related to digital entrepreneurial intents, digital business, digital skills, digital strategies, and digital ecosystems are also recommended (Fossen & Sorgner, 2021).

Nayal et al., 2021). For example, most business owners and managers prefer digital entrepreneurship and digital marketing these days (Arya et al., 2022; Hemsley-Brown, 2022; Chakraborty & Paul, 2022; Purohit, Arora & Paul, 2022; Chopdar et al., 2022; Basu, Paul & Singh, 2022).

In this section of the article, following classic reviews (Södergren, 2021; Nanda & Banerjee, 2021; Hassan, Rahman & Paul, 2022: Kajol, Singh & Paul, 2022; Srivastava et al., 2022), key research themes were tabulated suggesting further investigation by scholars, researchers, and academicians to add value to the body of knowledge related to the concept of Digital Entrepreneurship for better understanding and its implications in real-world settings (see Table 8).

Following prior reviews (Mariani & Borghi, 2019; Mariani et al., 2021; Hungara & Nobre, 2021; Thomas & Gupta, 2022), we provide a detailed agenda for future research by outlining some promising Future Research Questions (FRQs). The selected opportunities for future research in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship have been summarized based on the knowledge gaps (See Table 9) and pinpointed predominantly thought-provoking future research questions for further investigation.

The key Future Research Questions (FRQs) for the research gap themes are proposed based on the findings of the study for further investigation. First, our SLR highlights some important knowledge gaps about the theme of digital technologies and their application in businesses. Along with this, the key themes, contexts, and methodologies associated with the study of digital technologies (Kromidha & Robson, 2021; Vassilakopoulou & Grisot, 2020; Huang et al., 2020; Nambisan, 2017). Second, we need to know how digital platforms are beneficial for businesses in achieving their business goals and the key themes, contexts, and methodologies associated with the study of digital platforms related studies (Taylor-Wesselink & Teulon, 2022; Murthy & Madhok, 2021; Ahsan & Musteen, 2021). Third, it becomes very important to uncover the impact of digital transformation on businesses and the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario in the light of digital transformation. While investigating this theme, the key themes, contexts, and methodologies associated with the digital transformation of businesses are recommended for detailed probing (Sahut et al., 2021; Leung & Cossu, 2019; Vadana et al., 2019; Dy et al., 2018; Kraus et al., 2018).

Directions for future research in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship based on the SLR.

Theme 1: Digital technologiesRQ1: What are the key digital technologies used by businesses for transition to digital port the creation of a new venture and transform existing businesses into digital benefits from opportunities arising from the use of digital technologies during their transform existing businesses?RQ3: In what ways a business can gain benefits from opportunities arising from the use of digital technologies during their transformation from the traditional enterprise to the digital transformation and internationalization of businesses?Theme 2: Digital platformsRQ1: What are the impacts of digital technologies during their transformation and internationalization of businesses?Theme 3: Digital platformsRQ1: What are the key digital platforms used by businesses in diverse geographical of digital platforms to go digital? RQ3: What are the key digital platforms used by businesses in diverse geographical or digital platforms for modigital? RQ3: What are the key digital platforms to go digital? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for modigital transformation of the digital transformation of traditional businesses?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for modigital transformation?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship pandemicRQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study to digital ecosystem from the global businesse?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship?RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study to digital ecosystem?Other	Research Gap Themes	Future Research Questions (FRQs)
enterprises?RQ2: In what ways digital technologies can support the creation of a new venture and transform existing businesses into digital businesses?RQ3: In what ways a business can gain benefits from opportunities arising from the use of digital enterprise?RQ4: What are the impacts of digital enterprise to the digital enterprise?RQ5: What is current status of acceptance and adoption of digital technologies exceptance and adoption of digital technologies among traditional businesses?Theme 2: Digital platformsRQ5: What is current status of acceptance and adoption of digital technologies on the study of digital?Theme 3: Digital transformation of businesseRQ1: What are the key digital platforms to go digital?Theme 4: Digital cransformation of businesseRQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital Platforms for the digital transformation?Theme 4: Digital cosystemsRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital Platforms or go digital?Theme 4: Digital cosystemsRQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success for allore of digital transformation?RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of bigital ecosystems?Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the global businesse?Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship in the igital cosystem of the digital cosystem?Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicTheme 7: Digital EntrepreneurshipTheme 6: Digital EntrepreneurshipTheme 7: Digital Entrepreneurship <t< td=""><td>Theme 1: Digital technologies</td><td>RQ1: What are the key digital technologies used by businesses for transition to digital</td></t<>	Theme 1: Digital technologies	RQ1: What are the key digital technologies used by businesses for transition to digital
RQ3: In what ways a business can gain benefits from opportunities arising from the use of digital technologies during their transformation from the traditional enterprise to the digital technologies and internationalization of businesses? RQ5: What is current status of acceptance and adoption of digital technologies anong traditional businesses globally for sustainable business development? RQ0: What is current status of acceptance and adoption of digital technologies among traditional businesses globally for sustainable business development? RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ3: What are the key digital platforms used by businesses in diverse geographical contexts? RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ3: What are the key digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses?Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global businesse?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital lecosystems?Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital lecosystems from the global businesse??Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital lecosystems of digital businesse??Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies emplo		enterprises? RQ2: In what ways digital technologies can support the creation of a new venture and transform existing businesses into digital businesses?
benefits from opportunities arising from the use of digital technologies during their transformation from the traditional enterprise to the digital anterprise? RQ4: What are the impacts of digital technologies on the digital transformation and internationalization of businesses? RQ5: What is current status of acceptance and adoption of digital technologies annog traditional businesses globally for sustainable business development?Theme 2: Digital platformsRQ1: What are the key digital platforms sustainable business development? RQ1: What are the key digital platforms to go digital? RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study 		RQ3: In what ways a business can gain
Theme 2: Digital platformsTheme 2: Digital platformsTheme 2: Digital platformsRQ3: What is current status of acceptance and adoption of digital technologies among traditional businesses? RQ0: What are the key digital platforms usustinable business development?Theme 2: Digital platformsRQ1: What are the key digital platforms usustinable business development? RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses? RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses?Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the impacts of digital transformation on the business? RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies molyoed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship pandemicRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship pandemicRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship RQ3: Wha		benefits from opportunities arising from
Theme 2: Digital platformsenterprise to the digital enterprise? RQ4: What are the impacts of digital technologies on the digital transformation and internationalization of businesses? RQ5: What is current status of acceptance and adoption of digital technologies among traditional businesses globally for sustainable business development?Theme 2: Digital platformsRQ1: What are the key digital platforms used by businesses in diverse geographical contexts? RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses?Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the impacts of digital transformation of traditional businesses? RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global businesse considering digital transformation?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital ransformation of businesses? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital coosystems?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital EntrepreneurshipRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employe		transformation from the traditional
RQ4: What are the impacts of digital technologies on the digital transformation and internationalization of businesses? RQ5: What is current status of acceptance and adoption of digital technologies among traditional businesses globally for sustainable business development? RQ1: What are the key digital platforms used by businesses in diverse geographical contexts? RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses?Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation? RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital ecosystems?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ1: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship? PandemicRQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What are the ke		enterprise to the digital enterprise?
and internationalization of businesses?RQ5: What is current status of acceptance and adoption of digital technologies among traditional businesses globally for sustainable business development?Theme 2: Digital platformsRQ1: What are the key digital platforms used by businesses in diverse geographical contexts?RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital?RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital?Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the key dipendent of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ1: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses?Theme 5: Digital ecosystemsRQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystem from the global business of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship?RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes: Theme 6: Dig		RQ4: What are the impacts of digital technologies on the digital transformation
RQ5: What is current status of acceptance and adoption of digital technologies among traditional businesses globally for sustainable business development?Theme 2: Digital platformsRQ1: What are the key digital platforms used by businesses in diverse geographical contexts?RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses?Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the impacts of digital transformation on the business? RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation? RQ3: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ1: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems and their associated behaviors that led to the success or failure of digital businesses?Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses? RQ2: What are the key contexts, and methodologies entipoleved in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship? in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital businesse?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship? <br< td=""><td></td><td>and internationalization of businesses?</td></br<>		and internationalization of businesses?
and adoption of ugital technologiesamog traditional businesses globally forsustainable business development?RQ1: What are the key digital platformsused by businesses in diverse geographicalcontexts?RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digitalplatforms to go digital?RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts,and methodologies employed in the studyof digital platforms to the digitalization ofbusinessesTheme 3: Digital transformation ofbusinessesRQ2: What are the key opportunities,challenges, and critical success factors ofDigital ecosystemsRQ3: What are the key opportunities,challenges, and critical success factors ofDigital ecosystemsRQ2: What are the key opmonents ofDigital ecosystemsRQ3: What are the key themes, contexts,and methodologies employed in the studyof the digital transformation of businesses?RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts,and methodologies employed in the studyof the digital cosystems?RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts,and methodologies employed in the studyof digital playersOther themes:Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurshipin the light of the COVID-19pandemicPandemicM2: What are the key themes, contexts,and methodologies employed in the studyof digital playersOther themes:Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship?RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, <td< td=""><td></td><td>RQ5: What is current status of acceptance</td></td<>		RQ5: What is current status of acceptance
Theme 2: Digital platformssustainable business development? RQ1: What are the key digital platforms used by businesses in diverse geographical contexts? RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses? RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital considering digital transformation? RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation? RQ2: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ1: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital locosystem of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital businesse?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ2: What are the dy themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What are the kay to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital losiness?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship for from Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What are the ways to overcome the <br< td=""><td></td><td>among traditional businesses globally for</td></br<>		among traditional businesses globally for
Theme 2: Digital platformsRQ1: What are the key digital platforms used by businesses in diverse geographical contexts?RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital?RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses?Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the light cosystems?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses?RQ2: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems?Digital ecosystem?RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business perspective?RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital lusinesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship pandemicOther themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship using the Bibliometric approachOther themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship using the Bibliometric approachQ2: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital Entrepreneurship?RQ4: How does entrepreneurship?RQ4: How does entrepreneurship?RQ4: How does entrepreneurs		sustainable business development?
Contexts?RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses?Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the impacts of digital transformation on the business? RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation? RQ3: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the study of the digital transformation of businesses? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital cosystems? RQ2: What are the determinants of digital business scenario considering digital transformation of businesses? RQ2: What are the determinants of digital businesses? RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem digital ecosystems? RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital businesses? RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital businesses? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the digital business? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepr	Theme 2: Digital platforms	RQ1: What are the key digital platforms used by businesses in diverse geographical
RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital platforms to go digital?RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses?Theme 3: Digital transformation of 		contexts?
Provide the set of the set o		RQ2: How entrepreneurs can use digital
and methodologies employed in the study of digital platforms for the digitalization of traditional businesses?Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the impacts of digital transformation on the business? RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses? RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses? RQ2: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the determinants of digital businesse? RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business of digital businesse? RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesse? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesse? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesse? RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesse? RQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemicOther themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital lattropreneurship? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital lattropreneurship? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and <br< td=""><td></td><td>RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts,</td></br<>		RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts,
Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the impacts of digital transformation on the business? RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses? RQ1: What are the key components of Digital ecosystemsTheme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ2: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the determinants of digital businesses? RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business perspective? RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesse? RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesse? RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemicOther themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital lattropreneurship? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital Entrepreneurship thereme are institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes?		and methodologies employed in the study
Theme 3: Digital transformation of businessesRQ1: What are the impacts of digital transformation on the business? RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses? RQ2: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems and their associated behaviors that led to the success or failure of digital businesses? RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business or failure of digital businesses? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital business? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship filter from Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiltations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital Entrepreneurship is mere the in the Digital Entrepreneurship is maternic?		traditional businesses?
businessestransformation on the business?RQ2: What are the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation?RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ2: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems?RQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems and their associated behaviors that led to the success or failure of digital businesses?RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business erspective?RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicPandemicOther themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital business? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship research forward?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship research forward?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship research forward?RQ2: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital business?RQ2: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward?RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the DigitalTheme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship illicitors and collaboration outcomes?RQ3: Which research	Theme 3: Digital transformation of	RQ1: What are the impacts of digital
Challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation? RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses? RQ1: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems and their associated behaviors that led to the success or failure of digital businesses? RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business perspective? RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses? RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses? RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital ison fraditional business into a digital business? RQ4: How are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship in the institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital NerverNet Media themes are represented in the Digital Entrepreneurship	Dusinesses	RO2: What are the key opportunities,
Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital transformation?RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses?Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ1: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems?RQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems and their associated behaviors that led to the success or failure of digital businesses?RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business perspective?RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicPandemicOther themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship using the Bibliometric approachRQ3: What are the ways to overcome the digital lization of traditional business into a digital business?RQ4: How are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship?RQ1: Whoare the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship?RQ4: How are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship?RQ1: Whoare the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship?RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		challenges, and critical success factors of
Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsRQ3: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses? RQ1: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems and their associated behaviors that led to the success or failure of digital businesses? RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business perspective? RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses? RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses? RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship pandemicRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship using the Bibliometric approachRQ2: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital lusiness? RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship Pisearch forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		Digital Entrepreneurship in the global business scenario considering digital
Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsand methodologies employed in the study of the digital transformation of businesses? RQ1: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the determinants of digital 		RQ3: What are the key themes, contexts,
Theme 4: Digital ecosystemsof the digital transformation of businesses? RQ1: What are the key components of Digital ecosystems? RQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems and their associated behaviors that led to the success or failure of digital businesses? RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business perspective? RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicRQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital business? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital Dispital Entrepreneurship		and methodologies employed in the study
Digital ecosystems?RQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems and their associated behaviors that led to the success or failure of digital businesses?RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business perspective?RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicPandemicRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital business? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital	Theme 4: Digital ecosystems	RQ1: What are the key components of
RQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems and their associated behaviors that led to the success or failure of digital businesses?RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business perspective?RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes: Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship in the light of the COVID-19 pandemicPandemicRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital business?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship using the Bibliometric approachRQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital	0	Digital ecosystems?
Other themes:RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business perspective? RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses? RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digitalization of traditional business into a digital business?Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship using the Bibliometric approachRQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		RQ2: What are the determinants of digital ecosystems and their associated behaviors
Other themes:RQ3: How does the traditional ecosystem differ from the digital ecosystem from the global business perspective?Other themes:RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes:RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?PandemicRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship?PandemicRQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic?RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digitalization of traditional business into a digital lattrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship differ frow are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward?Other themes:RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		that led to the success or failure of digital
Other themes:RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses? RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital business? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship? RQ2: Where are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		businesses? BO3: How does the traditional ecosystem
global business perspective?RQ4: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes:RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship?pandemicRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, 		differ from the digital ecosystem from the
Nger. What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?Other themes:RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship?pandemicRQ2: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship?RQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic?RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digital business?Other themes:RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes:RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward?RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes?RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		global business perspective?
Other themes:of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts,and methodologies employed in the studyin the light of the COVID-19pandemicRQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19pandemicRQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19pandemicRQ3: What are the ways to overcome theimpact of the COVID-19 on thedigital zation of traditional business into adigital business?RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differfrom Digital EntrepreneurshipDefinition of traditional business into adigital business?RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differfrom Digital EntrepreneurshipDefinition of traditional businesMere Bibliometric approachRQ2: Where are they from, and what aretheir institutional affiliations andcollaboration outcomes?RQ3: Which research themes arerepresented in the Digital		and methodologies employed in the study
Other themes:RQ1: What are the key themes, contexts, and methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship?pandemicand methodologies employed in the study of Digital Entrepreneurship?pandemicRQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digitalization of traditional business into a digital Entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes:RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital	Other themes	of digital ecosystems of digital businesses?
in the light of the COVID-19 pandemic of Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic? RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digitalization of traditional business into a digital business? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship? Other themes: RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital	Theme 5: Digital Entrepreneurship	and methodologies employed in the study
pandemicRQ2: What is the impact of the COVID-19pandemicpandemic on the advancement of DigitalEntrepreneurship during this pandemic?RQ3: What are the ways to overcome theimpact of the COVID-19 on thedigitalization of traditional business into adigital business?RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differfrom Digital Entrepreneurshipmo Digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes:RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking theDigital EntrepreneurshipDigital Entrepreneurship researchforward?RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes?RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital	in the light of the COVID-19	of Digital Entrepreneurship?
Entrepreneurship during this pandemic?RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digitalization of traditional business into a digital business?Other themes:RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship?Other themes:RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward?RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes?RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital	pandenne	pandemic on the advancement of Digital
RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digitalization of traditional business into a digital business? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship? RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		Entrepreneurship during this pandemic?
digitalization of traditional business into a digitalization of traditional business into a digital business? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship? RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		RQ3: What are the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the
digital business? RQ4: How does entrepreneurship differ from Digital Entrepreneurship? Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship using the Bibliometric approach RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		digitalization of traditional business into a
Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship? Using the Bibliometric approach RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Digital Entrepreneurship research forward? RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		digital business? RO4: How does entrepreneurship differ
Other themes: RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship using the Bibliometric approach RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		from Digital Entrepreneurship?
using the Bibliometric approach RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital	Other themes: Theme 6: Digital Entrepreneurship	RQ1: Who are the main scholars taking the
RQ2: Where are they from, and what are their institutional affiliations and collaboration outcomes? RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital	using the Bibliometric approach	forward?
RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		RQ2: Where are they from, and what are
RQ3: Which research themes are represented in the Digital		collaboration outcomes?
represented in the Digital		RQ3: Which research themes are
Entrepreneursnip literature?		Entrepreneurship literature?

Fourth, there is a need to detect the key components of Digital ecosystems and their determinants and their associated behaviors that led to the success or failure of digital businesses. The key themes, contexts, and methodologies associated with this phenomenon seek further investigation (Murthy & Madhok, 2021; Nambisan & Baron, 2021; Song, 2019; Sussan & Acs, 2017). In addition to these stated themes, Fifth, to uncover the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the advancement of Digital Entrepreneurship during this pandemic, further study is recommended that would assist businesses in learning the ways to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 on the digitalization of traditional businesses into a digital business. Sixth and finally several future research questions on Digital Entrepreneurship propose to conduct a study using a Bibliometric approach to further investigate the key scholars, countries of research, scholar affiliation, and key themes for knowing the status of ongoing research in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship.

In the next section, the summary of this review is given as conclusions.

7. Conclusions

The world is moving toward digitalization by transforming itself into a virtual world and the phenomenon of Entrepreneurship is following the digitalization trends quietly to transform into Digital Entrepreneurship. Many new phenomena are evolving nowadays, and Digital Entrepreneurship, is thus quite a talked about phenomenon in today's time. This study systematically reviews existing contemporary literature in the field of Digital Entrepreneurship to quicken thematic development on this phenomenon by investigating all its correlated key themes through extracting, selecting, and reviewing the literature on Digital Entrepreneurship to provide guidance for researchers with acumen in the conceptualization of Digital Entrepreneurship as a multi-disciplinary area of research and assist businesses in shifting from traditional to digital businesses.

A systematic literature review approach was employed to search, identify, extract, and select relevant articles (Södergren, 2021; Srivastava et al., 2022) using globally renowned electronic databases with the help of search keywords and by employing the TCM framework, the key themes, contexts, and methodologies were identified in this study related to the field of Digital Entrepreneurship. Four key themes namely, Digital technologies, Digital platforms, Digital transformation of businesses, and Digital ecosystems were identified in this study. The geographical contexts of the study were investigated based on the country of research and it was found that USA and China were among the leading countries that had been conducting research in this field. The identified methodologies used in the existing research of this field of study would a give more comprehensive view to the academicians and researchers in turn help future researchers to identify whether they can employ the same methods or new methods in their further research studies. Our study has offered the future research questions and future research themes which make this study different from similar studies conducted on the concept of Digital Entrepreneurship using a SLR approach in the past as no one has proposed future research questions, key research themes along with conceptual model (Nambisan, 2017; Kraus et al., 2018; Zaheer et al., 2019; Satalkina & Steiner, 2020). This paper has provided the below practical insights for businesses and their managers who want to shift from a traditional business model to a digital business model. Using the proposed conceptual model, modern traditional businesses could start their journey of digital transformation by following the six steps stated in the proposed conceptual model. Managers of those businesses might refer to this model as a manual for the digital transformation of their existing traditional business. The contents of this study would act as a helping hand for traditional businesses in understanding and identifying the key opportunities, challenges, and critical success factors of Digital Entrepreneurship in the highly competitive global business scenario in the light of digital transformation. In addition to this, entrepreneurs who have been

Summary table of notable key findings (Themes, Contexts, and Methodologies) identified based on the results of reviews of the selected literature in Digital entrepreneurship studies.

S. No.	Journal	Article	Author(s)	Year of publication	Key findings: Themes, Contexts, and Methodologies identified based on the results of reviews of the selected literature
1	Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences	Digital entrepreneurship: Some features of new social interactions	Braune, E., & Dana, LP.	2021	Theme: Digital technologies Context: Canada Methodology: Oualitative approach
2	Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences	The interaction and influence of digital and non- digital structures, cultures and social norms on entrepreneurship	Taylor-Wesselink, K., & Teulon, F.	2021	Theme: Digital platforms Context: Trinidad and Tobago Methodology: Ouantitative approach
3	International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal	Exploring the determinants of digital entrepreneurship using fuzzy cognitive maps	Ladeira, M. J., Ferreira, F. A., Ferreira, J. J., Fang, W., Falcao, P. F., & Rosa, Á. A.	2019	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Portugal Methodology: Quantitative approach
4	International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal	The state of #digitalentrepreneurship: A big data Leximancer analysis of social media activity	Wilk, V., Cripps, H., Capatina, A., Micu, A., & Micu, AE.	2021	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Not specified Methodology: Mixed method approach
5	Small Business Economics	The age of digital entrepreneurship	Sahut JM., Iandoli, L., & Teulon, F.	2021	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: France Methodology: Oualitative approach
6	Small Business Economics	Digital girl: Cyberfeminism and the emancipatory potential of digital entrepreneurship in emerging economies	McAdam, M., Crowley, C., & Harrison, R. T.	2020	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Saudi Arabia Methodology: Ouantitative approach
7	Small Business Economics	The digital entrepreneurial ecosystem	Sussan, F., & Acs, Z.	2017	Theme: Digital ecosystems Context: USA Methodology: Oualitative approach
8	Small Business Economics	The digital entrepreneurial ecosystem – a critique and reconfiguration	Song, A. K.	2019	Theme: Digital ecosystems Context: USA Methodology: Qualitative approach
9	Small Business Economics	Why do startups pursue initial coin offerings (ICOs)? The role of economic drivers and social identity on funding choice.	Schückes, Magnus; Gutmann, Tobias	2021	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Germany Methodology: Quantitative approach
10	Journal of Business Research	On the costs of digital entrepreneurship: Role conflict, stress, and venture performance in digital platform-based ecosystems	Nambisan, S., & Baron, R. A.	2021	Theme: Digital platforms Context: USA Methodology: Ouantitative approach
11	Journal of Business Research	Get the show on the road: Go-to-market strategies for e-innovations of start-ups	Kuester, S., Konya-Baumbach, E., & Schuhmacher, M. C.	2018	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Germany Methodology: Qualitative approach
12	Journal of Business Research	Digitalization of work and entry into entrepreneurship	Fossen, F. M., & Sorgner, A.	2021	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Italy Methodology: Quantitative approach
13	Technological Forecasting and Social Change	Digital entrepreneurship ecosystem: How digital technologies and collective intelligence are reshaping the entrepreneurial process	Elia, G., Margherita, A., & Passiante, G.	2020	Theme: Digital technologies Context: Italy Methodology: Qualitative approach
14	Technological Forecasting and Social Change	Digital Academic Entrepreneurship: A structured literature review and avenue for a research agenda	Secundo, G., Rippa, P., & Cerchione, R.	2020	Theme: Digital technologies Context: Italy Methodology: Qualitative approach
15	Technological Forecasting and Social Change	Digital entrepreneurship in developing countries: The role of institutional voids	Soluk, J., Kammerlander, N., & Darwin, S.	2021	Theme: Digital technologies Context: India Methodology: Ouantitative approach
16	Technological Forecasting and Social Change		Gupta, G., & Bose, I.	2019	Theme: Digital technologies Context: India

(continued on next page)

|--|

(continued on next page)

S. No.	Journal	Article	Author(s)	Year of publication	Key findings: Themes, Contexts, and Methodologies identified based on the results of reviews of the selected literature
		Strategic learning for digital market pioneering: Examining the transformation of Wishberry's			Methodology: Quantitative approach
17	Technological Forecasting and Social Change	crowdrunding model Moving a mountain with a teaspoon: Toward a theory of digital entrepreneurship in the regulatory environment	Dong, J. Q.	2019	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Netherlands Methodology:
18	Technology Analysis & Strategic Management	How digital user innovators become entrepreneurs: A socio-material analysis	Schiavone, F., Tutore, I., & Cucari, N.	2020	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: France Methodology:
19	International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research	Digital entrepreneurship: A research agenda on new business models for the twenty-first century	Kraus, S., Palmer, C., Kailer, N., Kallinger, F. L., & Spitzer, J.	2018	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: China Methodology: Qualitative approach
20	Journal of Strategic Information Systems	Effectual tactics in digital intrapreneurship: A process model	Vassilakopoulou, P., & Grisot, M.	2020	Theme: Digital technologies Context: Norway Methodology:
21	Journal of Strategic Information Systems	Generating innovation potential: How digital entrepreneurs conceal, sequence, anchor, and propagate new technology	Arvidsson, V., & Mønsted, T.	2018	Theme: Digital technologies Context: Norway Methodology:
22	International Business Review	International opportunity development on crowdfunding platforms: A spatial, temporal, and structural framework	Ahsan, M., & Musteen, M.	2021	Theme: Digital platforms Context: USA Methodology: Qualitative approach
23	Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice	Digital entrepreneurship: Toward a digital technology perspective of entrepreneurship	Nambisan, S.	2017	Theme: Digital technologies Context: USA Methodology: Oualitative approach
24	Organization	Emancipation through digital entrepreneurship? A critical realist analysis	Martinez Dy, A., Martin, L., & Marlow, S.	2018	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: UK Methodology:
25	Creativity and Innovation Management	Why do startups pursue initial coin offerings (ICOs)? The role of economic drivers and social identity on funding choice.	Park, Hyunkyu; Kim, Sojung; Jeong, Yujin; Minshall, Tim	2021	Theme: Digital platforms Context: China Methodology:
26	Journal of Business Venturing	The CAGE around cyberspace? How digital innovations internationalize in a virtual world	Shaheer, Noman Ahmed; Li, Sali	2020	Theme: Digital platforms Context: Not specified Methodology: Qualitative approach
27	International Marketing Review	Digitalization of companies in international entrepreneurship and marketing	Vadana, II., Torkkeli, L., Kuivalainen, O., & Saarenketo, S.	2019	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Finland Methodology: Quantitative approach
28	Information & Management	The emancipatory potential of digital entrepreneurship: A study of financial technology-driven inclusive growth	Leong, C., Tan, F. T. C., Tan, B., & Faisal, F.	2020	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Indonesia Methodology: Ouantitative approach
29	Futures	The engagement of home-based businesses in the digital economy	Reuschke, D., & Mason, C.	2020	Theme: Digital technologies Context: Scotland Methodology: Ouantitative approach
30	Futures	Digital futures of small businesses and entrepreneurial opportunity	Darja Reuschke, Colin Mason, Stephen Syrett,	2021	Theme: Digital technologies Context: Scotland Methodology: Oualitative approach
31	Futures	Levelling the playing field? Towards a critical- social perspective on digital entrepreneurship	Angela Martinez Dy,	2019	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Scotland Methodology: Qualitative approach
32	International Journal of Information Management	What do growing early-stage digital start-ups look like? A mixed-methods approach	Griva, A., Kotsopoulos, D., Karagiannaki, A., & Zamani, E. D.	2021	Theme: Digital transformation of businesses Context: Greece

15

Table 10 (continued)

s.	Journal	Article	Author(s)	Year of	Key findings: Themes, Contexts,
No.				publication	and Methodologies identified based on the results of reviews of the selected literature
					Methodology: Mixed method
00	The day of a set in Consister	On the second on the side without is second on	Rhan M 7 Rhan 7 H	0001	approach
33	Technology in Society	On the upside or hipside: where is venture	Knan, M. Z., Knan, Z. U.,	2021	Context: European Union
		disputience?	Hameeu, A., & Zaua, S. S.		Mothodology
		disruptions?			Methodology:
34	Cities	Condered digital entrepreneurship in gendered	Luo V & Chan P C K	2021	Theme: Digital transformation of
34	Cities	coworking spaces: Evidence from Shenzhen	Luo, 1., & Chall, K. C. K.	2021	husipesses
		China			Context: China
		China			Methodology:
					Quantitative approach
35	Entrepreneurship &	Contextualization of Chinese entrepreneurship	Oihai Huang, Xuevuan Liu	2020	Theme: Digital ecosystems
00	Regional Development	research: an overview and some future research	&Jun Li	2020	Context: China
	Regional Development	directions			Methodology:
					Oualitative approach
36	Journal of Management	Overcoming the Early-stage Conundrum of	Ramya K. Murthy, Anoop	2021	Theme: Digital platforms
	Studies	Digital Platform Ecosystem Emergence: A	Madhok		Context: Not specified
		Problem-Solving Perspective			Methodology:
					Mixed method approach
37	Information System	From a marketplace of electronics to a digital	Du, Wenyu (Derek); Pan,	2018	Theme: Digital transformation of
	Journal	entrepreneurial ecosystem (DEE): The emergence	Shan L.; Zhou, Ning; Ouyang,		businesses
		of a meta-organization in Zhongguancun, China.	Taohua		Context: China
					Methodology:
					Qualitative approach
38	Tourism Review	Big data and analytics in tourism and hospitality:	Mariani, M.	2019	Theme: Digital technologies
		A perspective article			Context: UK
					Methodology:
					Qualitative approach
39	International Journal of	Digital entrepreneurship in Taiwan and Thailand:	Leung, W. F., & Cossu, A.	2019	Theme: Digital transformation of
	Cultural Studies	Embracing precarity as a personal response to			Contexts Taiwar and Theiland
		political and economic change			Context: Talwan and Thalland
					Methodology:
40	International Small	The role of digital presence and investment	Kromidha F & Robson P J	2021	Theme: Digital technologies
40	Business Journal	network signals on the internationalisation of	Riomuna, E., & Robsoll, P. J.	2021	Context: UK
	Dusiness Journan	small firms			Methodology.
					Ouantitative approach
					C Provide Prov

struggling, succeeding, or collapsing during their entrepreneurial journeys, and endeavors to establish a digital start-up might get a tool to update their conceptual understandings. Hence, it has become very important for businesses and academicians to investigate this phenomenon for the further knowledge enhancement of all their stakeholders and this study will support them in enhancing their existing knowledge base. The future research avenues identified in this study would enhance the understanding of budding scholars, researchers, and academicians globally by expanding their overall knowledge of the field of Digital Entrepreneurship. Key research themes tabulated in this study could be employed by them for further investigations. Moreover, this would add value to the body of their knowledge related to this phenomenon and its implications in real-world settings and this would be the academic implications of this study. Digitalization has disrupted traditional businesses globally through its digital transformational impacts on their existing entrepreneurial landscape. A business requires sound conceptual knowledge related to Digital Entrepreneurship while shifting to digital business and at the same time, it becomes very vital for researchers to research this new phenomenon. This current study is an attempt to fill the knowledge gap created due to the transition from traditional to digital businesses.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Justin Paul: Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. Ibrahim Alhassan: Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. Nasser Binsaif: Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. Prakash Singh: Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Editors and the anonymous reviewers for their constructive guidance.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

References

Ahsan, M., & Musteen, M. (2021). International opportunity development on crowdfunding platforms: A spatial, temporal, and structural framework. *International Business Review*, 30(6), Article 101912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ibusrev.2021.101912

Anim-Yeboah, S., Boateng, R., Awuni Kolog, E., Owusu, A., & Bedi, I. (2020). Digital entrepreneurship in business enterprises: A systematic review. In Conference on E-

Business, E-Services And E-Society (pp. 192–203). Cham: Springer. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-3-030-44999-5 16.

- Arvidsson, V., & Mønsted, T. (2018). Generating innovation potential: How digital entrepreneurs conceal, sequence, anchor, and propagate new technology. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 27(4), 369–383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jsis.2018.10.001
- Arya, V., Paul, J., & Sethi, D. (2022). Like it or not! Brand communication on social networking sites triggers consumer-based brand equity. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 46(4), 1381–1398.
- Autio, E. (2018). Creative tension: The Significance of Ben Oviatt's and Patricia McDougall's article 'Toward a Theory of International New Ventures'. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 59–81. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave. jibs.8400117
- Basu, R., Paul, J., & Singh, K. (2022). Visual merchandising and store atmospherics: An integrated review and future research directions. *Journal of Business Research*, 151, 397–408.
- Beckman, C., Eisenhardt, K., Kotha, S., Meyer, A., & Rajagopalan, N. (2012). Technology entrepreneurship. Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 6, 89–93. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/sej.1134
- Billore, S., & Anisimova, T. (2021). Panic buying research: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 45(4), 777–804.
- Braune, E., & Dana, L. P. (2021). Digital entrepreneurship: Some features of new social interactions. Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1002/ cjas.1653
- Brouthers, K. D., Geisser, K. D., & Rothlauf, F. (2016). Explaining the internationalization of ibusiness firms. Journal of International Business Studies, 47(5), 513–534. https:// doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2015.20
- Chakraborty, D., & Paul, J. (2022). Healthcare apps' purchase intention: A consumption values perspective. *Technovation*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. technovation.2022.102481
- Chopdar, P. K., Paul, J., & Prodanova, J. (2022). Mobile shoppers' response to Covid-19 phobia, pessimism and smartphone addiction: Does social influence matter? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 174, Article 121249.
- Chen, L., Shaheer, N., & Yi, J. (2019). The international penetration of ibusiness firms: Network effects, liabilities of outsidership and country clout. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 50(2), 172–192. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-018-0176-2
- Crossan, M. M., & Apaydin, M. (2010). A multi-dimensional framework of organizational innovation: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Management Studies*, 47 (6), 1154–1191. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2009.00880.x
- Cubric, M. (2020). Drivers, barriers and social considerations for AI adoption in business and management: A tertiary study. *Technology in Society, 62*, Article 101257. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101257
- Davidson, E., & Vaast, E. (2010). Digital entrepreneurship and its sociomaterial enactment. In: Proceedings of the 43rd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Honolulu. IEEE Xplore, 1–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2010.150</u>.
- Dong, J. Q. (2019). Moving a mountain with a teaspoon: Toward a theory of digital entrepreneurship in the regulatory environment. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *146*, 923–930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.07.050
 Du, W., Pan, S. L., Zhou, N., & Ouyang, T. (2018). From a marketplace of electronics to a
- Du, W., Pan, S. L., Zhou, N., & Ouyang, T. (2018). From a marketplace of electronics to a digital entrepreneurial ecosystem (DEE): The emergence of a meta-organization in Zhongguancun, China. *Information Systems Journal*, 28(6), 1158–1175. https://doi. org/10.1111/isj.12176
- Dy, A. M. (2019). Levelling the playing field? Towards a critical-social perspective on digital entrepreneurship. *Futures*, 102438. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. futures 2019 102438
- Elia, G., Margherita, A., & Passiante, G. (2020). Digital entrepreneurship ecosystem: How digital technologies and collective intelligence are reshaping the entrepreneurial process. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 150*, Article 119791. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119791
- Engel, J. S. (2015). Global clusters of innovation: Lessons from Silicon Valley. California Management Review, 57(2), 36–65. https://doi.org/10.1525%2Fcmr.2015.57.2.36.
- Fossen, F. M., & Sorgner, A. (2021). Digitalization of work and entry into entrepreneurship. Journal of Business Research, 125, 548–563. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.019
- Garfield, C. (1986). *Peak Performers: The New Heroes of American Business*. Now York: Avon Books.
- Gartner, W. B. (1985). A Conceptual Framework for Describing the Phenomena of New Venture Creation. Academy of Management Review, 10, 696–706. https://www.jstor. org/stable/258039?origin=JSTOR-pdf.
- Gartner, W. B. (1989). "Who is an Entrepreneur?" Is the Wrong Question. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 13(4), 47–68. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 104225878901300406
- Ghezzi, A., & Cavallo, A. (2020). Agile business model innovation in digital entrepreneurship: Lean startup approaches. *Journal of Business Research*, 110, 519–537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.06.013
- Ginsberg, A., & Venkatraman, N. (1985). Contingency perspectives of organizational strategy: A critical review of the empirical research. Academy of Management Review, 10(3), 421–434. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1985.4278950
- Gordon-Wilson, S. (2021). Consumption practices during the COVID-19 crisis. International Journal of Consumer Studies. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12701
 Hemsley-Brown, J. (2022). Antecedents and consequences of brand attachment: A
- literature review and research agenda. International Journal of Consumer Studies. Griva, A., Kotsopoulos, D., Karagiannaki, A., & Zamani, E. D. (2021). What do growing early-stage digital start-ups look like? A mixed-methods approach. International

Journal of Information Management, 102427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijinfomgt.2021.102427

- Gupta, G., & Bose, I. (2019). Strategic learning for digital market pioneering: Examining the transformation of Wishberry's crowdfunding model. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 146, 865–876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.06.020
- Hassan, S. M., Rahman, Z., & Paul, J. (2022). Consumer ethics: A review and research agenda. Psychology & Marketing, 39(1), 111–130.
- Huang, J., Henfridsson, O., Liu, M. J., & Newell, S. (2017). Growing on steroids: Rapidly scaling the user base of digital ventures through digital innovation. *MIS Quarterly*, *41* (1), 301–314. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2017/41.1.16.
- Huang, Q., Liu, X., & Li, J. (2020). Contextualization of Chinese entrepreneurship research: An overview and some future research directions. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 32(5–6), 353–369. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 08985626.2019.1640437
- Hull, C. E. K., Hung, Y. T. C., Hair, N., Victor, P., & DeMartino, R. (2007). Taking advantage of digital opportunities: A typology of digital entrepreneurship. *International Journal of Networking and Virtual Organizations*, 4, 290–303. https://doi. org/10.1504/IJNVO.2007.015166
- Hungara, A., & Nobre, H. (2021). A consumer culture theory perspective of the marketplace: An integrative review and agenda for research. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 45(4), 805–823. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12670
- Kajol, K., Singh, R., & Paul, J. (2022). Adoption of digital financial transactions: A review of literature and future research agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 184, Article 121991.
- Khan, M. Z., Khan, Z. U., Hameed, A., & Zada, S. S. (2021). On the upside or flipside: Where is venture capital positioned in the era of digital disruptions? *Technology in Society*, 65, Article 101555. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101555
- Khlystova, O., Kalyuzhnova, Y., & Belitski, M. (2022). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the creative industries: A literature review and future research agenda. *Journal of Business Research, 139*, 1192–1210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbusres.2021.09.062
- Kitchenham, B., & Charters, S. (2007). Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering. School of Computer Science and Mathematics, Keele University. Technical Report EBSE-2007-01,.
- Klassen, T. P., Jadad, A. R., & Moher, D. (1998). Guides for reading and interpreting systematic reviews: I. Getting started. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 152(7), 700–704. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.152.7.700
- Kollmann, T. (2006). What is e-entrepreneurship? Fundamentals of company founding in the net economy. Int J Technol Manag, 33, 322–340. https://doi.org/10.1504/ LJTM.2006.009247
- Kollmann, T., Kleine-Stegemann, L., de Cruppe, K., & Then-Bergh, C. (2022). Eras of Digital Entrepreneurship. Business and Information Systems Engineering, 64(1), 15–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-021-00728-6
- Kraus, S., Palmer, C., Kailer, N., Kallinger, F. L., & Spitzer, J. (2018). Digital entrepreneurship: A research agenda on new business models for the twenty-first century. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*. https://doi.org/ 10.1108/IJEBR-06-2018-0425
- Kromidha, E., & Robson, P. J. (2021). The role of digital presence and investment network signals on the internationalisation of small firms. *International Small Business Journal*, 39(2), 109–129. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0266242620958898.
- Kuester, S., Konya-Baumbach, E., & Schuhmacher, M. C. (2018). Get the show on the road: Go-to-market strategies for e-innovations of start-ups. *Journal of Business Research*, 83, 65–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.09.037
- Kursan Milaković, I. (2021). Purchase experience during the COVID-19 pandemic and social cognitive theory: The relevance of consumer vulnerability, resilience, and adaptability for purchase satisfaction and repurchase. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*. https://doi.org/10.1111/jics.12672
- Consumer Studies. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12672 Ladeira, M. J., Ferreira, F. A., Ferreira, J. J., Fang, W., Falcão, P. F., & Rosa, Á. A. (2019). Exploring the determinants of digital entrepreneurship using fuzzy cognitive maps. International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal, 15(4), 1077–1101. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11365-019-00574-9
- Le Dinh, T., Vu, M. C., & Ayayi, A. (2018). Towards a living lab for promoting the digital entrepreneurship process. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 22(1), 1–17.
- Leong, C., Tan, F. T. C., Tan, B., & Faisal, F. (2020). The emancipatory potential of digital entrepreneurship: A study of financial technology-driven inclusive growth. *Information & Management*, 103384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2020.103384
- Leung, W. F., & Cossu, A. (2019). Digital entrepreneurship in Taiwan and Thailand: Embracing precarity as a personal response to political and economic change. International Journal of Cultural Studies, 22(2), 264–280. https://doi.org/10.1177% 2F1367877918821234.
- Levy, Y., & Ellis, T. J. (2006). A systems approach to conduct an effective literature review in support of information systems research. *Informing Science*, 9.
- Luo, Y., & Chan, R. C. (2021). Gendered digital entrepreneurship in gendered coworking spaces: Evidence from Shenzhen, China. *Cities*, 119, Article 103411. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cities.2021.103411
- Mariani, M. (2019). Big data and analytics in tourism and hospitality: A perspective article. *Tourism Review*, 75(1), 299–303. https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-06-2019-0259
- Mariani, M. M., Al-Sultan, K., & De Massis, A. (2021). Corporate social responsibility in family firms: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 1–55. https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2021.1955122
- Mariani, M., & Borghi, M. (2019). Industry 4.0: A bibliometric review of its managerial intellectual structure and potential evolution in the service industries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 149, Article 119752. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. techfore.2019.119752

J. Paul et al.

- Martinez Dy., A., Martin, L., & Marlow, S. (2018). Emancipation through digital entrepreneurship? A critical realist analysis. Organization, 25(5), 585-608. https:// doi.org/10.1177%2F1350508418777891.
- Mariani, M. M., Machado, I., Magrelli, V., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2022a). Artificial intelligence in innovation research: A systematic review, conceptual framework, and future research directions. *Technovation*, 102623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. technovation.2022.102623
- Mariani, M. M., Perez-Vega, R., & Wirtz, J. (2022b). AI in marketing, consumer research and psychology: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *Psychology & Marketing*, 39(4), 755–776. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21619
- McAdam, M., Crowley, C., & Harrison, R. T. (2020). Digital girl: Cyberfeminism and the emancipatory potential of digital entrepreneurship in emerging economies. *Small Business Economics*, 55(2), 349–362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-019-00301-2
- McKelvie, A., Haynie, J. M., & Gustavsson, V. (2011). Unpacking the uncertainty construct: Implications for entrepreneurial action. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 26 (3), 273–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2009.10.004
- Merigó, J. M., Mas-Tur, A., Roig-Tierno, N., & Ribeiro-Soriano, D. (2015). A bibliometric overview of the Journal of business research between 1973 and 2014. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(12), 2645–2653. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ibusres.2015.04.006
- Mishra, R., Singh, R. K., & Koles, B. (2021). Consumer decision-making in Omnichannel retailing: Literature review and future research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 45(2), 147–174. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12617
- Murthy, R. K., & Madhok, A. (2021). Overcoming the early-stage conundrum of digital platform ecosystem emergence: A problem-solving perspective. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(7), 1899–1932. https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12748
- Nambisan, S. (2017). Digital entrepreneurship: Toward a digital technology perspective of entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 41(6), 1029–1055. https:// doi.org/10.1111/etap.12254
- Nambisan, S., & Baron, R. A. (2021). On the costs of digital entrepreneurship: Role conflict, stress, and venture performance in digital platform-based ecosystems. *Journal of Business Research*, 125, 520–532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbusres.2019.06.037
- Nambisan, S., Wright, M., & Feldman, M. (2019). The digital transformation of innovation and entrepreneurship: Progress, challenges and key themes. *Research Policy*, 48(8), Article 103773. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2019.03.018
- Nanda, A. P., & Banerjee, R. (2021). Consumer's subjective financial well-being: A systematic review and research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 45 (4), 750–776.
- Ojala, A., Evers, N., & Rialp, A. (2018). Extending the international new venture phenomenon to digital platform providers: A longitudinal case study. *Journal of World Business*, 53(5), 725–739. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2018.05.001
- Park, H., Kim, S., Jeong, Y., & Minshall, T. (2021). Customer entrepreneurship on digital platforms: Challenges and solutions for platform business models. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 30(1), 96–115. https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12404
- Parris, D. L., & Peachey, J. W. (2013). A systematic literature review of servant leadership theory in organizational contexts. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 113(3), 377–393. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1322-6
- Paul, J. (2019). Marketing in emerging markets: A review, theoretical synthesis, and extension. International Journal of Emerging Markets. https://doi.org/10.1108/ IJOEM-04-2017-0130
- Paul, J., & Mas, E. (2020). Toward a 7-P framework for international marketing. Journal of Strategic Marketing, 28(8), 681–701.
- Paul, J., & Barari, M. (2022). Meta-analysis and traditional systematic literature reviews—What, why, when, where, and how? *Psychology & Marketing*. https://doi. org/10.1002/mar.21657
- Paul, J., & Criado, A. R. (2020). The art of writing literature review: What do we know and what do we need to know? *International Business Review*, 29(4), Article 101717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2020.101717
- Paul, J., & Rosado-Serrano, A. (2019). Gradual internationalization vs born-global / international new venture models: A review and research agenda. *International Marketing Review*, 36(6), 830–858. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-10-2018-0280
- Paul, J., Parthasarathy, S., & Gupta, P. (2017). Exporting challenges of SMEs: A review and future research agenda. *Journal of World Business*, 52(3), 327–342. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jwb.2017.01.003
- Paul, J., Lim, W. M., O'Cass, A., Hao, A. W., & Bresciani, S. (2021a). Scientific procedures and rationales for systematic literature reviews (SPAR-4-SLR). *International Journal* of Consumer Studies, 45(4), 01–016.
- Paul, J., Merchant, A., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Rose, G. (2021b). Writing an impactful review article: What do we know and what do we need to know? *Journal of Business Research*, 133, 337–340.
- Purohit, S., Arora, R., & Paul, J. (2022). The bright side of online consumer behavior: Continuance intention for mobile payments. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour.*. https:// doi.org/10.1002/cb.2017
- Pittaway, L., Robertson, M., Munir, K., Denyer, D., & Neely, A. (2004). Networking and innovation: A systematic review of the evidence. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 5/6(3&4), 137–168. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-8545.2004.00101.x
- Prashantham, S., Kumar, K., Bhagavatula, S., & Sarasvathy, S. D. (2019). Effectuation, network-building and internationalisation speed. *International Small Business Journal*, 37(1), 3–21. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0266242618796145.
- Rayburn, S. W., McGeorge, A., Anderson, S., & Sierra, J. J. (2021). Crisis-induced behavior: From fear and frugality to the familiar. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12698
- Reuschke, D., & Mason, C. (2020). The engagement of home-based businesses in the digital economy. *Futures*, 102542. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2020.102542

- Reuschke, D., Mason, C., & Syrett, S. (2021). Digital futures of small businesses and entrepreneurial opportunity. *Futures*, 135, Article 102877. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.futures.2021.102877
- Rey-Martí, A., Ribeiro-Soriano, D., & Palacios-Marqués, D. (2016). A bibliometric analysis of social entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(5), 1651–1655. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.10.033
- Rowley, J., & Slack, F. (2004). Conducting a literature review. Management Research News, 27, 31–39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/01409170410784185</u>.
- Sahut, J. M., Iandoli, L., & Teulon, F. (2021). The age of digital entrepreneurship. Small Business Economics, 56(3), 1159–1169. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-019-00260-8
- Satalkina, L., & Steiner, G. (2020). Digital entrepreneurship and its role in innovation systems: A systematic literature review as a basis for future research avenues for sustainable transitions. *Sustainability*, 12(7), 2764. https://doi.org/10.3390/ su12072764
- Schiavone, F., Tutore, I., & Cucari, N. (2020). How digital user innovators become entrepreneurs: A sociomaterial analysis. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 32(6), 683–696. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2019.1696955
- Schückes, M., & Gutmann, T. (2021). Why do startups pursue initial coin offerings (ICOs)? The role of economic drivers and social identity on funding choice. *Small Business Economics*, 57(2), 1027–1052. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-020-00337-
- Secundo, G., Rippa, P., & Cerchione, R. (2020). Digital Academic Entrepreneurship: A structured literature review and avenue for a research agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 157*, Article 120118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. techfore.2020.120118
- Shaheer, N. A., & Li, S. (2020). The CAGE around cyberspace? How digital innovations internationalize in a virtual world. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 35(1), Article 105892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2018.08.002
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbusres.2019.07.039
- Soluk, J., Kammerlander, N., & Darwin, S. (2021). Digital entrepreneurship in developing countries: The role of institutional voids. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 170, Article 120876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120876
- Song, A. K. (2019). The Digital Entrepreneurial Ecosystem—a critique and reconfiguration. Small Business Economics, 53(3), 569–590. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11187-019-00232-y
- Södergren, J. (2021). Brand authenticity: 25 Years of research. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 45(4), 645–663.
- Srivastava, E., Bharadhwaj, S., Maheswarappa, S., & Paul, J. (2022). Nostalgia: A Review, Propositions, and Future Research Agenda. *Journal of Advertising*. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/00913367.2022.2101036
- Steininger, D. M. (2019). Linking information systems and entrepreneurship: A review and agenda for IT-associated and digital entrepreneurship research. *Information Systems Journal*, 29(2), 363–407. https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12206
- Stevenson, H. H. (1983). A Perspective on Entrepreneurship (Vol. 13). Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business School.
- Sussan, F., & Acs, Z. J. (2017). The digital entrepreneurial ecosystem. Small Business Economics, 49(1), 55–73. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-017-9867-5
- Taylor-Wesselink, K., & Teulon, F. (2022). The interaction and influence of digital and non-digital structures, cultures and social norms on entrepreneurship. Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences/Revue Canadienne des Sciences de l'Administration, 39(3), 244–258. https://doi.org/10.1002/cjas.1639
- Thomas, A., & Gupta, V. (2022). Tacit knowledge in organizations: Bibliometrics and a framework-based systematic review of antecedents, outcomes, theories, methods and future directions. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 26(4), 1014–1041. https:// doi.org/10.1108/JKM-01-2021-0026

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207–222. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00375

- Vadana, I. I., Torkkeli, L., Kuivalainen, O., & Saarenketo, S. (2019). Digitalization of companies in international entrepreneurship and marketing. *International Marketing Review*. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-04-2018-0129
- Vassilakopoulou, P., & Grisot, M. (2020). Effectual tactics in digital intrapreneurship: A process model. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 29(3), Article 101617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2020.101617
- Vieira, E., & Gomes, J. (2009). A comparison of Scopus and Web of Science for a typical university. *Scientometrics*, 81(2), 587–600. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-009-2178-0
- Wilk, V., Cripps, H., Capatina, A., Micu, A., & Micu, A. E. (2021). The state of #digitalentrepreneurship: A big data Leximancer analysis of social media activity. International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal, 1–18. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11365-020-00729-z
- Williams, R. I., Jr, Clark, L. A., Clark, W. R., & Raffo, D. M. (2021). Re-examining systematic literature review in management research: Additional benefits and execution protocols. *European Management Journal*, 39(4), 521–533. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.emj.2020.09.007
- Yang, Z., Cai, J., & Sliuzas, R. (2010). Agro-tourism enterprises as a form of multifunctional urban agriculture for peri-urban development in China. *Habitat International*, 34(4), 374–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2009.11.002
- Zaheer, H., Breyer, Y., & Dumay, J. (2019). Digital entrepreneurship: An interdisciplinary structured literature review and research agenda. *Technological*

J. Paul et al.

Forecasting and Social Change, 148, Article 119735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.

techfore.2019.119735 Zupic, I. (2014). *The knowledge base of technology entrepreneurship*. In Handbook of Research on Techno-Entrepreneurship, Second Edition: Edward Elgar Publishing.

Zupic, I., & Čater, T. (2015). Bibliometric methods in management and organization. Organizational Research Methods, 18(3), 429–472. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1094428114562629