www.nature.com/gt

ORIGINAL ARTICLE - ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES Enhanced gene disruption by programmable nucleases delivered by a minicircle vector

A-BK Dad¹, S Ramakrishna¹, M Song and H Kim

Targeted genetic modification using programmable nucleases such as zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) and transcription activatorlike effector nucleases (TALENs) is of great value in biomedical research, medicine and biotechnology. Minicircle vectors, which lack extraneous bacterial sequences, have several advantages over conventional plasmids for transgene delivery. Here, for the first time, we delivered programmable nucleases into human cells using transient transfection of a minicircle vector and compared the results with those obtained using a conventional plasmid. Surrogate reporter assays and T7 endonuclease analyses revealed that cells in the minicircle vector group displayed significantly higher mutation frequencies at the target sites than those in the conventional plasmid group. Quantitative PCR and reverse transcription-PCR showed higher vector copy number and programmable nuclease transcript levels, respectively, in 293T cells after minicircle versus conventional plasmid vector transfection. In addition, tryphan blue staining and flow cytometry after annexin V and propidium iodide staining showed that cell viability was also significantly higher in the minicircle group than in the conventional plasmid group. Taken together, our results show that gene disruption using minicircle vector-mediated delivery of ZFNs and TALENs is a more efficient, safer and less toxic method than using a conventional plasmid, and indicate that the minicircle vector could serve as an advanced delivery method for programmable nucleases.

Gene Therapy advance online publication, 21 August 2014; doi:10.1038/gt.2014.76

INTRODUCTION

Targeted genetic modifications are of great value in biomedical research, medicine and biotechnology.¹ Currently, engineered nucleases such as zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) are widely used as a promising tool for targeted genome engineering. ZFNs and TALENs have a modular structure and are composed of DNAbinding domain linked to a nuclease domain derived from Fokl restriction enzyme^{2,3} The DNA-binding domains are zinc finger proteins and transcription activator-like effector in ZFNs and TALENs, respectively, and can be custom-designed to bind to specific genomic sequences, enabling targeted genetic modification at those sites. When introduced into cells, ZFNs and TALENs make double-strand breaks at their target sites, which can be repaired either through error-prone non-homologous-end-joining^{4,5} or homologous recombination in the presence of donor DNA.^{6–8} Non-homologous-end-joining can result in gene knockout and homologous recombination can lead to insertion of transgenes or correction of abnormal sequences at the target site. The efficiency of homologous recombination is usually more than 10 000 times higher in the presence of engineered nuclease activity. ZFN has been successfully used for targeted genome editing in many systems, such as *Drosophila*,^{7,9} nema-tode, fish,¹⁰ frog oocytes,¹¹ rodents,¹² plants^{8,13-15} and human cells.^{16–19} TALEN-mediated gene targeting has also been successfully demonstrated in several systems including plants,^{20,21} zebrafish,²² yellow catfish,²³ *Caenorhabditis elegans*,²⁴ rats,²⁵ mice²⁶ and human cells.³

To date, conventional plasmids,^{3,20,27-32} integrase-defective lentiviral vectors,^{18,33} adenoviral vectors,^{34,35} adeno-associated viral vectors,³⁶ direct microinjection into embryos,^{12,37-39} and recombinant proteins^{40,41} have been used to deliver engineered nucleases. Among these approaches, plasmid-mediated delivery has been predominant because it is easy to generate the necessary components and vector integration into the host genome is relatively rare. However, the overall efficiency of obtaining gene-modified cells with this plasmid-based approach is often low.^{29,42} A minicircle vector is a new generation of DNA vectors that lack extraneous bacterial sequences encoding antibiotic resistance genes and a bacterial origin of replication.^{43,44} Several lines of evidence suggest that the minicircle possesses merits such as robust transgene expression,^{43,45} high efficiency in gene transfer⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ and biosafety,⁴⁹ all of which indicate that the minicircle could be an efficient and safe delivery system for programmable nucleases.

Because of these advantages, we postulated that ZFN and TALEN delivery via the minicircle vector might facilitate targeted genetic modification. In this study, for the first time, we cloned ZFN and TALEN sequences into a minicircle vector and a conventional plasmid vector and compared the resulting nuclease activities and toxicities in cells. Our studies revealed that minicircle delivery of programmable nucleases resulted in significantly higher levels of target gene modification and reduced cytotoxicity compared with delivery by conventional plasmid vectors, supporting the use of the minicircle vector as an advanced nonviral delivery method for programmable nucleases.

¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

Graduate School of Biomedical Science and Engineering/College of Medicine, Hanyang University, Seoul, South Korea. Correspondence: Dr H Kim, Graduate School of Biomedical Science and Engineering/College of Medicine, Hanyang University, FTC 12th floor Rm1209-12, Haengdangdong 17, Seoul 133-791, South Korea. E-mail: hkim1@hanvang.ac.kr

Received 30 December 2013; revised 18 June 2014; accepted 9 July 2014

RESULTS

Preparation of minicircle DNA encoding ZFNs or TALENs

To compare the activities of programmable nucleases expressed by minicircles versus conventional plasmids, we used pcDNA 3.0 (Figure 1a) instead of the minicircle parental plasmid (Figure 1b) as the control vector because pcDNA 3.0 has been the primary vector used for programmable nuclease expression;^{50–52} furthermore, the minicircle parental plasmid has not been used as an expression vector for programmable nucleases. Electrophoresis of the isolated minicircle vector showed that a major band of minicircle and a minor band of parental plasmid was present (Figure 1c). Given that the molecular weight of the minicircle vector is lower than that of the parental plasmid, the copy number of the parental plasmid would be much lower than that of the minicircle vector, suggesting high purity of the isolated minicircle vector.

Comparison of nuclease activities from minicircle-ZFN/TALEN and plasmid-ZFN/TALEN using surrogate reporters

To compare the nuclease activities of ZFNs and TALENs expressed by the minicircle vector (referred to as M-ZFN and M-TALEN, respectively) with those expressed by a conventional plasmid vector (referred to as P-ZFN or P-TALEN), we first performed surrogate reporter assays.^{51–56} The reporter consists of the mRFP gene, followed by the nuclease's target sequence and the enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) gene, which is out of frame with the mRFP gene (Figure 2a). If ZFNs or TALENs make a double-strand break at the target sequence of the reporter, frame shifts occur because of the generation of indels in the target sequence through the error-prone non-homologous-end-joining repair process, resulting in functional eGFP expression. The level of eGFP expression correlates with the activity of the programmable nucleases.^{51–54} Flow cytometry was performed at 72 h after the cotransfection of the reporter plasmid and M-ZFN or P-ZFN into 293T cells. The frequency of eGFP⁺ cells relative to transfected cells (eGFP⁺/total mRFP⁺ cells) was significantly higher, by 1.71fold, in the M-ZFN-transfected cell population than in the P-ZFN group (Figures 2b and c), suggesting that the ZFN activity is higher when the enzyme is delivered by a minicircle vector versus a conventional plasmid. The total number of mRFP⁺ cells was higher in the M-ZFN group than in the P-ZFN group, suggesting that the overall transfection efficiency is higher in cells transfected with M-ZFN versus P-ZFN.

Similar results were obtained with TALENs. Flow cytometry after cotransfection of the reporter and a *DGAT1*-targeting TALEN plasmid into 293T cells showed that the ratio of eGFP⁺/total mRFP⁺ cells was 1.54-fold higher in M-TALEN-transfected cells than in P-TALEN-transfected cells (Figures 3a and b), implying that TALEN activity also increased when the enzyme was delivered using a minicircle vector. Furthermore, the total number of mRFP⁺ cells was 2.1-fold higher in the M-TALEN group than in the P-TALEN group, indicating that the overall transfection efficiency is higher in M-TALEN-transfected cells, which is compatible with the result using ZFNs.

Comparison of the endogenous gene mutation frequency from M-ZFN/TALEN and P-ZFN/TALEN using the T7E1 assay

We next tested the nuclease activity on the endogenous target sequence in human cells by analyzing genomic DNA isolated from M-ZFN/TALEN- or P-ZFN/TALEN-transfected cells using a T7 endonuclease I (T7E1) DNA cleavage assay. To analyze activity at the Z-224 target site, we designed PCR primers to obtain a 780 bp PCR amplicon with the target site at the center (at position 387). After T7E1 treatment, heteroduplexed DNA generated by the activity of Z-224 gives rise to two DNA bands of similar sizes (387 and 389 bp), which appear as a single band after gel electrophoresis. The T7E1 assay showed a 1.83-fold higher

frequency of mutations in the M-ZFN-transfected cells (average 43%) than in the P-ZFN group (25%) (Figures 4a and b).

Next, we performed similar experiments using a pair of TALENs targeting the *DGAT1* gene. In this case, we designed primers to obtain a 590-bp PCR amplicon, with the target site at position 320. After T7E1 treatment, heteroduplexed DNA cleaved by the activity of the *DGAT1*-targeting TALEN gave rise to two DNA bands of unequal sizes (320 and 270 bp). The assay showed 1.76-fold higher frequency of mutations in M-TALEN- versus P-TALEN-transfected cells (Figures 5a and b). Thus, together our data suggest that minicircle vector-based delivery of ZFNs and TALENs is more effective than conventional plasmid-based delivery, leading to a higher frequency of endogenous gene mutations driven by programmable nucleases.

The mechanisms underlying higher gene editing efficiency after minicircle-mediated ZFN delivery

To determine why gene editing is more efficient after minicirclemediated ZFN delivery, we evaluated the transcript expression level and vector copy numbers in cells transfected with equivalent amounts of P-ZFN and M-ZFN. Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR showed that the ZFN transcript level in cells transfected with M-ZFN was 4.8-fold significantly higher than in those transfected with P-ZFN (Figure 6a), indicating that the more efficient gene editing was attributable to higher ZFN expression. This finding is in line with previous results showing that ZFN protein levels correlate with ZFN activity.^{51,53,57} Furthermore, quantitative PCR revealed that the vector copy number in cells transfected with M-ZFN was 1.8-fold significantly higher than in those transfected with P-ZFN (Figure 6b), suggesting that the M-ZFN transfection was higher than P-ZFN. To determine if the higher ZFN transcript expression in the M-ZFN group is solely due to the higher transfection, we calculated the transcript copy number normalized to the vector copy number, and found that it was 2.6-fold significantly higher in the M-ZFN versus the P-ZFN group (Figure 6c). This result suggests that the transcription activity from each copy of M-ZFN is higher than that from each copy of P-ZFN. Taken together, these results indicate that the higher gene editing efficiency after minicircle-mediated ZFN delivery is attributable to higher ZFN expression from M-ZFN versus P-ZFN, which is mediated by both higher transfection and higher transcription activity from each transfected copy of M-ZFN.

Comparison of cell viability after transfection of M-ZFN and P-ZFN The gene editing by programmable nucleases, including ZFNs and TALENs, is often associated with reduced cell viability.^{30,58,59} Although several methods have been developed to reduce ZFN-associated cytotoxicity,⁵⁹⁻⁶² the effects of changing the delivery method on cytotoxicity have not been tested. To address this issue, we compared the cytotoxicity associated with transfection of M-ZFN and P-ZFN using two different viability assays. A tryphan blue exclusion assay revealed that the viability of M-ZFN-transfected cells was significantly higher than that of P-ZFN-transfected cells (Figure 7a). Flow cytometry after annexin V and propodium iodide (PI) staining also showed that the number of PI⁻ annexin V^- cells (live cells) and Pl⁺annexin V⁺ cells (dead cells) was significantly higher and lower, respectively, in the M-ZFN versus the P-ZFN group (Figures 7b-d), corroborating that the cytotoxicity of M-ZFN is lower than that of P-ZFN.

Lack of transgene integration after transfection with M-ZFN

To determine if the minicircle transgene undergoes genomic integration, we performed PCR using genomic DNA as template. Electrophoresis of the PCR amplicons showed that the minicircle

Nuclease delivery by a minicircle vector A-BK Dad *et al*





Figure 1. pcDNA and minicircle vector encoding zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs). (a) Vector map of the pcDNA plasmid for expression of a ZFN or TALEN with the CMV promoter. (b) Generation of a minicircle vector for expression of a ZFN or TALEN with the CMV promoter. Addition of L-arabinose leads to the expression of Φ C31 integrase and Scel homing endonuclease in the host *E. coli* strain (ZYCY10P3S2T). Φ C31 integrase drives site-specific recombination between the attB and attP sites, leading to the generation of (i) a minicircle vector containing the CMV promoter, ZFN or TALEN, and hybrid sequence (attR) and (ii) a bacterial backbone plasmid containing the bacterial origin of replication (EcoE1 Origin), the antibiotic resistance gene (KanR) and the left hybrid sequence (attL). This backbone plasmid is subsequently linearized by Scel endonuclease and removed by bacterial exonucleases. (c) The quality of the minicircle preparation was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. After arabinose induction for 4 or 5.5 h, the parental vector (6.7 kb) was degraded, giving rise to the minicircle vector (2.7 kb).

4

transgene is not present in genomic DNA (Figure 8), which is compatible with previous results reporting a lack of minicircle vector integration. 49

DISCUSSION

Genome editing using ZFNs and TALENs is of great value in many different applications. Transient transfection using conventional



plasmids has been the predominant way to deliver these programmable nucleases into cells.^{3,20,27–32} In this study, we report that minicircle vector-mediated delivery of programmable nucleases leads to higher frequencies of target gene modification and lower cytotoxicity than delivery with a conventional plasmid, indicating that the minicircle vector is an attractive delivery method.

The nuclease delivery method is critical to the success rate and activity of ZFNs and TALENs.¹ Minicircles contain very short minimal bacterial sequences, an important advantage over conventional plasmids in the field of gene therapy.^{43,63,64} Thus, we used minicircles to deliver ZFNs and TALENs to achieve efficient and safe nuclease delivery for genome editing.



Figure 3. Surrogate reporter assay comparing TALEN activity. Flow cytometry was performed at 4 days after the transfection of 293T cells with either minicircle (M) or pcDNA (P) vector encoding the *DGAT1*-targeting TALEN together with reporter plasmid. (**a**) Representative flow cytometry. Untransfected cells and cells transfected with only reporter were used as analysis controls. (**b**) The percentage of GFP⁺ cells among transfected cells (total RFP⁺ cells). (**c**) The percentage of RFP⁺ cells, which reflects the overall transfection efficiency. Error bar represents standard error. n = 15, ***P < 0.001.

◀

Figure 2. Surrogate reporter assay comparing ZFN activity. (a) Working mechanism of the surrogate reporter. The reporter comprises the *mRFP* gene, the programmable nuclease's target sequence and the *eGFP* gene. *mRFP* is constitutively expressed from the CMV promoter, whereas eGFP is not expressed because its sequence is out of frame in the absence of programmable nuclease activity. When a double-strand break is introduced into the target sequence by ZFNs or TALENs, indels are generated in the target sequence as the break is repaired by nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ), which often causes frameshift mutations. Such mutations can make *eGFP* in frame, leading to the expression of the mRFP-eGFP fusion protein. (**b**, **c**) Surrogate reporter assay using flow cytometry. Flow cytometry was performed at 72 h after the cotransfection of 293T cells with either minicircle (M) or pcDNA (P) vector encoding the *CCR5*-targeting ZFN together with reporter plasmid. (**b**) Representative flow cytometry. Untransfected cells and cells transfected with only the reporter were used as analysis controls. (**c**) The percentage of GFP⁺ cells, which reflects the overall transfection efficiency. Error bar represents standard error. *n* = 18, ****P* < 0.001.





Figure 4. T7E1 assay after delivery of ZFN using the minicircle vector or pcDNA plasmid. Genomic DNA was isolated from 293T cells at 72 h after transfection with either minicircle (M) or pcDNA (P) vector encoding the *CCR5*-targeting ZFN and subjected to the T7E1 assay. (a) T7E1 assay. The numbers at the bottom of the gel denote mutation percentages calculated by band intensities. (b) Bar graph representation of the T7E1 assay analysis result. Error bar represents standard error. n = 8, ***P < 0.001.



Figure 5. T7E1 assay after delivery of TALEN using the minicircle vector or pcDNA plasmid. Genomic DNA was isolated from 293T cells at 4 days after transfection with either minicircle (M) or pcDNA (P) vector encoding the *DGAT1*-targeting TALEN and subjected to the T7E1 assay. (a) T7E1 assay. The numbers at the bottom of the gel denote mutation percentages calculated by band intensities. (b) Bar graph representation of the T7E1 assay analysis result. Error bar represents standard error. n = 8, ***P < 0.001.

The higher level of target gene modifications in the minicircle group may be the result of higher expression of programmable nucleases in such vectors as compared with conventional plasmids; the ZFN protein level correlates with ZFN activity.^{51,53,57} This idea is compatible with previous reports showing that minicircle vectors lead to higher transgene expression *in vitro*⁶⁵ and *in vivo*^{43,66,67} as compared with conventional plasmids. Several factors have been proposed as possible mechanisms of robust transgene expression by minicircle vectors: higher transfection efficiency due to small size,⁶⁵ higher entry rate to the nucleus from the cytoplasm⁶⁵ and lower heterochromatin-associated histone modifications of the transfected minicircle vector.⁶⁷

Given that higher prolonged expression of ZFNs often leads to enhanced cytotoxicity at the expense of higher levels of target gene modification,⁵⁹ our data showing that M-ZFN cytotoxicity was significantly lower than P-ZFN is of great value. This finding is compatible with a very recent report showing that minicircle vector-based transfection is associated with higher cell viability than that associated with conventional plasmids.⁶⁵ The bacterial sequences in the conventional plasmid have been reported to elicit immune responses because the unmethylated CpG motifs of bacterial sequences bind to Toll-like receptor 9,68 which leads to the activation of a MyD88-mediated signal cascade. This cascade in turn results in the activation of innate and adaptive immune responses, leading to toxicity.⁶⁹ The finding that CpG-free plasmids are associated with reduced inflammation and robust, sustained gene expression as compared with conventional plasmids⁷⁰ indicates that the CpG sequence in the conventional plasmid might have a role in this toxicity.

In the surrogate reporter assay, the overall transfection efficiency in the minicircle group was higher than in the pcDNA group. This difference would be at least partly attributable to the toxicity of pcDNA transfection as shown in Figure 7. If pcDNAtransfected cells are selectively damaged, the consequent transfection efficiency in viable cells would be higher in the minicircle group.

Given that programmable nucleases are and will be actively investigated to develop therapeutic modalities, the biosafety of their delivery is an important issue. Because there are safety issues associated with viral vectors,⁷¹ nonviral delivery methods such as plasmid DNA are considered for transgene expression. However, bacterial sequences can cause unwanted inflammatory responses⁶⁸ and, if the antibiotic resistance genes are taken up by pathogenic bacteria, can lead to serious problems such as infection with antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Thus, minicircle vector-mediated delivery of programmable nucleases would be a safer method than delivery with conventional plasmids.

Previously, minicircle vectors required a somewhat complicated preparation process as compared with conventional plasmids, but minicircle DNA production and induction conditions have now been optimized and simplified.⁷² Furthermore, these vectors are also commercially available from various companies.

In general, the gene editing efficiency of ZFNs is higher than that of TALENs,¹ although in this study, the specific ZFNs we used showed higher activity than the TALENs. In both systems, enhanced delivery of nucleases using a minicircle vector leads to improved gene editing efficiency. This enhanced gene editing by improved delivery is in line with enrichment of gene edited cells by selection of transfected cells.^{73–75}

In conclusion, we have shown that minicircle vector-mediated delivery of programmable nucleases such as ZFNs and TALENs into human cells leads to higher levels of target gene modification with reduced cellular toxicity as compared with delivery by a conventional plasmid. Thus, we propose a minicircle vector as an efficient, safe and attractive method to deliver programmable nucleases, facilitating their use.

Nuclease delivery by a minicircle vector A-BK Dad *et al*



Figure 6. Transcript and vector copy numbers in cells after minicircle- or conventional plasmid-mediated ZFN delivery. 293T cells were transfected with equal copy numbers of either minicircle (M) or conventional plasmid (P, pcDNA 3.0) vector encoding the *CCR5*-targeting ZFN (Z-224). At 24 h after transfection, total RNA isolated from the cells was subjected to quantitative reverse transcription-PCR for evaluation of transcript copy number (**a**) and total cell lysates were subjected to PCR for determining vector copy number (**b**). The transcript copy number normalized to the vector copy number was calculated (**c**). The transcript copy number, vector copy number and the transcript copy number normalized to vector copy number were all significantly higher in the minicircle group than in the conventional plasmid group. n=4, *P < 0.05.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids encoding ZFNs and TALENs

We subcloned sequences encoding a pair of *CCR5*-targeting ZFNs (Z-224^{19,50,53}) and a pair of *DGAT1*-targeting TALENs into a minicircle parental plasmid and the pcDNA 3.0 plasmid; in both cases, expression of the programmable nuclease is driven by the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter. Detailed information about ZFN-224, which targets *CCR5*, has been previously described.^{19,50,53} The target sequence of the *DGAT1*-targeting TALENs is 5'-TGCCATCGCCTGCAGGATTC-3'(Left)-tttattcagtct(spacer)-5'-GACAGTGGCTTCAGCAACTA-3'(right). The plasmids encoding *DGAT1*-targeting TALENs were prepared as recently described⁷⁶ and purchased from Toolgen (Seoul, South Korea; Order number H157465, http://www. talenlibrary.net/). All plasmid vectors were amplified using the DH5α strain of *Escherichia coli*.

Minicircle vector

Recombinant minicircles were prepared from the parental plasmid using a specifically engineered strain of *E. coli* (*ZYCY10P3S2T*) that expresses Φ C31 integrase and Scel endonuclease in the presence of arabinose. After arabinose induction, Φ C31 integrase splits the full-size parental plasmid into (i) a minicircle DNA encoding a ZFN or TALEN and (ii) the extraneous bacterial backbone (Figure 1b). The extraneous bacterial sequence, which contains multiple Scel restriction sites, undergoes Sce1-mediated destruction, whereas the minicircle DNA, which lacks Scel restriction sites, remains intact and can be isolated. To construct a minicircle parental plasmid with the CMV promoter, the EF1 alpha promoter was removed from the parental minicircle plasmid (MN502-1; System Biosciences, Mountain View, CA, USA) using Spel and Xbal and replaced with a CMV promoter amplified from the pMIRNA1 vector (System Biosciences) using PCR. The DNA fragment encoding ZFN-224 and the *DGAT1*-targeting TALENs were then

cloned into the minicircle parental plasmid vector containing the CMV promoter after PCR amplification. The minicircle plasmid was obtained from the parental plasmid as previously described.⁷⁷ Briefly, we inoculated cells from one transformed colony into 2 ml of Terrific Broth (pH 7.0) with kanamycin (50 μ g ml⁻¹) and incubated the cells at 37 °C with shaking at 250 r.p.m. We later combined 10 μ l of culture with 50 ml Terrific Broth containing kanamycin (50 μ g ml⁻¹) and continued incubation for 16 to 18 h. At this time, the A₆₀₀ of the culture was 3.5–4.2 with a pH of ~6.5. We then prepared a minicircle induction mixture that comprised of 50 ml fresh Luria-Bertani Broth, 2 ml 1N sodium hydroxide, and 0.5 ml 20% l-arabinose, combined it with a 50 ml overnight culture, and incubated the culture at 32 °C with shaking at 250 r.p.m. for an additional 5–5.5 h. Minicircle DNA was isolated from 4 ml of bacterial lysate using a miniprep plasmid purification kit (Bioneer, Daejun, South Korea) according to the manufacturer's instructions and used for transfection. The purity of isolated minicircle vector was evaluated using 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Cell culture and transfection

HEK 293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (Gibco-BRL, Rockville, MD, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco-BRL) and penicillin (100 U ml⁻¹)/streptomycin (100 mg ml⁻¹) and were transfected using Fugene HD (Roche, Branford, CT, USA). To perform surrogate reporter assays, the weight ratio of the DNA encoding one member of a ZFN or TALEN pair: DNA encoding the other member of the pair: reporter was 1:1:1; 0.5 µg of each plasmid (total 1.5 µg DNA) was transfected into cells (at 80% confluency) in a well of 12-well plate. At 72 h after transfection of ZFN-encoding plasmids, the cells were subjected to flow cytometry. In the case of TALENs, cells were subjected to transient cold shock to enhance nuclease stability and activity.⁵⁷ The cells were cultured at 37 °C for 24 h after transfection and then cultured at 30 °C for 3 days until flow cytometry. For the T7E1 assay, 0.5 µg of DNA encoding

Nuclease delivery by a minicircle vector A-BK Dad *et al*



Figure 7. Viability of cells after minicircle- or conventional plasmid-mediated delivery of ZFNs. The viability of 293T cells was determined using tryphan blue staining (**a**) or annexin V and propodium iodide (PI) staining (**b**, **c** and **d**) at 72 h after transfection with either minicircle (M) or conventional plasmid (P, pcDNA 3.0) vector encoding the *CCR5*-targeting ZFN (Z-224). (**a**) Tryphan blue assay. Error bar represents standard error. n = 4, *P < 0.05. (**b**) Representative flow cytometry after annexin V and PI staining. (**c**) Bar graph representation of annexin V- and PI-positive cells (dead cells). n = 5, ***P < 0.001. (**d**) Bar graph representation of Annexin V- and PI-negative cells (live cells). Error bar represents standard error. n = 5, ***P < 0.001.



Figure 8. Lack of transgene integration after transfection with minicircles. After M-ZFN transfection into 293T cells, the genomic DNA that is not contaminated with episomal plasmid was isolated and subjected to PCR to detect minicircle vectors integrated in the host genome. Genomic DNA isolated from untransfected cells and cells transduced with ZFN-expressing retrovirus were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Minicircle plasmid was also used as control for the PCR.

one member of a ZFN or TALEN pair and 0.5 μ g of DNA encoding the other member of the pair were co-transfected into cells (at 80% confluency) in a well of 12-well plate. To evaluate transcript levels and vector copy numbers, 1 μ g of DNA encoding one member of a ZFN pair and 1 μ g of DNA encoding the other member of the pair were co-transfected into 293T cells (at 80% confluency) in a well of six-well plate.

Flow cytometry

Single-cell suspensions were analyzed using FACSAria II (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) as previously described.⁵¹ For the reporter assay, untransfected cells and cells transfected with reporters alone were used as analysis controls.^{51–53} For the viability assay, unstained cells and single-color stained cells were used as analysis controls. In each sample, 20 000 cells were analyzed. FlowJo (version 10.0, Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR, USA) was used to analyze the data.

T7E1 assay

The T7E1 assay was performed as previously described.^{50,51,53} Briefly, genomic DNA was isolated using a genomic DNA purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The region including the engineered nuclease target sites on the genomic DNA was PCR-amplified using the following primers: Z-224 (Forward primer,

5'-GAGCCAAGCTCTCCATCTAGT-3'; Reverse primer, 5'-CTGTA GG AA TGAGAGCTGC-3') and DGAT1-targeting TALEN (Forward primer 1, 5'-CAAG CTCCATGTAGGTCCAG-3'; Reverse primer 1, 5'-GCTCTCCTGGGATCCAATG-3'; Forward primer 2, 5'-TCTGACCCTGACATGCTCGT-3'; Reverse primer 2, 5'-CCAATGGGAAGCAGCAAGTA-3'). For analysis of the DGAT1 gene, nested PCR was performed; the first PCR product using primer pair 1 was subjected to a second round of PCR using primer pair 2. The PCR amplicons were denatured by heating and annealed to form heteroduplex DNA, which was treated with 5 U of mismatch-sensitive T7 endonuclease 1 (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK) for 20 min at 37 °C and then analyzed using 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. If small indels are generated in the target sequence of the ZFN-224 group, T7E1 treatment gave rise to 387 and 389 bp DNA fragments, which appear as only one band, whereas treatment in the DGAT1-targeting TALEN group gave rise to 320 and 270 bp DNA fragments, which were observed as two separate bands after gel electrophoresis.

Cell viability test

Cells were harvested using trypsinization at 72 h after transfection and cell viability was determined using either tryphan blue staining or flow cytometry after annexin V and PI staining. For tryphan blue staining, the harvested cells were stained using 0.4% tryphan blue (Gibco-BRL) and the cell viability was determined using microscopic evaluation. For flow cytometric determination of viability, the cells were stained with the annexin V-FITC kit (BD biosciences) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, a total of 1×10^6 cells per ml were washed twice with cold PBS, resuspended in 100 μ l 1X binding buffer, and incubated for 10 min at room temperature in the dark after addition of 5 μ l of fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated annexin V (catalog number 51-65874X) and 5 μ l of PI (50 μ g ml $^{-1}$ stock solution). At the end of the incubation, 200 μ of 1X binding buffer was added and the cells were analyzed within 1 h using flow cytometry.

Real-time reverse transcription-PCR and PCR for quantification of transcript and vector copy number

Using an RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON, USA), total RNA was isolated from 293T cells 24 h after transfection with 1 μ g of one member of a M-ZFN or P-ZFN pair and 1 μ g of the other member of the pair. Using the isolated RNA as template, complementary DNA synthesis was carried out using the Superscript III enzyme (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed according to the instructions provided by the company (KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR kit; KAPA Biosystems, Boston, MA, USA), using the C1000 Thermal cycler detection system (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA, USA), 100 ng prepared complementary DNA, and primers designed to amplify a 134 bp sequence within the ZFN Fokl domain (forward primer: 5'-TTTGGGTGGATCAAGGAAACCG-3' and reverse primer: 5'-GTTGCATTTCA TCTGCTTGGCC-3'). The thermocycler conditions were 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C, 10 s at 58 °C and 15 s at 72 °C. To determine vector copy number, 20 000 transfected 293T cells were subjected to quantitative PCR as described above.

Determination of transgene integration into the host genome

293T cells transfected with a CCR5-targeting M-ZFN were harvested at 72 h after transfection; genomic DNA was isolated and subjected to PCR for determining transgene integration. The primers designed to amplify a 697 bp sequence that include the junction between the ZFN and Fokl domain (forward primer: 5'-AAGAAGCGGAAGGTGGGCAT-3' and reverse primer: 5'-GCATTTCATCTGCTTGGCCAAT-3') and a 184 bp sequence within the ACTB (β-actin) gene (forward primer: 5'-TCCTCTCCCAAGTCCACAG-3' and reverse primer: 5'-AGACCAAAAGCCTTCATACATCTC-3') were used. As a positive control for genomic integration, we used 293T cells transduced with a retrovirus expressing the CCR5-targeting ZFN. To generate this retrovirus, a murine stem cell virus retroviral vector (kindly provided by Professor Chung Hee Yong, Hanyang University, South Korea) and packaging plasmid plK6.1MCV.ecopac.UTD (Ecopac; M. Finer Cell Genosys, Redwood City, CA, USA) were co-transfected into 293T cells. Two days after transduction, genomic DNA was isolated from the harvested cells and used as the positive control for PCR. To remove any residual episomal vectors from the genomic DNA, isolated genomic DNA was subjected to gel electrophoresis on a 0.8% agarose gel and purified from the gel at least three times.

Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as mean \pm s.e.m and statistical analysis was conducted using PASW Statistics, version 18 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Student's *t*-test was used for the statistical analysis for continuous variables between two groups. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2014R1A1A1A05006189).

REFERENCES

- 1 Kim H, Kim JS. A guide to genome engineering with programmable nucleases. *Nat Rev Genet* 2014; **15**: 321–334.
- 2 Kim YG, Cha J, Chandrasegaran S. Hybrid restriction enzymes: zinc finger fusions to Fok I cleavage domain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1996; **93**: 1156–1160.
- 3 Hockemeyer D, Wang H, Kiani S, Lai CS, Gao Q, Cassady JP *et al.* Genetic engineering of human pluripotent cells using TALE nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol* 2011; **29**: 731–734.
- 4 Lieber MR. The mechanism of double-strand DNA break repair by the nonhomologous DNA end-joining pathway. *Annu Rev Biochem* 2010; **79**: 181–211.
- 5 Moynahan ME, Jasin M. Mitotic homologous recombination maintains genomic stability and suppresses tumorigenesis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2010; **11**: 196–207.
- 6 Urnov FD, Rebar EJ, Holmes MC, Zhang HS, Gregory PD. Genome editing with engineered zinc finger nucleases. *Nat Rev Genet* 2010; **11**: 636–646.
- 7 Bibikova M, Golic M, Golic KG, Carroll D. Targeted chromosomal cleavage and mutagenesis in Drosophila using zinc-finger nucleases. *Genetics* 2002; 161: 1169–1175.
- 8 Lloyd A, Plaisier CL, Carroll D, Drews GN. Targeted mutagenesis using zinc-finger nucleases in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 2232–2237.
- 9 Bibikova M, Beumer K, Trautman JK, Carroll D. Enhancing gene targeting with designed zinc finger nucleases. *Science* 2003; **300**: 764.
- 10 Doyon Y, McCammon JM, Miller JC, Faraji F, Ngo C, Katibah GE et al. Heritable targeted gene disruption in zebrafish using designed zinc-finger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol 2008; 26: 702–708.
- 11 Bibikova M, Carroll D, Segal DJ, Trautman JK, Smith J, Kim YG et al. Stimulation of homologous recombination through targeted cleavage by chimeric nucleases. *Mol Cell Biol* 2001; 21: 289–297.
- 12 Geurts AM, Cost GJ, Freyvert Y, Zeitler B, Miller JC, Choi VM et al. Knockout rats via embryo microinjection of zinc-finger nucleases. Science 2009; 325: 433.
- 13 Wright DA, Townsend JA, Winfrey Jr RJ, Irwin PA, Rajagopal J, Lonosky PM et al. High-frequency homologous recombination in plants mediated by zinc-finger nucleases. Plant J 2005; 44: 693–705.
- 14 Maeder ML, Thibodeau-Beganny S, Osiak A, Wright DA, Anthony RM, Eichtinger M et al. Rapid "open-source" engineering of customized zinc-finger nucleases for highly efficient gene modification. *Mol Cell* 2008; **31**: 294–301.
- 15 Shukla VK, Doyon Y, Miller JC, DeKelver RC, Moehle EA, Worden SE et al. Precise genome modification in the crop species Zea mays using zinc-finger nucleases. *Nature* 2009; 459: 437–441.
- 16 Porteus MH, Baltimore D. Chimeric nucleases stimulate gene targeting in human cells. *Science* 2003; **300**: 763.
- 17 Urnov FD, Miller JC, Lee YL, Beausejour CM, Rock JM, Augustus S et al. Highly efficient endogenous human gene correction using designed zinc-finger nucleases. Nature 2005; 435: 646–651.
- 18 Lombardo A, Genovese P, Beausejour CM, Colleoni S, Lee YL, Kim KA *et al*. Gene editing in human stem cells using zinc finger nucleases and integrase-defective lentiviral vector delivery. *Nat Biotechnol* 2007; 25: 1298–1306.
- 19 Perez EE, Wang J, Miller JC, Jouvenot Y, Kim KA, Liu O et al. Establishment of HIV-1 resistance in CD4+ T cells by genome editing using zinc-finger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol 2008; 26: 808–816.
- 20 Cermak T, Doyle EL, Christian M, Wang L, Zhang Y, Schmidt C et al. Efficient design and assembly of custom TALEN and other TAL effector-based constructs for DNA targeting. Nucleic Acids Res 2011; 39: e82.
- 21 Mahfouz MM, Li L, Shamimuzzaman M, Wibowo A, Fang X, Zhu JK. De novo-engineered transcription activator-like effector (TALE) hybrid nuclease with novel DNA binding specificity creates double-strand breaks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011; **108**: 2623–2628.
- 22 Christian M, Cermak T, Doyle EL, Schmidt C, Zhang F, Hummel A et al. Targeting DNA double-strand breaks with TAL effector nucleases. *Genetics* 2010; **186**: 757–761.



- 23 Dong Z, Ge J, Li K, Xu Z, Liang D, Li J et al. Heritable targeted inactivation of myostatin gene in yellow catfish (Pelteobagrus fulvidraco) using engineered zinc-finger nucleases. PLoS One 2011; 6: e28897.
- 24 Wood AJ, Lo TW, Zeitler B, Pickle CS, Ralston EJ, Lee AH et al. Targeted genome editing across species using ZFNs and TALENs. Science 2011; 333: 307.
- 25 Tesson L, Usal C, Menoret S, Leung E, Niles BJ, Remy S *et al.* Knockout rats generated by embryo microinjection of TALENs. *Nat Biotechnol* 2011; **29**: 695–696.
- 26 Sung YH, Baek IJ, Kim DH, Jeon J, Lee J, Lee K *et al*. Knockout mice created by TALEN-mediated gene targeting. *Nat Biotechnol* 2013; **31**: 23–24.
- 27 Zou J, Maeder ML, Mali P, Pruett-Miller SM, Thibodeau-Beganny S, Chou BK et al. Gene targeting of a disease-related gene in human induced pluripotent stem and embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2009; 5: 97–110.
- 28 Zou J, Mali P, Huang X, Dowey SN, Cheng L. Site-specific gene correction of a point mutation in human iPS cells derived from an adult patient with sickle cell disease. *Blood* 2011; **118**: 4599–4608.
- 29 Soldner F, Laganiere J, Cheng AW, Hockemeyer D, Gao Q, Alagappan R et al. Generation of isogenic pluripotent stem cells differing exclusively at two early onset Parkinson point mutations. Cell 2011; 146: 318–331.
- 30 Mussolino C, Morbitzer R, Lutge F, Dannemann N, Lahaye T, Cathomen T. A novel TALE nuclease scaffold enables high genome editing activity in combination with low toxicity. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011; **39**: 9283–9293.
- 31 DeKelver RC, Choi VM, Moehle EA, Paschon DE, Hockemeyer D, Meijsing SH et al. Functional genomics, proteomics, and regulatory DNA analysis in isogenic settings using zinc finger nuclease-driven transgenesis into a safe harbor locus in the human genome. *Genome Res* 2010; 20: 1133–1142.
- 32 Yusa K, Rashid ST, Strick-Marchand H, Varela I, Liu PQ, Paschon DE *et al*. Targeted gene correction of alpha1-antitrypsin deficiency in induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 2011; **478**: 391–394.
- 33 Lombardo A, Cesana D, Genovese P, Di Stefano B, Provasi E, Colombo DF et al. Site-specific integration and tailoring of cassette design for sustainable gene transfer. Nat Methods 2011; 8: 861–869.
- 34 Yuan J, Wang J, Crain K, Fearns C, Kim KA, Hua KL et al. Zinc-finger nuclease editing of human cxcr4 promotes HIV-1 CD4(+) T cell resistance and enrichment. *Mol Ther* 2012; 20: 849–859.
- 35 Holkers M, Maggio I, Liu J, Janssen JM, Miselli F, Mussolino C et al. Differential integrity of TALE nuclease genes following adenoviral and lentiviral vector gene transfer into human cells. Nucleic Acids Res 2013; 41: e63.
- 36 Handel EM, Gellhaus K, Khan K, Bednarski C, Cornu TI, Muller-Lerch F et al. Versatile and efficient genome editing in human cells by combining zinc-finger nucleases with adeno-associated viral vectors. Hum Gene Ther 2012; 23: 321–329.
- 37 Lei Y, Guo X, Liu Y, Cao Y, Deng Y, Chen X et al. Efficient targeted gene disruption in Xenopus embryos using engineered transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012; 109: 17484–17489.
- 38 Carbery ID, Ji D, Harrington A, Brown V, Weinstein EJ, Liaw L et al. Targeted genome modification in mice using zinc-finger nucleases. *Genetics* 2010; 186: 451–459.
- 39 Cui X, Ji D, Fisher DA, Wu Y, Briner DM, Weinstein EJ. Targeted integration in rat and mouse embryos with zinc-finger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol 2011; 29: 64–67.
- 40 Gaj T, Guo J, Kato Y, Sirk SJ, Barbas CF 3rd. Targeted gene knockout by direct delivery of zinc-finger nuclease proteins. Nat Methods 2012; 9: 805–807.
- 41 Ramakrishna S, Kwaku Dad AB, Beloor J, Gopalappa R, Lee SK, Kim H. Gene disruption by cell-penetrating peptide-mediated delivery of Cas9 protein and guide RNA. *Genome Res* 2014; 24: 1020–1027.
- 42 Pan H, Zhang W, Liu GH. Find and replace: editing human genome in pluripotent stem cells. Protein Cell 2011; 2: 950–956.
- 43 Chen ZY, He CY, Ehrhardt A, Kay MA. Minicircle DNA vectors devoid of bacterial DNA result in persistent and high-level transgene expression in vivo. *Mol Ther* 2003; 8: 495–500.
- 44 Darquet AM, Cameron B, Wils P, Scherman D, Crouzet J. A new DNA vehicle for nonviral gene delivery: supercoiled minicircle. *Gene Therapy* 1997; 4: 1341–1349.
- 45 Vandermeulen G, Marie C, Scherman D, Preat V. New generation of plasmid backbones devoid of antibiotic resistance marker for gene therapy trials. *Mol Ther* 2011; **19**: 1942–1949.
- 46 Darquet AM, Rangara R, Kreiss P, Schwartz B, Naimi S, Delaere P *et al.* Minicircle: an improved DNA molecule for in vitro and in vivo gene transfer. *Gene Therapy* 1999;
 6: 209–218.
- 47 Chang CW, Christensen LV, Lee M, Kim SW. Efficient expression of vascular endothelial growth factor using minicircle DNA for angiogenic gene therapy. *J Control Release* 2008; **125**: 155–163.
- 48 Osborn MJ, McElmurry RT, Lees CJ, DeFeo AP, Chen ZY, Kay MA et al. Minicircle DNA-based gene therapy coupled with immune modulation permits long-term expression of alpha-L-iduronidase in mice with mucopolysaccharidosis type I. *Mol Ther* 2011; **19**: 450–460.

- 49 Jia F, Wilson KD, Sun N, Gupta DM, Huang M, Li Z et al. A nonviral minicircle vector for deriving human iPS cells. Nat Methods 2010; 7: 197–199.
- 50 Kim HJ, Lee HJ, Kim H, Cho SW, Kim JS. Targeted genome editing in human cells with zinc finger nucleases constructed via modular assembly. *Genome Res* 2009; 19: 1279–1288.
- 51 Kim H, Um E, Cho SR, Jung C, Kim JS. Surrogate reporters for enrichment of cells with nuclease-induced mutations. *Nat Methods* 2011; 8: 941–943.
- 52 Kim H, Kim MS, Wee G, Lee CI, Kim JS. Magnetic separation and antibiotics selection enable enrichment of cells with ZFN/TALEN-induced mutations. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e56476.
- 53 Ramakrishna S, Kim YH, Kim H. Stability of zinc-finger nuclease protein is enhanced by the proteasome inhibitor MG132. PLoS One 2013; 8: e54282.
- 54 Cho SW, Kim S, Kim JM, Kim JS. Targeted genome engineering in human cells with the Cas9 RNA-guided endonuclease. Nat Biotechnol 2013; 31: 230–232.
- 55 Kim YH, Ramakrishna S, Kim H, Kim JS. Enrichment of cells with TALEN-induced mutations using surrogate reporters. *Methods* 2014; doi:10.1016/j. ymeth.2014.04.012.
- 56 Ramakrishna S, Cho SW, Kim S, Song M, Gopalappa R, Kim JS et al. Surrogate reporter-based enrichment of cells containing RNA-guided Cas9 nucleaseinduced mutations. Nat Commun 2014; 5: 3378.
- 57 Doyon Y, Choi VM, Xia DF, Vo TD, Gregory PD, Holmes MC. Transient cold shock enhances zinc-finger nuclease-mediated gene disruption. *Nat Methods* 2010; 7: 459–460.
- 58 Ramalingam S, Kandavelou K, Rajenderan R, Chandrasegaran S. Creating designed zinc-finger nucleases with minimal cytotoxicity. J Mol Biol 2011; 405: 630–641.
- 59 Pruett-Miller SM, Reading DW, Porter SN, Porteus MH. Attenuation of zinc-finger nuclease toxicity by small-molecule regulation of protein levels. *PLoS Genet* 2009; 5: e1000376.
- 60 Miller JC, Holmes MC, Wang J, Guschin DY, Lee YL, Rupniewski I et al. An improved zinc-finger nuclease architecture for highly specific genome editing. *Nat Biotechnol* 2007; 25: 778–785.
- 61 Pruett-Miller SM, Connelly JP, Maeder ML, Joung JK, Porteus MH. Comparison of zinc-finger nucleases for use in gene targeting in mammalian cells. *Mol Ther* 2008; 16: 707–717.
- 62 Szczepek M, Brondani V, Buchel J, Serrano L, Segal DJ, Cathomen T. Structure-based redesign of the dimerization interface reduces the toxicity of zinc-finger nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol* 2007; 25: 786–793.
- 63 Huang M, Chen Z, Hu S, Jia F, Li Z, Hoyt G *et al.* Novel minicircle vector for gene therapy in murine myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2009; **120**: S230–S237.
- 64 Mayrhofer P, Schleef M, Jechlinger W. Use of minicircle plasmids for gene therapy. Methods Mol Biol 2009; **542**: 87–104.
- 65 Madeira C, Rodrigues CA, Reis MS, Ferreira FF, Correia RE, Diogo MM *et al.* Nonviral Gene Delivery to Neural Stem Cells with Minicircles by Microporation. *Biomacromolecules* 2013; **14**: 1379–1387.
- 66 Chabot S, Orio J, Schmeer M, Schleef M, Golzio M, Teissie J. Minicircle DNA electrotransfer for efficient tissue-targeted gene delivery. *Gene Therapy* 2013; 20: 62–68.
- 67 Riu E, Chen ZY, Xu H, He CY, Kay MA. Histone modifications are associated with the persistence or silencing of vector-mediated transgene expression in vivo. *Mol Ther* 2007; **15**: 1348–1355.
- 68 Hemmi H, Takeuchi O, Kawai T, Kaisho T, Sato S, Sanjo H *et al.* A Toll-like receptor recognizes bacterial DNA. *Nature* 2000; **408**: 740–745.
- 69 Wagner H. Toll meets bacterial CpG-DNA. Immunity 2001; 14: 499-502.
- 70 Hyde SC, Pringle IA, Abdullah S, Lawton AE, Davies LA, Varathalingam A et al. CpG-free plasmids confer reduced inflammation and sustained pulmonary gene expression. Nat Biotechnol 2008; 26: 549–551.
- 71 Thomas CE, Ehrhardt A, Kay MA. Progress and problems with the use of viral vectors for gene therapy. *Nat Rev Genet* 2003; **4**: 346–358.
- 72 Mayrhofer P, Blaesen M, Schleef M, Jechlinger W. Minicircle-DNA production by site specific recombination and protein-DNA interaction chromatography. J Gene Med 2008; 10: 1253–1269.
- 73 Ding Q, Lee YK, Schaefer EA, Peters DT, Veres A, Kim K *et al.* A TALEN genomeediting system for generating human stem cell-based disease models. *Cell Stem Cell* 2013; **12**: 238–251.
- 74 Frank S, Skryabin BV, Greber B. A modified TALEN-based system for robust generation of knock-out human pluripotent stem cell lines and disease models. *BMC Genomics* 2013; **14**: 773.
- 75 Carlson DF, Tan W, Lillico SG, Stverakova D, Proudfoot C, Christian M et al. Efficient TALEN-mediated gene knockout in livestock. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012; 109: 17382–17387.
- 76 Kim Y, Kweon J, Kim A, Chon JK, Yoo JY, Kim HJ et al. A library of TAL effector nucleases spanning the human genome. Nat Biotechnol 2013; 31: 251–258.
- 77 Kay MA, He CY, Chen ZY. A robust system for production of minicircle DNA vectors. *Nat Biotechnol* 2010; **28**: 1287–1289.

10