



## Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

# **ScienceDirect**

Procedia
Social and Behavioral Sciences

Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 224 (2016) 393 - 400

6th International Research Symposium in Service Management, IRSSM-6 2015, 11-15 August 2015, UïTM Sarawak, Kuching, Malaysia

# International Business Competence and Small and Medium Enterprises

Zalina Ibrahim<sup>a,\*</sup>, Firdaus Abdullah<sup>b</sup>, Azman Ismail<sup>c</sup>

a. b Faculty of Business Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Sarawak, Jalan Meranek, 94300 Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia
<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

#### Abstract

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) have always been an important contributor to a country's economic prosperity. In the globalization era, businesses are forced to push beyond the boundaries to sustain their competitive advantage and have the competency to succeed. Internationalization has become a way forward for businesses to increase performance and this move has open doors to SMEs to be in the picture. Studies on internationalized Small and Medium Enterprises (iSMEs) and international business competence in particular for the Internationalized Malaysian Small and Medium Enterprises (iMSMEs) are very limited. Therefore, this research explores into the world of competence for the internationalized Malaysian Small and Medium Enterprises (iMSMEs) by interviewing the Small and Medium Enterprises experts from the East and West of Malaysia. The experts' panels (RES) are divided into five categories: academicians, policy makers, supporting government agencies, chambers of commerce and industries. The research uses a thematic approach to identify themes and emergent themes; and intelligent coding to determine most influential factors; and reports each theme and sub-theme using percentage and supporting quotations. The research contributes on the new definition of competence, international business competence and international business competence indicators for internationalized Small and Medium Enterprises. In addition, implications of this study and direct ions for future research are also discussed.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer-review under responsibility of the Universiti Teknologi MARA Sarawak

Keywords: competence; business competence; internationalization; SMEs

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +6-012-247-8356. E-mail address: zalina7276@gmail.com

## 1. Introduction

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) have been known to have contributed to country's economic growth and employment (Beck, 2005; Li Xue, 2011). According to the 10th Malaysia Plan (2010), SMEs manipulated 99.2% of all business in Malaysia and contributed 56.4% in total employment. With the brisk change in business environment, SMEs are forced to look beyond domestic market and one of the important dimensions for businesses to grow is through internationalization. When SMEs ventured into the international world, they are compelled to challenges and opportunities. Papyrina (2007) for example, touches on market issues such as market barriers as one of the challenges while Kang & Park (2012) find government support brings opportunities to SMEs. In order for SMEs to sustain their business at the international market, they will require certain determinants such as business competence (Mappigau & Hastan, 2012; Suh & Kim, 2013; and Ibrahim, Abdullah and Ismail, 2014) which is the internal or firm-specific ability of the management in fulfilling the set objectives of the organization.

With internationalization, business competence can be concluded as international business competence with a more complex condition (Knight & Kim, 2009; Ibrahim et al., 2014). Many researches ponder on a single dimension of international business competence (Johnson & Sohi, 2003; Ritter & Gemünden, 2004; and Johnson, Lenartowicz & Apud, 2006) but the research on multidimensional concept which is the adoption of a collection of international business competences is still limited (Knight & Kim, 2009; and Ibrahim et al., 2014) especially in the Asian perspective that looks at internationalized Small and Medium Enterprises (iSMEs). Therefore, there is a need to gain an insight view from the SMEs' panel of experts on the meaning of competence, business competence, international business competence, the international business competence indicators and the most significant international business competence for internationalized Malaysian Small and Medium Enterprises (iMSMEs).

## 2. Literature review

SMEs are considered an important asset to almost all countries especially the developing countries as they contribute in terms of economy and employment (Mead & Liedholm, 1998; Beck, 2005; Li Xue, 2011). Globalization has made life of SMEs tougher and more competitive in seeking new market, gaining opportunities and achieving excellent international performance (Jonnson & Lindbergh, 2010). Therefore, SMEs that possess competitive advantage may be able to compete with customers, rivals and other interfunctional forces (Knight & Kim, 2009; and Ibrahim et al., 2014). One of the competitive advantages that organization would pay attention to is competence. According to Barney, Wright and Ketchen (2001) and Barney (1995), when a management possesses a core competence, it will be a valuable asset to the organization, different and difficult to copy by others. As for business competence, Day (1994) and Teece, Pisano & Shuen (1997), define it as "well-defined routines that are combined with firm-specific assets to enable distinctive functions to be carried out". Prahalad & Hamel (1990) and Johnson et al., (2006), however argue that firm effective interaction with markets should be considered as core business competence. International business competence is business competence with a more complexity nature due to differences in the international market needs. Organizations which hold strong international business competence have positive international performance and multiplying competence (multidimensional competence), and superior effect to performance (Frishammar & Andersson, 2009; Knight & Kim, 2009; Suh & Kim, 2013; and Ibrahim et al., 2014). Even though there are many disparities of thoughts, it can be concluded that an organization possessing certain competence will help improve the economics and condition of the organization. Research conducted on competence at a single dimensional concept look at network competence (Ritter & Gemunden, 2004), cross-cultural competence (Hampden-Turner & Trompenaars, 2000; Johnston et al., 2006), interfirm partners hip competence (Johnson & Sohi, 2003), alliance competence (Lambe, Spekman & Hunt, 2002), and intercultural communication competence (Bush, Rose, Gilbert, & Ingram, 2001).

One of the critical intangible resources (competence) for a firm to have in order to succeed internationally is the international orientation which relates to characteristics owned by the management (Knight & Cavusgil, 2004; Mort & Weerawardena, 2006), and some suggest international orientation as an active exploration of setting new bus iness internationally (Knight & Kim, 2009). International innovativeness is another important dimension as it positively influences the performance of internationalized SMEs (Knight & Cavusgil, 2004). International innovativeness can be defined as "the capacity to develop and introduce new processes, products, services, or ideas to international

market" (Knight & Kim, 2009, p. 261). Combining international innovativeness and international orientation was found to be positively a better fit in the international market (Lumpkin & Dess, 1996; and Steensma, Mario, Weaver & Dickson, 2000). International marketing skills on the other hand, is a firm's ability to create value for its foreign customers and this can be integrating international marketing activities (see Cavusgil & Zou, 1994; Knight & Cavusgil, 2004; Johnson et al., 2006). Without strong marketing skills, a firm could not be at par with foreign customer inclination as many researches (Cavusgil & Zou, 1994; and Knight, 2000) supported that marketing skills act as a basis for a firm to communicate within international market. According to Kohli & Jaworski (1990), market orientation is "a set of behaviors and processes which cover the use of intelligence generation, intelligence dissemination, and responsiveness". Three behavioral components (customer orientation, competitor orientation and interfunctional coordination) are later added by Narver & Slater (1990) and (1992). It was found that international market orientation affects the performance of international firms (Calantone & Knight, 2000; Wren, Sounder & Berkswitz, 2002).

This study considers the Resource-based View (RBV) as its underpinning theory, as RBV identifies specific knowledge and competences as valuable, unique and hard to imitate resource, thus significantly contribute to international business competence (Peng, 2001). These resources can be found within the managers such as know-how, skills, and overall business competence (Knight & Kim, 2009).

# 3. Methodology

The study is a descriptive study with a minimal sample size of three Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) expert panels (RES) from five different categories, namely academicians, policy makers, supporting government agencies, chambers of commerce, and industries. A total of 15 RES were interviewed and to allow early preparation, an interview guideline (Cassel & Symon, 1995) was given to the RES one month before the interview commence. The exploratory approach (interview) allows data exploration and generalization of information collected. The data were analyzed using a thematic approach based on coding (Hesse-Biber & Leavy, 2006) to identify themes and emergent themes. A selective coding by frequency count was done to count the number of themes and factors (Bryman & Bell, 2007) and presented in the form of percentage and supporting quotation.

# 4. Results and discussion

This study interviewed 15 respondents who were regarded as experts in the Small and Medium Enterprises issue. There were five categories of experts (academicians, policy makers, supporting government agencies, chambers of commerce, and industries) that were taken into consideration and for each category, three experts were interviewed. Interviews were conducted for a duration of 60 to 90 minutes. Out of 15 respondents, only 9 Expert Respondents (RES) were taken into account.

Table 1 shows the profiles of expert panels that were interviewed for the study. A total of 9 usable respondents were selected from the interview. The Expert Respondents (RES) were divided into 5 categories, namely academicians (2), policy makers (2), supporting government agencies (2), chambers of commerce (1), and industry (2). The RES are well experienced people with more than 10 years of work experience and holding top management post in their organizations such as Chairman, Director, President and Professors.

Table 3 shows the definition of business competence. The definition relates to the ability and capability in achieving goals of an organization. From the findings, to develop resources requires managerial competence and proactive organizational culture like international orientation as mentioned by Sousa, Ruzo, & Losada, (2010) on managerial resources key role. The findings relate to the individual build of self capability in handling matters and in making sure set goals of business are achieved, and this is supported by findings by Md Daud Ismail, Ahmad Domil, Md Isa, (2014) on managerial competence.

Table 4 shows the definition of international business competence. The findings are similar to the definition of business competence by only addressing on the capability of conducting self successfully at an international level which will require a more complex stature. The RES still emphasizes on the firm specific assets, intangible resources involving people within organization which correspond with the findings made by Filatotchev, Strange,

Position

RES8

RES9

Chairman

Professor

Piesse & Lien (2007) on management know how and managerial competence (Md Daud Ismail et al., 2014).

Table 5 shows the international business competence indicator for ISMEs. Leading the list with 100% marks were Innovative, Environment survey, Source and Management. It was discovered that being innovative would make a company to have a better chance in competence and competitive advantage, thus be recommended as an indicator for ISMEs. Innovativeness in SMEs is also mentioned in many researches (see Lumpkin & Dess, 1996; Lewin & Massini, 2003; Narver & Slater, 1990; Knight & Kim, 2009). The ability to make thorough environment survey too was believed to be a good indicator for international business competence. It is crucial for any businesses to properly scan or study the environment that they want to pursue to ensure success (Barney, Ketchen & Wright, 2011; O'Cass & Sok, 2012; and Sok, O'Cass & Sok, 2013). Source is an important aspect for any businesses to operate, and source can add value to a product/service (Mappigau & Hastan, 2012). At the same time, source can be one of the core competences of an organization (Lau & Chan, 1998; Kuncoro, 2008). Management is also regarded as a significant indicator needed by RES for ISMEs. The core competence owned by the management will be a valuable asset to the organization, uncommon and hard to imitate as suggested by Barney (1995), and Barney, Wright & Ketchen (2001). The least finding in the study is Technology, with only 11%, which recommended a good indicator for ISMEs when embarking abroad. Even though technology has always been regarded as a superior leap to innovativeness and pioneering of product/services, however, technology was found to be less popular among ISMEs as technology incurred a higher cost, which abstained ISMEs from moving forward as mentioned in Andersson (2004), and Frishammar & Andersson (2009).

Experts Functions Category Affiliations Experience RES1 Director Entrepreneurship Development Supporting Government **SMIDEC** 16 Agencies RES2 Director Entrepreneurship Development Policy Makers MIDA 22 RES3 Director Cross Border Investment and Policy Makers MITI 11 International Business RES4 Director Marketing and International Supporting Business Government MATRADE 15 Agencies RES5 President Entrepreneurship Development Chambers of The Malay Chambers 43 Commerce of Commerce RES6 Associate Strategic Planning and Public Universities in Academician 21 Professor Entrepreneurship Development Malaysia RES7 Ex Chairman Cross Border Investment and Industry Services 35 Strategic Management

Entrepreneurship Development and

Strategic Management

Entrepreneurship, Strategic

Management and Finance

Table 1. The profiles of expert panels.

Work

30

28

Table 6 shows the most significant choice of international business competence made by the RES. It was found that Creation / Create received the highest vote with 89%, which confirmed that the ability to create a product/service required by customers is highly important when relating to international business competence (Frishammar & Anderson, 2009). The next one is Environment and Innovative with 78%, which clearly state the importance of knowing the environment (Barney, Ketchen & Wright, 2011; O'Cass & Sok, 2012; and Sok, O'Cass & Sok, 2013) before a business starts to venture abroad and also being innovative (Lumpkin & Dess, 1996; Lewin & Massini, 2003; Narver & Slater, 1990; Knight & Kim, 2009) brings an important mark for businesses. Lastly, Customers and Skills (Vorhies & Morgan, 2005; O'Dwyer, Gilmore & Carson, 2009; Vorhies, Morgan & Autry, 2009; Sok et al., 2013) both with 67%, state the importance of international business competence to look at the

Industry

Academician

Manufacturing

Malaysia

Public Universities in

customers' needs and wants, and for the management team to have the right skills to survive abroad. The lowest vote was Technology and Delivery (Andersson, 2004; Frishammar & Andersson, 2009) with only 11%, which confirmed the condition of most ISMEs that are lacking in the technology velocity and logistical control.

Table 2. Definition of competence.

| Experts | Definition of Competence  |
|---------|---|
| RES1    | Potential   |
| RES2    | Able to solve problem   |
| RES2    | Capability to meet the standard   |
| RES3    | Capability to do something  |
| RES3    | Capability to understand  |
| RES3    | Capability to acknowledge   |
| RES3    | Capability to analyze   |
| RES3    | Capability to prosecute   |
| RES3    | Capability to plan  |
| RES4    | Capability of an individual in achieving a goal or objective  |
| RES5    | Ability to perform a certain task   |
| RES6    | Ability to outstand better than others  |
| RES7    | Capability that is aligned to the vision and mission  |
| RES8    | Strength in thinking skill, perseverance, commitment  |
| RES9    | Somebody who is able to think outside of the box or in multi boxes, risk taker, innovative, think different, knowledge competence |

Table 3. Definition of business competence.

| Experts | Business Competence   |
|---------|---|
| RES1    | Potential of business to grow   |
| RES2    | The ability to meet business goals  |
| RES3    | The ability to achieve the set objective that a particular business is driven to achieve                          |
| RES4    | The ability of a manager to achieve the set goals and objectives of a company                                     |
| RES5    | The ability to execute and manage business according to the set target  |
| RES6    | The ability to achieve success and expansion of business when customers spread success story of company to others |
| RES6    | The ability to get customers to promote for company   |
| RES6    | Able to provide customers' personal touch   |
| RES6    | Able to treat customers like family   |
| RES7    | Capable of doing business-knowing the function of each department and business you are dealing with               |
| RES8    | Strength in managing business using thinking skills, perseverance, and commitment                                 |
| RES9    | Ability in managing business well   |

It can be concluded that, for iSMEs to penetrate and survive in the international world in particular iMSMEs, they need to equip themselves with not only one strong competence but multi-competences. Having strong management resources and capability will lead to sustainable advantage to the organization and this is confirmed by studies conducted by Mappigau & Hastan (2012) on Indonesian small silk weaving industry, and many other researches (Knight & Kim, 2009; Suh & Kim, 2013; and Ibrahim et al., 2014). iMSMEs need to look into innovation in order to attain better results at the international level. Being innovative (international innovativeness) and able to create a product/service alone may not warrant success if organizations neglect the importance of conducting a

thorough international environment survey (international orientation), holding strong management team (international orientation), possessing the right skills (eg. marketing skills orientation), and knowing their customers (international market orientation). All these competences may need to be placed together (multi-competences) to enhance the performance of iSMEs in particular, the iMSMEs. Studies conducted by Knight & Kim (2009), Suh & Kim (2013) and Ibrahim et al., (2014), addressed the importance of multidimensional concept (placing many competences together) may lead to superior performance of iSMEs.

Table 4. Definition of international business competence.

| Experts | International Business Competence  |
|---------|--|
| RES1    | Has potential for business to go and growabroad  |
| RES2    | To become a global player, it must have capabilities to solve global issues, all sorts of pestel   |
| RES3    | A particular business which is recognized to do their core work or business and is capable to deliver that business and be known internationally that it can deliver |
| RES4    | Be able to perform according to the objectives at international level  |
| RES4    | Has the capability to successfully perform business at overseas  |
| RES5    | The ability to perform business at international level   |
| RES6    | The ability of your company to get your product/service accepted by all (global)   |
| RES7    | Has the capability in handling complex business exercise at international level  |
| RES8    | Methodology of competence/capability is absorbed at international level  |
| RES9    | Ability to differentiate the international and local needs   |

Table 5. International business competence indicator.

| No. | V ov. Thomas            | Experts $(N = 9)$ |     |    |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------------|-----|----|
|     | Key Themes              | Frequency         | %   |    |
| 1   | Innovative              | 9                 | 100 | ** |
| 2   | Creative                | 7                 | 78  |    |
| 3   | Environment survey      | 9                 | 100 | ** |
| 4   | Social culture          | 4                 | 44  |    |
| 5   | Network                 | 7                 | 78  |    |
| 6   | Entrepreneurship skills | 5                 | 56  |    |
| 7   | Source                  | 9                 | 100 | ** |
| 8   | Cutting edge product    | 7                 | 78  |    |
| 9   | Technology              | 1                 | 11  |    |
| 10  | Marketing skills        | 8                 | 89  |    |
| 11  | Procedures              | 7                 | 78  |    |
| 12  | Management              | 9                 | 100 | ** |
| 13  | Customer                | 7                 | 78  |    |
| 14  | Opportunity             | 4                 | 44  |    |
| 15  | Cost                    | 6                 | 67  |    |
| 16  | Product differentiation | 5                 | 56  |    |
| 17  | Competition             | 5                 | 56  |    |

|    | Key Themes         | ъ                | 1 | , (F | T.C. |   |   |   |           | N = 9 |    |    |
|----|--------------------|------------------|---|------|------|---|---|---|-----------|-------|----|----|
| No |                    | Respondent (RES) |   |      |      |   |   |   | Frequency | %     |    |    |
| 1  | Creation/Create    | 1                | 1 | 2    | 3    | 5 | 8 | 9 | 9         | 8     | 89 | ** |
| 2  | Customers          | 1                | 1 | 3    | 5    | 5 | 6 |   |           | 6     | 67 | *  |
| 3  | Environment        | 1                | 1 | 2    | 2    | 3 | 5 | 6 |           | 7     | 78 | ** |
| 4  | Opportunity        | 1                | 1 | 5    |      |   |   |   |           | 3     | 33 |    |
| 5  | Network            | 1                | 8 | 9    |      |   |   |   |           | 3     | 33 |    |
| 6  | Quality            | 1                | 7 |      |      |   |   |   |           | 2     | 22 |    |
| 7  | Technology         | 2                |   |      |      |   |   |   |           | 1     | 11 |    |
| 8  | Source             | 1                | 3 | 5    | 9    |   |   |   |           | 4     | 44 |    |
| 9  | Innovative         | 1                | 2 | 3    | 4    | 5 | 9 | 9 |           | 7     | 78 | ** |
| 10 | Cost               | 2                | 7 |      |      |   |   |   |           | 2     | 22 |    |
| 11 | Delivery           | 3                |   |      |      |   |   |   |           | 1     | 11 |    |
| 12 | Skills             | 3                | 4 | 4    | 5    | 6 | 9 |   |           | 6     | 67 | *  |
| 13 | Knowledge          | 3                | 5 | 6    | 7    |   |   |   |           | 4     | 44 |    |
| 14 | Trust              | 5                | 5 |      |      |   |   |   |           | 2     | 22 |    |
| 15 | Standard/Procedure | 5                | 5 |      |      |   |   |   |           | 2     | 22 |    |
| 16 | Employee           | 5                | 8 |      |      |   |   |   |           | 2     | 22 |    |
| 17 | Competition        | 7                | 8 |      |      |   |   |   |           | 2     | 22 |    |

Table 6. International business competence significance.

## 5. Limitation and future research

This research only interviewed a small number of expert panels. Better results may be achieved with larger number of respondents. The focus is also only on Internationalized Malaysian Small and Medium Enterprises. A larger focused group and a comparative study on both domestic and international Malaysian SMEs should be conducted for a wider perspective. It is suggested that future research ponder upon the importance of multidimensional concept of competences.

# Acknowledgements

The researchers wish to thank all the panel experts for their willingness to participate in this research. A warm thank you also to the Jabatan Perkhidmatan Awam (JPA) for the scholarship.

# References

Andersson, S. (2004). Internationalization in different industry contexts. Journal of Business Venturing, 19(6), 857-875.

Barney, J., Wright, M. J. D., & Ketchen. J. (2001). The resource-based view of the firm: Ten years after 1991. Journal of Management, 27, 625-641

Barney, J. B., (1991). Firm resources and sustainable competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99-120.

Beck, T. (2005). SMEs, growth, and poverty: cross-country evidence. Journal of Economic Growth, 10(3), 199-229.

Bryman, A., & Bell, E. (2007). Business research methods (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.

Bush, V. D., Rose, G. M., Gilbert, F., & Ingram, T. N. (2001). Managing culturally diverse buyer-seller relationships: The role of intercultural disposition and adaptive selling in developing intercultural communication competence. *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, 29(4), 391-404

Calantone, R., & Knight, G. 2000. The critical role of product quality in the international performance of industrial firms. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 29(6), 493–506.

Cassell, C., & Symon, G. (1995). Qualitative methods in organizational research: A practical guide. New Delhi: Sage Publications

Cavusgil, S. T., & Zou, S. (1994). Marketing strategy-performance relationship: An investigation of the empirical link in export market ventures. Journal of Marketing, 58(1), 1-21.

Day, D. L. (1994). Raising radicals: Different processes for championing innovative corporate ventures. *Organization Science*, 5(2), 148–172. Fahy, J. (2002). A resource-based analysis of sustainable competitive advantage in a global environment. *International Business Review*, 11(1), 57–78.

Filatotchev, I., Strange, R., Piesse, J. & Lien, Y. (2007). FDI by firms from newly industrialized economies in emerging mark ets: corporate governance, entry mode and location. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 38(4), 556-572.

Frishammar, J., & Andersson, S. (2009). The overestimated role of strategic orientations for international performance in smaller firms. Journal

of International Entreprenuer, 7(1), 57-77.

Hampden-Turner, C., & Trompenaars, F. (2000). Building crosscultural competence: How to create wealth from conflicting values. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.

Hesse-Biber, S. N., & Leavy, P. (2006). The practice of qualitative research. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publication.

Ibrahim, Z., Abdullah, F., & Ismail, A., (2014). International business competence framework: Internationalized small and medium enterprises, Asean Entreprenuershp Conference. Penang, Malaysia, paper #P14.

Ismail, M. D., Ahmad Domil, A. K., & Isa, A. M. (2014). Managerial competence, relationship quality and competitive advantage among SME exporters. *Procedia of Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 115, 138-146.

Johnson, J. L., & Sohi, R. S. (2003). The development of interfirm partnership competence: Platforms for learning, learning activities, and consequences of learning. *Journal of Business Research*, 56(9), 757–766.

Johnson, J. P., Lenartowicz, T., & Apud, S. (2006). Cross-cultural competence in international business: Toward a definition and a model. Journal of International Business Studies, 37(4), 525–543.

Knight, G. (2000). Entrepreneurship and marketing strategy: The SME under globalization. Journal of International Marketing, 8(2), 12–32.

Knight, G., & Cavusgil, S. T. (2004). Innovation, organizational capabilities, and the born global firm. Journal of International Business Studies, 35(2), 124–141.

Knight, G., & Cavusgil, S. (2005). A taxonomy of born-global firms. Management International Review, 45(3), 15–35.

Knight, G. & Kim, D. (2009). International business competence and the contemporary firm. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40(2), 255–273.

Kohli, A., & Jaworski, B. (1990). Market orientation: The construct, research propositions, and managerial implications. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(2), 1–18.

Kuncoro, M. (2008). Mendongkrak daya saing kesimpulan dan rekomendasi. Available at http://news.okezone.com/index.php/ReadStory/2008/09/10/58/144531/mendongkrak-daya-saing.

Lambe, C. J., Spekman, R. E., & Hunt, S. D. (2002). Alliance competence, resources, and alliance success: Conceptualization, measurement, and initial test. *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, 30(2), 141–158.

Lewin, A. Y., & Massini, S. (2003). Knowledge creation and organizational capabilities of innovating and imitating firms. In H. Tsoukas & N. Mylonopoulos (Eds), *Organizations as knowledge systems* (pp. 209–237). Basingstoke: Palgrave.

Li, T., & Calantone, R. (1998). The impact of market knowledge competence on new product advantage: Conceptualization and empirical examination. *Journal of Marketing*, 62(4), 13–29.

Li Xue, C. (2011). SMEs as motor of growth: A review of China's SMEs development in thirty years (1978-2008). *Human systems management,* 30(1/2), 39–54.

Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, G. (1996). Clarifying the entrepreneurial orientation construct and linking it to performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(1), 135–172.

Man, T. W. L., Lau, T., & Chan, K. F. (1998). Conceptualisation of SIS' Competitiveness: A focus on entreprenuerial competence. Available at www.sbacr.uca.edu/research/icsb/1998/27.pdf.

Mappigau, P., & Hastan. (2012). Core competence and sustainable competitive advantage of small silk weaving industries (SIs) in Wajo district, South Sulawesi. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 4, 160-167.

Mead, D. C., & Liedholm, C. (1998). The dynamics of micro and small enterprises in developing countries. World Development, 26(1), 61–74.

Mort, G. S., & Weerawardena, J. (2006). Networking capability and international entrepreneurship: How networks function in Australian born global firms. *International Marketing Review*, 23(5),549–572.

Narver, J. C., & Slater, S. F. (1990). The effect of a market orientation on business profitability. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(4), 20–35.

O'Cass, A., & Sok, P. (2012). Examining the role within and between functional area resource-capability complementary in achieving customer and product based performance outcomes. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 20(4), 345-363.

O'Dwyer, M., Gilmore, A., & Carson, D. (2009), Innovative marketing in SMEs, European Journal of Marketing, 43, 46-61.

Papyrina, V. (2007). When, how, and with what success? The joint effect of entry timing and entry mode on survival of Japanese subsidiaries in China. *Journal of International Marketing*, 15(3), 73–95.

Peng, M. W. (2001). The resource-based view and international business. Journal of Management, 27(6), 803-829.

Prahalad, C. K., & Hamel, G. (1990). The core competence of the corporation. Harvard Business Review, 68(3), 79-91.

Ritter, T., & Gemu'nden, H. G. (2004). The impact of a company's business strategy on its technological competence, network competence and innovation success. *Journal of Business Research*, 57(5), 548–556.

Sok, P., O'Cass, A., & Sok, K. M. (2013). Achieving superior SME performance: Overarching role of marketing, innovation, and learning capabilities. Australasian Marketing Journal, 21(2013), 161-197.

Sousa, M. P., Ruzo, E., & Losada, F. (2010). The key role of managers' values in exporting: Influence on customer responsiveness and export performance. *Journal of International Marketing*, 18(2), 1-19.

Steensma, H., Marino, L., Weaver, K., & Dickson, P. (2000). The influence of national culture on the formation of technology alliances by entrepreneurial firms. Academy of Management Journal, 43(5), 951–973.

Suh, Y., & Kim, M. Internationally leading SMEs vs. internationalized SMEs: Evidence of success factors from South Korea. *International Business Review*. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibus-rev.2013.03.002.

Tenth Malaysia Plan (2010). Tenth Malaysian Plan 2006-2010. Putrajaya, Malaysia: Economic Planning Unit. Available at http://www.pmo.gov.my.

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. Strategic Management Journal, 18(7), 509-533.

Vorhies, D. W., & Morgan, R. E., & Autry, C. W. (2009). Product-market strategy and marketing capabilities of the firm: impact on market effectiveness and cash flowperformance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(12), 1310-1334.

Wren, B., Souder, W., & Berkowitz, D. (2000). Market orientation and new product development in global industrial firms. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 29(6), 601–611.