This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

DC Microgrid Technology: System Architectures, AC Grid Interfaces, Grounding Schemes, Power Quality, Communication Networks, Applications and Standardizations Aspects

Dinesh Kumar, *Member, IEEE*, Firuz Zare, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Arindam Ghosh, *Fellow, IEEE*

***Abstract*- To meet the fast growing energy demand and, at the same time, to tackle environmental issues resulting from conventional energy sources, renewable energy sources are utilized in power networks to ensure reliable and affordable energy for the public and the industrial sectors. Integration of renewable energy in the outdated electrical grid can result in new risks/challenges such as security of the supply infrastructure, base load energy capacity, seasonal effects and so on.**

Recent research and development in microgrids has proven that *microgrids* which are fueled by renewable energy sources and managed by *smart grid* (use of smart sensors and smart energy management system) can offer higher reliability and more efficient energy systems in a cost-effective manner. Further improvement in reliability and efficiency of electrical grids can be achieved by utilizing DC distribution in microgrid systems. *DC microgrid* becomes an attractive technology in modern electrical grid system due to natural interface with renewable energy sources, electric loads and energy storage systems.

In the recent past, an increase in research work has been observed in DC microgrid to bring this technology closer to practical implementation. This paper presents state-of-the-art DC microgrid technology covering AC interfaces, architectures, possible grounding schemes, power quality issues and communication systems. The advantages of DC grid can be utilized in many applications to improve their reliability and efficiency. This paper also discusses benefits and challenges of using DC grid system in several applications. The paper highlights the urgent need of standardizations for DC microgrid technology and present recent updates in this area.

Index Terms- DC microgrid, Architectures, Power Quality, Grounding, Communication Network, Smart grid and Standardization.

I. Introduction

The era of 20th century has begun with a very crucial debate on electricity type (electrical energy) and its fundamental aspects. For instance how it is generated, transmitted and utilized. This debate refers to a well-known discussion as “war of currents,” where George Westinghouse and Nikola Tesla supported Alternating Current (AC) and their opponent Thomas Edison advocated Direct Current (DC). Meanwhile, it appeared that the DC power generation was limited to a relatively low voltage and a variation in DC voltage level was another issue. Therefore, Edison’s power plants had to be utilized locally, i.e. loads had to be close to the generating station [1]. In contrary, the AC voltage solution could easily be stepped up to facilitate the power transfer over long distance and then stepped down to deliver to the end users. At the same time, Tesla invented AC Induction Motor (IM), which played a role of game changer in this war, and finally AC won “war of currents” as prominent form of electricity [2]-[3]. The achievement of this foundational milestone in the electricity history remarkably led the era of centralized power generation (power plant) and expansion of the AC power transmission and distribution worldwide. Thereafter, fossil fuel (coal and natural gas) power plants became prominent way of electricity.

In recent years, energy and environmental issues on conventional energy sources become remarkably main concerns due to greenhouse gas emission, depletion on energy sources, ageing of current transmission and distribution infrastructure and ever-growing demand of electrical energy. Therefore, many researchers and politicians have considered that innovation in sustainable energy supply is mandatory in order to provide reliable and clean energy sources and improve the quality of life. Although recent developments in distributed generations such as grid connected Renewable Energy Sources (RES) have already shown promising solutions, increasing penetration of distributed generations into utility AC grids could cause voltage rise and protection issues. These will increase the challenge for the utility grid security, reliability and quality. In order to solve these problems, new concepts for future electrical power systems have been proposed, known as “Microgrid” and “Smart grids”. A microgrid is a low voltage (LV) power network with distributed energy sources such as photovoltaic (PV) arrays, micro-wind turbines, fuel cell and energy storage devices (e.g. batteries, super capacitor and flywheel), which offer better control capability over network operation. The idea of microgrid began as a solution to meet the local energy demand by connecting distributed power sources to distribution networks such as local substations without further expansion of costly centralized utility grids. Microgrids are normally interconnected to low or medium voltage (MV) distribution networks via a direct connection or an interfacing power converter, which gives an opportunity to get power from the utility grid and also feeds power back to utility grid during surplus power generation. In the event of a fault, the microgrid disconnects from the utility network as fast as possible and controls its load using different control methods such as a droop control. In this condition, the microgrid operates in an islanding mode. These special characteristics of microgrid (as defined smart grid requirements) improve the power security,

D. Kumar is with the Department of EMC and Harmonics, Global R&D Center, Danfoss Drives A/S, Denmark (e-mail: dinesh@danfoss.com).

F. Zare is with Power and Energy Systems, University of Queensland Brisbane St Lucia Qld 4072, Australia (e-mail: f.zare@uq.edu.au).

A. Ghosh is with Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, Curtin University, Australia (e-mail: Arindam.Ghosh@curtin.edu.au).

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

reliability and quality of the grid as well as local customers.

Presently, most of the microgrids adopt conventional AC grid systems (Fig. 1), therefore the distributed energy sources require power converters to transfer and convert power from these energy sources to the AC grid system. For example, wind turbines require back-to-back power converters to synchronize and adjust the output frequency and voltage level with the AC grid system.

With the recent trend in Electric Vehicles (EV) development, the impact of their connections to the low voltage distribution systems is significantly increased. Similarly, in industrial environments, a number of adjustable speed AC drives are used, which also require AC-DC and DC-AC conversion stages. In residential and commercial segments, grid connected equipment such as computers, high efficient lighting systems and battery chargers use DC power. Thus, these devices require an AC-DC conversion stage to be connected to the AC grid. These multiple conversion stages reduce the overall efficiency and reliability of the systems. Some of these conversion stages can be reduced or replaced by a high efficient DC-DC converter if these devices are directly connected to a DC grid. It seems "Microgrid" concept and modern power electronics based renewable power systems can lead to a rebirth the Edison's original vision for a power system.



Fig. 1 . Building block of an AC microgrid system



Fig. 2. Building block of a DC microgrid system

Using DC grid system, the energy sources and power electronic loads can be supplied more effectively and efficiently by choosing a suitable voltage level and avoiding few conversion stages as shown in Fig. 2. Furthermore, the Energy Storage System (ESS) can be directly connected to the main DC bus or connected via a DC-DC converter. Each approach has some pros and cons which depend on the application and its requirements. For example, battery system has no constant output voltage and the variation in output voltage depends on the battery chemistry, current, ambient temperature and state of charge (SoC). The direct connections of a battery to DC bus can result fluctuation in the bus voltage, inrush current and shorter lifetime of the battery [4]. Thus, these issues can create stability and protection problems in the DC grid system. Therefore, DC-DC converters are normally recommended for interfacing battery systems to the DC bus. A DC-DC converter can ensure a controllable current and output voltage level, which provides opportunity to integrate a number of batteries together despite their completely different SoC characteristics. In overall, DC distribution systems can offer a number of advantages in different applications, as follows:

- Recently environmental agencies posed strong requirements on electricity production, which is responsible for a major portion of carbon dioxide (CO_2) and other harmful emissions. An increase in the use of renewable energy sources to produce electricity will help in reducing the CO_2 emissions in the environment. Further reduction in CO_2 emissions can be achieved by improving the efficiency of power generation and transmission systems. This can be done in DC grid systems with less number of power converters [5].
- There is no skin effect in a DC system which allows the current to flow through the entire cable and not just the outer edge. This reduces losses and also provides a possibility to use a smaller cable for the same amount of the current [6].
- There is no need for any synchronization of grid connected renewable energy systems with the grid and also reactive power control. This can further reduce the complexity of the system.
- DC microgrid increases the stability, reliability, controllability and power quality of the system during power blackout or grid disturbances (sag or swell).

However, there are some obstacles in practical implementation of the DC microgrid, which need more attention from the research community, such as:

- Protection of the DC grid system which is more difficult than the AC distribution system as there is no natural zero crossing of the current.
- Transition from AC to DC system in low voltage distribution networks requires several stages such as new standards for products and voltage levels.
- Grounding and corrosion issues in DC systems.

With increasing demand for smart and efficient loads and rapid growth in renewable energy sources, Low Voltage DC (LVDC) power distribution can be suitable power grids for many applications. However, there are number of applications where DC grid already in use for many years such as traction, telecom and vehicular technology. In order to accommodate the new technologies such as RES, modifications in the existing DC architectures are required to further enhance their flexibility and controllability. Electric power in DC system can be transmitted over two-wire (unipolar) or three-wire (bipolar) system configuration. The new architectures in DC system depend on the voltage polarity requirement in those specific applications. Recently a number of researchers from both industry and academia have proposed various possible architectures for DC microgrid systems. In this paper DC architectures has been reviewed and discussed with their pros and cons.

An interface between a DC microgrid (LVDC) and an AC utility grid is very important with respect to how electrical power flows between AC and DC networks. Increasing penetration of RES such as PV and wind turbine can offer possibility to transfer surplus power back to the AC grids. There are various AC-DC converter (rectifier) topologies, which can be used in AC and DC grid interfaces. Even in some applications, multi-parallel AC-DC converters are frequently used by sharing the common DC bus system. These arrangements offer numerous advantages such as high power to DC bus, flexible and more reliable system, but on the other hand circulating current through the parallel converters is a big challenge. Very limited literature is available to discuss these challenges in the context of DC microgrid systems. Therefore, in this paper, possible AC-DC conversion topologies have been reviewed; their challenges and needs for future investigations are discussed.

For safe operation of any power system, grounding (earthing) of its supply network and grid connected electrical equipment are important. An effective grounding scheme can minimize risks of electric shock hazards. The DC microgrid system is more complex than traditional AC utility grid due to integration of new power electronic technologies and interface with the AC network. Therefore, a detail assessment is required for a suitable grounding scheme in the DC microgrid. This paper shows how the AC grid grounding scheme is important for selection of a DC microgrid grounding configuration.

Advantages of DC microgrid/distribution system are widely debated in recent years, but very few literatures have discussed about the power quality issues in the DC grids. Some of these disturbances may come from AC utility interface, but the DC microgrid is a complex system and includes many power electronics converters, which may cause different power quality issues similar to the traditional AC grids. Therefore, this paper highlights the major power quality concerns in DC microgrid system.

Future modern electrical grid (smart grid) will comprise of several Intelligent Electronic Devices (IED). Integration of DC microgrid with smart grid might require a reliable communication infrastructure, which allows a utility to manage these devices from a central station. Communication network should meet specific requirements based on grid application. This paper discusses a need of reliable communication infrastructure in DC microgrid system and reviews various available communication technologies which can be used in DC microgrid applications.

Recent development in LVDC systems has attracted a number of applications where the DC grid can be used to improve their performance, efficiency, reliability and cost optimization of the systems. Although in some of the applications such as Telecom sector where -48V DC system is used from many years, but recent learning from Data Center pilot studies [7]-[10] with 380V DC can trigger the Telecom sector to further improve their system performance by increasing the voltage level. Therefore, in this paper not only the existing DC power applications (such as Telecom) has been reviewed, but also the use of DC in future applications such as Net-Zero-Energy (NZE) buildings (both residential and commercial), data center, commercial electrical vehicle charging station, industrial and ship networks are discussed.

One of the biggest issues to visualize the Edison's dream (DC power) to a reality is a suitable standardization for the DC grids especially voltage level and safety regulations. However, various national and international standardization organizations have already started working in this area. To update the LVDC research community, recent LVDC related activities within these standardization organizations are reviewed and reported in this paper.

To further elaborate the aforementioned topic in the DC microgrid system, the content of this paper is presented as follows: In section-II, the interface of DC microgrid with AC grid is analyzed with possible AC-DC converter topologies. In section-III, the classification of DC microgrid based on DC bus voltage polarity has been discussed. Section-IV summarizes the different architecture of DC microgrid systems. Importance of AC grid grounding scheme for selection of DC microgrid grounding scheme discussed in section-V. Various power quality challenges in DC microgrid system have been discussed in section-VI. Communication system is an integral part of DC microgrid, section-VII briefly describes the need of communication system and commonly available communication technologies. Section-VIII highlights the future market application of DC grid system. Section-IX discussed need of standardization work in low voltage DC grid and also reports the recent update carried out in this regards. Finally, section-X draws the conclusions and future trends.

II. AC Interface of DC Microgrid

In a DC micro grid system, it is very important to analyze how electrical power flows between AC and DC networks. In fact, smart DC grid systems should be designed based on bidirectional power flow in which electrical energy generated by some RES such as PV panel or fuel cells can be transferred back to the AC grid to support quality and stability of the AC grid. In order to analyze the interface issues between the AC and the DC grids, different AC-DC topologies (rectifiers) are considered as follows. Circulating current issues in multi-parallel rectifiers of DC grid systems also discussed in details.

A. Grid-Interface AC-DC Converter Topologies for DC Microgrid

The AC-DC conversion for DC microgrid system can be classified into the following categories:

- Diode and controlled rectifiers
- Active Front End (AFE)

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

- Special Topologies

i) Diode and Controlled Rectifiers

Diode and controlled rectifiers are unidirectional power flow topologies and their line currents are distorted by significant low order harmonics, mainly below 2 kHz. There are several solutions to improve the quality of the line current such as passive filters in the DC link and/or in the front side of the rectifier [11]. These rectifiers can be either a single-phase or a three-phase system as shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3. Diode or controlled rectifier (a) single phase and (b) three-phase

ii) Active Front End (AFE)

This is a bidirectional power flow converters that provides a high quality sinusoidal line current waveform. The system has a six active power switches such as IGBTs or MOSFETs and are controlled based on a Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) technique. In order to control the switching frequency ripple, a front side filter is required which can be L, LC or LCL type. The LCL filter is a common filter as it can remove high frequency current and clean the line current at the grid side as shown in Fig. 4. However due to a stability issue of the converter, a proper damping method is required. Possible control methods are a) passive damping, by adding a resistor in series with the capacitor which can affect the efficiency of the system; b) active damping by adding one of the state variables in the control method such as capacitor current in order to develop a virtual resistor. Although the active method can improve the efficiency but additional sensor is required for the measurement; c) a proper control filter design which is very challenging control issue due to close locations of the system poles to the origin.



Fig. 4 Active Front End (AFE) with integrated LCL filter

iii) Special Technologies

There are some other AC-DC topologies which are shown in Fig. 5. The most common single phase system is based on a diode rectifier with a boost converter at the DC link side. The main advantages of this topology are the improved line current quality and power factor of the system based on the active circuit in the DC link system. This topology is named as a single phase with Power Factor Correction (PFC) circuit as shown in Fig. 5(a).

A similar concept has been utilized in a three-phase diode or controlled rectifier and the topology is named Electronic Inductor (EI) as shown in Fig. 5(b). A main advantage of this topology is the ability to control the DC link current and voltage under different load profiles. The DC link current can be either flat or modulated waveform [12]-[13]. In this three-phase system, each diode conducts for 120 degrees, therefore the line current can be a square wave with or without modulated waveform to improve line current harmonics.

The Vienna rectifier (in Fig. 5(c)) is a unidirectional power flow but its line current is almost sinusoidal. The number of switches is reduced compare to an AFE topology which has a big impact on reliability and the cost of the system.



Fig. 5. Different AC-DC topologies: (a) single-phase PFC, (b) three-phase EI, and (c) three-phase Vienna rectifier

B. Parallel Connections of AC-DC Converters to DC Microgrid

One of the major issues of multi-parallel rectifiers with a common DC link capacitor (for example, in a DC micro grid) is a circulating current which should be reduced as low as possible. The circulating current depends on the topology of the rectifiers and the configuration of the whole system. In the following sections, circulating currents of several cases with different topologies have been considered.

Case 1: Diode rectifiers are cheap and simple converters which are used in many products and systems. However, to reduce the line current harmonics below a limit - defined by a regulation such as IEC 61000-3-2 & 12 [14]-[15] or IEEE 519 [16]- a proper passive filter is utilized either in the DC link and/or in the AC side of the rectifier. As shown in Fig. 6(a), when two or more parallel rectifiers are connected to a DC network, the filter configuration can affect the circulating current due to different filter types or power rating. For example, in Fig. 6(a), two diode rectifiers with a same DC link filter are considered. Although the topologies are the same but the power rating can be different. The inductance values of the filters are determined according to the base impedances in Per Unit (~x% PU). Therefore the inductance values are changed with respect to the power level as well. As shown in Fig. 6(b and c), two parallel legs (*the positive or the negative DC link legs: rectifier 1 and 2*) are connected to the same terminals ($V_{phase}(t)$ and V_{dc}). A major issue in this topology is that the DC current depends on the resistance of the DC link legs

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

(mainly diode and DC link choke) while the ripple current (the main frequency at 300 Hz) depends on the inductance value. Therefore, the current sharing significantly depends on the quality and the tolerance of the components.

Fig. 7 (a) shows two rectifiers with different DC link filter types. The voltage across two parallel legs (*the positive DC link legs: unit 1 and unit 2*) is the same therefore the DC and AC current sharing depends on the resistive and the inductive values of the components and the voltage drop across the diodes. The situation is much worse for the other two parallel legs (*the negative DC link legs: rectifier 1 and 2*). As the rectifier 2 has only one inductor at the positive DC link side, thus the negative leg impedance is very low and most of current passes through this leg. A possible solution is to add an AC inductor to share the current through the negative DC link legs.

Case 2: Parallel connection of rectifiers EI has almost no circulating current as the diode rectifiers are turned on and off at the same time with no firing angle delay. When control rectifiers are utilized in a system, then the line current quality can be improved based on multi-level current waveform. This topology is named Modular Multi Rectifier (MMR) [17]-[18]. When the firing angle of the controlled rectifier is not zero, the circulating current is generated. This is due to the fact that the voltages across two negative legs of the rectifier are connected to two different phases (in Fig. 8 (a)) and can generate a circulating current (Fig. 8(b)). However, this current can be controlled based on active current control method [18].

Case 3: The last case is about parallel connection of AFE converters with a common DC bus system as shown in Fig. 9. This issue is related to the PWM method applied to paralleled converters. As the input sides of all AFE converters are connected to the same voltage sources and the DC link capacitor is a common DC link for all converters, therefore circulating current can be generated during switching states when the switching devices are turned on and off. One of the approaches to reduce the circulating current is to control the PWM patterns [19] and/or increased the switching frequency [20].



Fig. 6. (a) Parallel connection of two three-phase rectifiers of different power rating, (b) equivalent impedance loops in the positive DC bus leg and (c) the negative DC bus leg



Fig. 7. (a) Parallel connection of two three-phase rectifiers with different DC-link filter configurations (b) equivalent impedance loop in the positive DC bus legs and (c) the negative DC bus legs



Fig. 8. (a) MMR topology when S_1 and S_2 are turned off; (b) the voltage across the negative DC link legs of the rectifiers



Fig. 9. Parallel connection of two three-phase Active Front End (AFE) rectifiers

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

C. Grid-Interface Electronic Transformer for DC Microgrid

The Electronic Transformer or Solid State Transformer (SST) is considered as a key enabling technology for implementation in future electric power distribution architecture such as smart grid [21]-[23]. The application of SST has been already visualized in microgrid, traction and data center [24]-[29]. There are several different configurations of SST which have been reported over the last two decade [30]-[31]. Dual active bridge (DAB) based SST topology shows its application in DC microgrid system [24]-[25], [30], [32]-[34], in which it can replace the existing passive distribution transformer operated at the line frequency 50Hz/60Hz and also provide direct connection to the LVDC system.

The SST concept enables equal functionality feature as AFE with passive distribution transformer (shown in Fig. 10 (a)). Moreover, SST topology integrates with DC-DC conversion stages (dual active bridge topology shown in Fig. 10(c)) which provide galvanic isolation and voltage adaption as shown in Fig. 10(b). The DC-DC converter can operate at higher frequency range (few 100Hz to kHz), thus a considerable reduction in reactive component size can also be achieved [35]-[36].

The SST typically includes a high voltage AC to DC power conversion to generate a high DC link voltage, and then a high frequency DC-DC converter stage is required to regulate the DC bus voltage. Therefore, the SST is basically a three-energy port system: where one port is interfaced with high AC voltage and other two ports are DC port (LVDC) and low voltage AC port (LVAC). The three-port characteristic of SST makes it very suitable for DC microgrid application, where the input side is connected to an AC grid and/or a distributed energy source and the DC side to PV, Fuel cell and battery systems as shown in Fig. 10 (b).



Fig. 10. (a) Active Front End (AFE) with passive distribution transformer, (b) SST topology, and (c) Dual active bridge DC-DC converter

The SST based AC-DC conversion has a better power factor regulation, VAR compensation capability and also provide galvanic isolation to the DC bus system. Overall, the SST based DC microgrid will be more compact with better functionality in which an AC grid interface is required.

Although, it is widely assumed that SST will bring the revolution to future DC microgrid systems, but its practical implementation is long awaited.

III. DC Microgrid Voltage Polarity

As in AC grid systems, the power flow from utility grid can be transmitted using two wires (single-phase) and four wires (three-phase) systems. The power in DC grids can also be transmitted using similar configuration: two-wire (unipolar) and three-wire (bipolar) systems [37]-[40]. The difference between these two DC grid configurations is the number of available voltage levels.

A. Unipolar DC Microgrid System

In the unipolar DC system, sources and loads are connected between the positive and the negative pole of the DC bus as illustrated in Fig. 11. The energy is transmitted over the DC bus at one voltage level; therefore selection of DC bus voltage level is a key factor in the unipolar system. The higher voltage level increases power transmission capability of the system, but it demands more DC-DC converters in order to match the end user voltage level. Furthermore, higher voltage level can possibly increase safety risk in the system.



Fig. 11. Unipolar DC microgrid configuration

With low voltage level, the transmission capability of the system limits to a short distance. However the proper selection of low voltage level can avoid a number of DC-DC converters in low power grid connected equipment. The unipolar system can be a very feasible solution for off-grid house in remote rural areas, where no utility grid infrastructures even exist. Recently 48V DC unipolar systems have been implemented with integration of PV panel microgrid for off-grid houses in rural areas in India [41].

In overall, the unipolar system is simple to implement and there is no chance of asymmetry exist between DC poles. However this system does not provide any redundancy, and therefore even a single fault can lead to shut down the complete system [42]. Moreover, this system does not offer different voltage level options to the customer.

B. Bipolar DC Microgrid System

The bipolar system can overcome aforementioned limitations associated with the unipolar system. The bipolar system also known as three-wire DC bus system, which

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

consists of $+V_{dc}$, $–V_{dc}$ and neutral line as illustrated in Fig. 12. In this configuration customers have option to choose three different voltage levels: $+V_{dc}$, $-V_{dc}$ and $2V_{dc}$. Furthermore, under a fault situation in one of the DC poles, the power can still be supplied by the other two wires (bipolar) and an auxiliary converter. Therefore, the reliability, availability and power quality of the system are increased during a fault condition. Different voltage levels offer more flexibility to customers in order to connect different loads, but at same time this can result unbalance in the system due to unequal distribution of loads. Therefore, a voltage balancer circuit or a suitable control system in the power converters at the source side is highly recommended in this type of system [43].



Fig. 12. Bipolar DC microgrid configuration

The unipolar and bipolar topologies are basis for the future system architecture and grounding scheme in DC microgrid systems.

IV. DC Microgrid Architecture

Today, the fast growing integration of RES (such as PV panel) and ESS in distribution power systems has highlighted the benefits of DC microgrids and hence proves it as the prominent form of the electrical power distribution. However, power capacity of any DES is very variable and uncertain due to its dependency on weather condition. Therefore, an interface with the AC grid is very important in order to improve the reliability and availability of the DC microgrid system. There are many different ways to interface a DC microgrid with an AC grid such as:

- Radial configuration
- Ring or loop configuration
- Interconnected configuration

Each connection scheme has their pros and cons. Furthermore, based on these connection schemes different DC microgrid architectures can be possible. There are number of such architectures which have been already reported in recent years. This section reviews each connection scheme in details including their applications and with their pros and cons.

A. Radial Configuration

In this configuration, the DC bus is interfaced with an AC grid at one end and power flows along a single path towards different loads. Therefore, only one path is available between each load to the AC grid interface. A single line diagram of the radial DC microgrid system is shown in Fig. 13, where a number of RES, ESS and loads (both AC and DC) are connected to the single DC bus. The DC bus can be unipolar or bipolar depending on its application and requirements. Such type of architecture can be used in residential buildings, where low voltage DC bus is preferred to match the voltage level of many appliances and to avoid any extra DC-DC conversion. Also in such application, loads and AC grid interface can be located close to each other in order to reduce the distribution losses of the system.

The same concept can be extend for a multi DC microgrid system such as a multi-story building or a local community, where each microgrid can have RES and ESS together with different loads. In such application, the DC bus of each microgrid can be interconnected in series or in parallel depending on the physical layout of the buildings and systems. In this way, every building acts as a cluster of the microgrid and is able to consume or insert the power to the neighboring microgrid. The parallel radial architecture can increase the reliability of the system by isolating only faulty buses in case of failure and continues for normal operation in healthy buses. The series radial architecture may have some stability issues during islanding mode. These two configurations are shown in Fig. 14.

The radial DC microgrid configurations can offer a number of advantages such as simplicity, multi voltage level (in bipolar) and ability to share the power from neighboring buses (in multi-bus architecture). However, the series radial architecture is not flexible during fault conditions. For example, a single fault can affect all customer connected to single bus system. In case of series radial multi bus system, when a faulty bus is isolated by circuit breakers, then the buses after and before the faulty bus will not have a possibility to share their power with the entire system.

B. Ring or Loop Configuration

In order to overcome the aforementioned limitation of radial configuration, a ring or loop type distribution system can be used. This configuration consists of two or more paths between the AC grid interface and the customers as shown in Fig. 15 Fast DC switches are placed at both ends of each DC bus, which offer the flexibility to isolate the faulty bus from the system. An Intelligent Electronic Device (IED) is used to control each bus and their interface with other neighboring buses [6]. When a fault encounters in any bus, the IED first detects and isolates the faulty bus from the system and then provides an alternative path to supply the power to the customer. This type of distribution system can be used in urban and industrial environments.

As discussed above, the ring type distribution system is more reliable as compared to radial system, but both DC microgrid systems depend on the AC grid supply. If any fault occurs in the AC feeder, the DC microgrid system does not have any other option to get required supply from the AC grid.

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access



Fig. 13 A radial architecture of DC microgrid system



(a)



(b)

Fig. 14 A radial architecture of a multi DC microgrid system, (a) series configuration [44] and (b) parallel configuration



Fig. 15 A ring bus architecture of DC microgrid

C. *Interconnected Configuration*

The reliability of DC microgrid system can be further improved by ensuring an alternative AC grid supply to customers in the event of failure of one or more feeders. This can be done by interconnecting the DC bus with more than one supply from AC grid, such as:

i) Mesh Type DC Microgrid System
ii) Zonal Type DC Microgrid System

i) Mesh Type DC (MTDC) Microgrids

A mesh type DC microgrid is also known as a multi-terminal grid, where multi AC grid interfaces are connected to the DC grids, each through an AC-DC converter. Different DC microgrid architectures can be possible based on this configuration when several DC and AC power supplies are connected to the DC feeders. For example, Fig. 16 shows one such architecture.



Fig. 16. Mesh type DC microgrid architecture

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

The MTDC is more reliable compared to the radial or the ring DC grids due to possible and available other feeders to supply power to other parts of the system. Similar architectures are utilized in High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) system such as off-shore wind farms and underground urban sub-transmission and distribution system [45]-[47].

The "handshaking" method has been proposed to locate and isolates the faulty DC bus and restore the MTDC system without any internal communication within AC-DC converters in the system [48]-[49].

ii) Zonal Type DC (ZTDC) Microgrid *System*

To further improve the reliability of the system, a zonal electrical distribution system have been proposed in [50]-[52], where distribution system is sub-divided into number of zones and each zone have two redundant DC buses as shown in Fig. 17. In fact, this DC grid architecture consists of cascaded DC microgrid systems with a symmetrical configuration.

The ZTDC microgrid system is a set of power system elements: power converter, energy storage system, generation and switchgear with the aim to supply a group of loads. Each zone is connected with two redundant DC buses powered by the AC grid and distributed DC and AC energy sources. This type of architecture provides a better reliability and availability for the loads which can be supplied through one of the feeders. Assuming a fault happens in the upper bus (Bus 11) of Zone-1, then the switches at the upper side (S_{11} and S_{13}) will be turned off while the switches at the lower side (S_2) are kept on for which the power is transferred to the loads through other feeders. Meanwhile, since each zone is also connected with its power supply (DC and/or AC sources), therefore, multi faults in both upper and lower feeders of each zone can divide the DC grid system into few sections. This configuration is more flexible and modular due to higher number of switches and is suitable for distribution planning.



Fig. 17. Zonal type DC microgrid architecture

The ZTDC grid provides multiple options to supply power to loads such as: power can be supplied from multiple buses simultaneously, sequentially or only from one bus exclusively. However power drawn from multiple buses can complicate the design and operation of the distribution system [53]-[56]. For this reason, a bus selection strategy has been proposed in [57]. Based on that, load prefer to draw power from the bus with highest voltage (only one bus at a time), but load can switch to another bus if conditions required. This type of configuration commonly used in shipboard power supplies [58]-[61].

V. Grounding Scheme in DC Microgrid

Even though the DC microgrid concepts are very well discussed in various literatures, but some issues such as DC microgrid safety is still open and needs more focus to take the DC microgrid technology to an advanced stage of maturity. System grounding is very important factor which affects the ground and shock fault currents. A low voltage DC microgrid is normally designed to be interfaced with an AC grid source and/or other renewable energy sources in order to improve the availability of microgrid system. The DC microgrid system can be more complicated when a proper grounding configuration and selection is required. There is a number of literatures [62]-[64] discussed about the possible grounding concepts used in DC grid systems, but most of them have just focused on the DC system grounding without considering the AC grid system type and characteristics. There are very limited literatures available which actually highlight the importance of AC grid grounding configuration on DC microgrid system [65]-[66]. In [65] it has been noticed that a TN network in the AC grid side and an isolated grounding configuration in the DC bus side can cause a high neutral voltage fluctuation due a common mode voltage generated by PWM active front end converters. This makes the situation even worse when this fluctuation penetrates through all downstream converters connected to the same DC bus in the DC grid system. The high voltage fluctuations create loop/ circulating current within the converters connected to the same DC bus and also affects the grounding of the system. The high circulating current becomes a challenging issue in the design of a DC grid system as discussed in section-II of this paper. In [66], this issue has been further analyzed with a solution to use High Resistance Grounding (HRG) instead of isolated grounding scheme in the DC grid system. However, both of these papers considered only the TN networks at the AC grid side.

This section gives in-depth overview of different AC grid grounding configurations and then their effects on the DC grounding scheme in both isolated and non-isolated microgrid systems. Finally, fault current paths in commonly used AC-DC converter topologies are addressed in the DC grids interfaced with the AC grid systems.

A. System Description

The DC microgrid system is normally interfaced with AC grid through AC-DC power converters. On the AC side of the system, there is a step-down distribution transformer connecting the system to a medium voltage (MV) AC network. The selection of AC-DC power converter topology depends on the application requirement: unidirectional (diode rectifier based topology) or bidirectional (active front end) power flow. These power converter topologies need to fulfil the EMC and harmonics requirements. Therefore these converter systems are equipped with low and high frequency filters on the line side and/or DC bus side. The DC-link capacitor is utilized to smooth and regulate the DC-link voltage in these topologies. The number of distributed generation sources and loads are connected on the DC bus as shown in Fig. 18. The AC grid can have different grounding/earthing configurations such as TN, TT and IT depending on application requirements and specific

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

regulations [67]. The AC earthing configurations are briefly described in the following sections.



Fig. 18. Line diagram of DC microgrid interface with AC grid system

B. AC Grid Grounding Arrangements

According to IEC 60364 standard [68], there are three families of grounding arrangements in low voltage AC grid system. High Resistance Grounding (HRG) is also known as a solution for some power systems which will be discussed in the following sections.

i) TN grounding system
ii) TT grounding system
iii) IT grounding system
iv) High resistance grounding system (HRG)

i) TN Grounding System

In TN grounding system, the generator or transformer star point (in 3-phase system) is directly connected to earth and all exposed metallic (conductive) parts of an installation are connected to earth via this earth connection at the transformer side.

The conductor which connects the star point of the transformer to the earth is called *Neutral (N)* and this is used as a return current path in the single-phase system. The conductor that connects the exposed conductive parts of consumer's electrical equipment to earth is called *Protective Earth (PE)*.

The *N* and *PE* can have different configuration in TN network such as:

- **TN-S:** the *N* and *PE* conductors are ***separated*** throughout the system as shown in Fig. 19(a).
- **TN-C:** The *N* and *PE* functions are ***combined*** in a single conductor throughout the system as shown in Fig. 19(b).
- **TN-C-S:** part of system uses TN-C arrangement (mainly from substation to building) and then TN-S arrangement in downstream installation as shown in Fig. 19 (c).



Fig. 19. TN grounding system: (a) TN-S, (b) TN-C, and (c) TN-S-C

Each TN configuration has its pros and cons, for example TN-C system is cost effective due to the use of a single conductor for both *N* and *PE terminals*, but has degraded EMC performance as compared to TN-S system.

ii) TT Grounding System

In this system, the supply source or transformer has a direct connection to the earth and the conductive parts of the equipment are connected to *PE* which is provided by a local earth electrode and is electrically independent of the transformer's earth as illustrated in Fig. 20(a).

The TT grounding arrangement is very effective for EMC performance of the system by reducing the conductive path of the interference generated by other equipment in the installation.



Fig. 20. AC grid grounding system: (a) TT, (b) IT, and (c) High Resistance Grounding (HRG)

iii) IT Grounding System

In this system, the supply source or transformer is isolated from the earth and all exposed conductive parts of the equipment are connected to *PE* that is provided by a local earth electrode as shown in Fig. 20 (b).

Main advantage with IT grounding arrangement is to increase the availability of the installation under fault conditions. During the first earth fault, unlike to "Solid Grounded" system such as TN and TT network, the IT system will not provide low impedance path for the fault current loop via the transformer's neutral. The fault current remains very low, so that the protective devices will not trip and process will continue. However, a large transient voltage may occur during the ground fault - which can lead to a significant safety concern of the system [69]. Although an IT network is a floating system, but it is still referenced to the ground based on the stray and/or the filter capacitors. When there is no fault, these stray capacitors are charged with respective to the phase voltage. If fault occur in any one of the phases, the charged capacitors in the faulty phase get discharged through the fault path, between the phase and the ground. However, the capacitors in the healthy phases may be charged in an opposite direction due to the fault current and then discharge later. This repeated charging and discharging of capacitors can produce severe voltage oscillation such as 3-4 times of normal voltage [70]. Such a high voltage stress can easily deteriorate the insulation in the healthy phases and might lead to a second ground fault or a possible phase-phase fault. The second ground fault will generate large fault current that will require instantaneous tripping of the circuit breakers.

iv) High Resistance Grounding System (HRG)

A possible solution to reduce overvoltage issue without losing advantage of IT network is to connect the transformer neutral to the earth through a high resistance (*R*) as shown in Fig. 20 (c). In practice, the value of *R* is selected in such a way that the earth fault current limited to eliminate flash hazard issues but still sufficient to permit the operation of earth fault protection system [71]-[72].

C. Importance of AC Utility Grounding for Selection of DC Microgrid Grounding Arrangement

As per European Low Voltage Directive, LVD 2006/95/EC [73], the DC grid systems should be grounded on either positive or negative DC bus to ensure the safety of the system. The DC grid system is normally interfaced with AC grids which have different grounding arrangements (TN, TT and IT) as discussed above. Consider that a DC grid system, with a DC bus (either positive or negative) conductor connected to the ground, is interfaced with a solid grounded (TN or TT) AC utility network. This will create a permanent short-circuit fault through ground (shown in Fig. 21(a)) and prevent normal operation of the system until the DC grid is electrically isolated using a low or a high frequency transformer from the AC system as shown in Fig. 21(b and c).



Fig. 21. Solid grounding (TN or TT) at the AC side and (a) non-isolated DC grid, (b) isolated DC grid based on a low frequency transformer, (c) isolated DC grid based on a high frequency transformer

The above discussion highlights the importance of the AC grid grounding system which should be considered for a proper selection of grounding arrangement in the non-isolated DC grid system [74]-[75]. Even in an isolated DC grid system, the severity level of shock current in the event of fault depends on the type of grounding arrangement in the DC grid system. Therefore, it is important to analyze the performance of different grounding schemes under fault condition for both isolated and non-isolated DC grid systems.

A DC microgrid system comprises of various power converters such as AC-DC converter (for example in AC grid interface) and DC-DC power converters (in RES, DC load and ESS). These power converters consist of high frequency common mode capacitors (C_{cm}) and differential mode capacitor (C_{dm}) in their EMI filters. The common mode capacitors can provide a current path for fault currents which can affect the normal operation of the system even in an isolated DC grid system. In order to analyze different DC grounding schemes, it is important to first address the possible common mode ground path in these power converters.

D. Fault Current Paths in AC-DC Converter Topology

As discussed in section-II, there are various possible AC-DC converter topologies which can be used to interface the DC grid system to the AC grid based on specific application requirements. Most of these power converter topologies need an EMI filter to fulfil the required regulations. Moreover, these EMI filters comprise of common mode capacitors, which provide a current path for fault current to be circulated through the ground and the whole system. Therefore, it is important to address the fault current path via EMI filters. The discussion in this section is limited to only two most popular converter topologies: diode rectifier and active front end. However, there is a number of topology, which can be considered in the same way. A diode rectifier topology requires common mode coupling capacitor on the line side and the DC-link side as shown in Fig. 22 (a). Similarly in active front end topology, the EMI filter requires a coupling capacitor on the line side and/or at the DC side depending on the applications (as shown in Fig. 22(b). However the placement of the coupling capacitor depends on the design of EMI filter. In the event of line-to-ground fault, these coupling capacitors provide a low impedance path for the fault current in which the current can be circulated through the capacitor and the grounding system.



Fig. 22. Fault current paths in (a) the three-phase diode rectifier and (b) active front end topology

E. Possible Grounding Arrangements in DC Microgrid

In this section, three most popular AC grid grounding networks (TT, TN and IT) have been considered to investigate the possible grounding schemes in the DC grid system in term of safety and protection points of views.

i) AC Grid: TT Network

In order to analyze the grounding scheme in the DC microgrid system, a simplified three-phase system has been considered without losing overall generality. In the simplified system, an AFE converter topology has been used and only one DC load is connected to the DC grids as shown in Fig. 23. Moreover, in the AFE topology, only the common mode coupling capacitors are of interest to analyze the system behavior under line-to-ground fault conditions. It is important to note that the AFE topology is based on PWM technique, which can produce common mode voltage in the system. However, in this system it has been assumed that the AFE topology comprises with a common mode filter. Thus, the common mode filter can provide a low impedance path for the ground and fault currents.

In a TT network, the distribution transformer has a direct connection to the earth, which prevents the possibility of the solid grounding in the DC grid system (as shown in Fig. 20). Based on this fact and configuration, a possible grounding scheme in DC grid system isolates the DC bus. If a human body comes in direct contact with the DC bus live terminals, the current flowing through the human body will be determined based on the loop impedance including the human body impedance and the transient current as shown in Fig. 23. This current value can be significantly higher than the maximum permissible level of 35mA considered in IEC 60479-1 [76]. If the duration of electrical discharge exceeds more than 200ms for a certain period, then a severe and a dangerous effect can happen on human body like cardiac and breathing arrest [76]. Moreover, this high current may not be detected and interrupted by a protective device on the AC side of the converter. This is due to the fact that the major current can be circulated through the circuit before the protection system at the AC side. Therefore, by isolating the DC bus alone, it is not possible to handle the hazards of faults and electric shocks. This will require implementation of appropriate protection devices in the DC side of the system.

Thus, if grounding at the DC side is required, then either a low or a high frequency transformer should be utilized in the AC to DC interface as shown in Fig. 21 (b and c).

ii) AC Grid: TN Network

Similar to the TT network, in a TN-AC grid network the distribution transformer has a direct connection to the earth which prevents the possibility of solid grounding in the DC grid system. However in the TN-AC network, the *N* and *PE* conductors are connected to the conductive parts of loads. Based on this fact, similar approach and solution is recommended based on the TT network.

iii) AC Grid: IT Network

In an IT-AC grid network, the distribution transformer (low frequency or high frequency transformer (SST)) is isolated from the earth and does not provide any path for fault current loop unlike to TN or TT networks. This gives more flexibility to choose grounding option in the DC grid system. Therefore, the following possible grounding options are proposed in the DC microgrid system:

- Non-isolated DC bus grounding
- Non-isolated DC bus mid-point grounding
- Isolated DC bus grounding

a) Non-isolated DC bus grounding

In this grounding scheme, one of the DC buses is directly connected to the earth as shown in Fig. 24, where the negative DC bus is directly connected to the ground (green color). With this grounding scheme, if a human body comes in a direct contact with the live terminal of the positive DC bus system, the body current will be determined based on the loop impedance including the human body impedance and the transient current. The solid grounding of negative DC bus will provide low impedance path for fault current. This current value can be significantly higher than the permissible level of 35mA considered in IEC 60479-1 [76] if the DC link voltage is high.

b) Non-isolated DC bus mid-point grounding

The DC mid-point grounding is another possible grounding scheme commonly used in a bipolar DC bus system. During a fault condition, the current flowing through a human body can be reduced due to half of DC bus voltage is exposed to the body. The fault current path in DC bus mid-point grounding scheme is illustrated in Fig. 25.

c) Isolated DC bus grounding

One possible solution to interrupt the fault current loop is to isolate the DC bus system as shown in Fig. 26. However the fault current can still enter in the converter system through EMI filter capacitors and other stray capacitors in the DC and AC side of the converter. Thus, the isolated IT system has no control to detect fault current accurately.



Fig. 23. Fault current path in TT-AC grid network and isolated DC bus grounding arrangement



Fig. 24. Fault current path in IT-AC grid network and non-isolated DC bus grounding arrangement



Fig. 25. Fault current path in IT-AC grid network and non-isolated DC bus mid-point grounding arrangement



Fig. 26. Fault current path in IT-AC grid network and isolated DC bus grounding arrangement

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

From the above discussion, it is clear that the selection of the grounding arrangement in the DC microgrid system depends on many factors such as the type of the AC grid network, the DC bus voltage level and the power electronic converter configurations. Therefore a system assessment is required to decide which DC microgrid grounding arrangement is suitable with proper protection devices.

VI. Power Quality Issues in DC Microgrid

It is well known that AC grid systems suffer a number of power quality issues such as harmonics, voltage sag and swell, line frequency variation and distorted grid. It is often overlooked in DC grid systems especially the harmonics issues. As a DC microgrid is one of the highly emerging technologies, a number of researcher groups all over the world have been working in this area. In order to take this technology from the research stage to a practical implementation stage, it is important to discuss on some real case issues such as harmonics and power quality. Most of the recent published literatures demonstrate the advantage of the DC grids compared to the conventional AC grids. Very few articles discussed about the power quality issues in the DC grid system. In order to highlight the concern of power quality issues in the DC grid system, this section lists the most common power quality issues in such the systems. The most common DC grid can operate in an islanding mode but it may also have an interface with an AC grid system to absorb or deliver power during normal operation. Therefore, the power quality issue in the DC microgrid can arise either internally or from the AC grid side.

The most common power quality issues in DC microgrid system are:

- Voltage transient from AC grid
- Harmonics due to resonances and power electronics based converters
- Electromagnetic Interference Compatibility (EMC) issue
- Communication failures
- Inrush currents
- DC bus faults
- Voltage unbalance in bipolar DC bus
- Circulating currents

Voltage transients are frequently encountered in an AC grid system mainly due to capacitor bank switching, load changes and power changes through grid connected renewable energy systems. A recent study in data center applications has showed that the voltage transient could be vulnerable for the DC grid system [77]. It has been found that if a transient occurs in a DC grid system, the overvoltage not only reached to 194% of operating voltage but also stabilized at new voltage level of 111%. This could be very dangerous for other equipment sharing the same DC bus [77].

Together with voltage standardization, the standard limits of voltage tolerance and transient voltage disturbances will be very important for components manufacturers such as sockets, plug and cable. Most of DC applications based products/systems (such as USB, desktop computer, LED lightning, traction and marine) already have their own standard limits for voltage tolerance and transient disturbances. Again most of these DC application standards are based on traditional use of DC power, however future public DC networks will be more complex due to penetration of distributed energy sources and ESS. Therefore a more harmonized approach is required to developed power quality standards to ensure the compatibility within different kind of energy sources and loads.

Due to absence of AC-DC power converter, there will be no issue for low frequency harmonics in the DC microgrid system. However increasing use of DC-DC converters which commonly operate at higher switching frequency could cause electromagnetic interference (EMI) in the system.

In a DC microgrid system, multiple PWM based converters are utilized in the system with DC capacitors at both sides of the converter. These DC side capacitors and the impedance of the DC bus cable or feeder can cause multiple resonance frequencies [78]. If one of the resonant frequencies is tuned at any frequency range in which harmonics are generated by the converter, there will a serious power quality problem and over voltage. This can affect the stability of the DC link system. The frequency range for which a DC microgrid should comply can vary from very low frequency (below 9kHz) and very high frequency ranges such conducted emission within 9-150 kHz and 150kHz to 30MHz. Power Line Communication (PLC) is utilized in Smart Grid applications for signaling [79]-[80]. PLC systems are commonly used in power cable infrastructures for data transmission between a central control systems and loads [81]. In a DC microgrid, a number of power electronics converters are used which operate at high switching frequency. These converters generate low and high frequency harmonics and noise which may interrupt the data transmission capability of PLC system and finally effect control of microgrid operation. Therefore, a detail noise analysis is required to ensure the correct operation of PLC system in the new design of DC microgrid system.

Power electronic converters have EMI filters to fulfil EMC regulations. When these converters are connected to the DC bus system, inrush current will flow through the EMI filter. This inrush current may cause voltage oscillations in the DC bus system, which affects the operation of other equipment connected to the same DC bus [82]. This inrush current could cause voltage sag in the DC bus system.

In a DC grid system, a fault at the DC bus system can draw the fault current through the converters, energy sources or capacitance directly from the DC bus. Therefore, the fault current limit depends on power rating of these converters, energy resources and the charge stored in the ESS and DC bus capacitors. A low energy fault current may not affect the protection circuits in the DC grid system, but it may create voltage disturbances on the other parts of the system [83]. Also if the fault current is low in magnitude, then it may confuse the protection setting to distinguish between fault and heavy load conditions [84]. Moreover, due to unavailability of periodic voltage and natural zero crossing points in the DC grid system, series of faults could develop a self-sustained arc which will be difficult to detect [85].

The frequent on and off connection of loads can generate significant transient in the DC bus [86]. However in most of the DC microgrid systems, a number of energy storage devices (e.g. battery) are connected to compensate these transient, but still some oscillations can be seen on the DC bus.

The voltage unbalance could occur due to main unbalance from AC grid side or may be due to unequal distribution of single-phase loads or DG sources in bipolar DC distribution system [87]-[88].

The circulating current is one of the issues when a high number of converters are connected to a same DC bus. The circulating current may flow among the units when there is a common grounding point at the converter sides [89]-[90].

VII. COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

Microgrid systems (both AC and DC) consist of local power generations, ESS and loads to meet power demand of local connected loads or exchange power to utility grids (AC grids) if it is connected. Energy sources such as solar, wind and fuel cells in a microgrid generate low voltage power. In order to provide bi-directional power flow, the microgrid is normally interfaced with AC grid through a direct connection or a back-to-back converter. In the event of a fault in AC grid, the microgrid system can be disconnected from the AC grid and functions autonomously. This operation results in an *islanded* microgrid, in which distributed energy sources continue to power the connecting load in the microgrid system. Although, microgrids do not get power from AC grid in the islanding mode, but there may still exchange some information with utility grid such as the status of the AC grid to decide whether it should be reconnected to the AC grid in order to exchange power. This exchange of information mechanism between the utility grid and the microgrid requires a reliable *communication infrastructure*.

The DC microgrid becomes attractive in modern smart grid to encourage penetration of RES and ESS with better efficiency (due to reduced losses in conversion and distribution). Smart grid delivers electricity between suppliers and consumers using two-way digital technologies. It controls intelligent appliances at consumers' premises to save energy, reduce cost, increased reliability, efficiency and transparency in the system [91]. This requires deployment of a) smart meters in the customers' premises and b) smart monitoring and measurement devices such as sensors and energy management units in the transmission and/or distribution networks. With a reliable communication infrastructure, intelligent electronic devices such as smart meters can monitor real time energy consumption from utility grid and consumers' surplus power (e.g. rooftop PV) back to the grids. The network operators can receive consumers' power usage data and on-line market pricing from the data center to optimize their electricity generation and distribution. The National Institute of Standard and Technology (NIST) provide a conceptual model to show the importance of communication infrastructure in the future smart grid system as shown in Fig. 27 [92].

Communication systems in DC microgrid and smart grid systems should meet some specific requirements based on grid applications such as reliability, latency, bandwidth and security [92]. However, a selection of proper communication network is a big challenge in smart grid and DC microgrids due to many variables and different component requirements, which depend on applications and utility expectations.

There are some articles already available which describe about the need of communication infrastructures, their required characteristics and traffic requirements [93]-[95]. Authors in [96] have covered various available wire and wireless communication technologies with their possible use in smart grid applications.

In DC microgrid systems, the information subsystem (e.g. smart meter and sensors) will be different than traditional AC system, but same communication infrastructure can be used in both AC and DC systems. The selection of particular communication technology depends on the required data rate and coverage range of any specific application.



Fig. 27. NIST conceptual model of smart grid [92]

A. DC Microgrid Communication Networks

Communication networks in DC microgrid systems can be classified into the following categories based on their application requirements (as shown in Fig. 28):

- Consumers' Premises Area Networks: Home Area Networks (HAN), Building Area Networks (BAN) and Industrial Area Networks (IAN)
- Neighborhood Area Networks (NAN)
- Wide Area Networks (WAN)

Data rate, coverage range and relevant communication technologies for each category are shown in Fig. 29 [96].

i) Consumer's Premises Area Networks

At consumers' premises (in residential, commercial and industrial areas), there is a number of appliances and equipment which send and receive signals from a smart energy meter and/or an energy management system. As these appliances reside in the same premises, it is not required to have very high frequency data transmission signaling. Therefore any communication technology which can offer 100kbps data rate up to 100m coverage range is normally sufficient for HAN, BAN and IAN applications.

There are a number of available communication technologies which can fulfill aforementioned requirements, i.e. power line communication (PLC), Bluetooth, Ethernet, ZigBee and WiFi [97]-[103].

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access



Fig. 28. DC microgrid communication infrastructure



Fig. 29. Different communication networks and technologies in a DC microgrid system

ii) Neighborhood Area Networks (HAN)

In order to communicate and send/receive data to/from grid connected equipment in the consumer premises such as electricity consumption information to energy service provider via smart energy meter, it is required that communication technologies could support higher data rate (100kbps-10Mbps) up to 10km coverage area.

Suitable available communication technologies for HAN applications are PLC, ZigBee mesh network, WiFi mesh network, Cellular, Digital Subscriber Line (DSL) and WiMAX [97]-[108].

iii) Wide Area Networks (WAN)

Future DC microgrid systems require deployment of many monitoring and measurement devices (such as sensors and power management controllers) in wide areas to exchange information with modern smart grid systems and to improve power system planning, stability and protection of the system.

These wide area monitoring and measurement applications require higher data resolution and faster response time compared to traditional Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system. The required data rate and coverage area for WAN applications is 100Mbps-1Gbps for up to 100km, respectively.

Available communication technologies that can be suitable for WAN applications are Fiber Optics, WiMAX, PLC and Cellular [97]-[99], [106], [108]-[109].

B. Challenges in DC Microgrid Communication Infrastructures

For full deployment of reliable communication infrastructures in DC microgrids and smart grid systems, the following challenges exist:

- As discussed above, there might be many communication protocols and technologies which can be used in DC microgrid systems. Each of them will have their own protocols and principles. Integration and interoperation of these different technologies will require common protocols and standardizations [110].
- Communication networks in the existing power grids support mainly SCADA systems, which is very old and was designed without considering a large data exchange capability to support huge number of Intelligent Electronic Devices in the system. Now a big challenge is how to upgrade the protocols of the existing networks to cope with the future grid requirements.
- Above challenges are equally applicable for selection of new communication technologies for DC microgrids and smart grids. It is important to address what is the optimum data rate and bandwidth which should be planned and considered for these communication networks with respect to the future demand and expansion.
- The deployment of communication infrastructure can offer many benefits such as higher reliability, energy efficiency and improve transparency in the system, but at the same time, it can raise issues of cyber security and data privacy problems [111]-[112].

VIII. Applications of DC Microgrids

DC microgrid systems have been an attractive solution for power networks due to the fast growing of RES and electronic loads based on modern power converters. The main advantages of the DC microgrid system are to reduce a number of conversion stages and complexity (no frequency synchronization required) of the system.

Recently several pilot studies have been further validated these advantages and successfully implemented in different DC microgrid systems such as in data centers and residential applications [7]-[9], [41]. DC microgrid systems can be used to improve the performance of existing systems in term of efficiency, reliability and cost optimization. In this section some of these applications have been discussed and the benefits and challenges using DC grids system are analyzed.

A. Commercial and Residential Building

The electricity consumption in commercial and residential building is increasing worldwide and expected to increase around 50% by 2040 as illustrated in Fig. 30 [113]. Thus, the

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

increasing electricity demand, and at the same time, significant emission of greenhouse gas became very important concerns in recent years. Many countries like European Union (EU) and the USA have already started reviewing their climate and energy policies. For example, EU already set very ambitious target "20-20-20" in order to reduce greenhouse gas emission and improving efficiency of all systems. The "20-20-20" represents: a cut in greenhouse gas emissions of at least 20% below 1990 levels, a 20% share of energy consumption from renewable resources and a 20% increase in energy by improving energy efficiency. In this target, building plays a major role as they consume around 40% of total EU energy consumption. To achieve this target, EU commission has set the goal that after 2020 only net-zero-energy (NZE) buildings shall be constructed within EU [114]. The NZE concept is a building where energy needs greatly reduce by improving efficiency such that the balance of energy needs can be supplied by renewable energy resources [115].

In order to achieve NZE goals, the penetration of distributed renewable energy resources increased significantly in recent years. For example, California has set targets for renewable generation (which does not include large-scale hydro generation) of 33% by 2030 [116]-[117].

Fig. 31 shows the most common loads used in commercial buildings and their future projection [113]. Most of these loads are internally based on electronics, thus use low-voltage DC, but they are connected to AC grids through AC-DC power conversion systems [118]-[119]. Similarly, the deployments of PV on the rooftops of buildings are encouraged by a number of government's subsidies worldwide. The PV and other building scale generation and storage systems (batteries and fuel cells) are of DC nature, but required another power conversion (DC-AC) to be connected to AC grids. Therefore, a common DC bus system can reduce the number of AC-DC or DC-AC conversion systems, which can make the overall system more efficient and reliable. It is estimated that commercial office buildings waste about 13% of their electricity every years in the form of distribution and converting power from AC grid. Furthermore, the power conversion components add to cost, space and finally physical waste generated by short-lived consumer products [120].

From aforementioned discussion, it is clear that in order to achieve ZEB goal, one of the possible alternative solutions is to use DC microgrids for commercial and residential buildings. Fig. 32 shows imagination of future smart house where DC distribution system can be used to integrate PV panel and many domestic loads. However there are still a number of challenges that need some kinds of clarifications before practical implementation:

- Voltage standardization: can DC-DC converters operate in a broad range of DC link voltage? What are the voltage levels?

- Existing system: all existing building using AC wiring system and similarly existing appliances have AC-DC conversion stage, how to be implemented with minimum changes?



Fig. 30. Total energy consumption by different sectors in worldwide



Fig. 31. Most common loads in commercial buildings



Fig. 32. DC distribution system based future smart house [1]

B. Industrial such as Motor Drives with a Common DC Systems

Electric motor drive systems consume more than 40% of the global electricity, which made them to be major source of electricity user [121]. Due to rapid industrial revolution in different part of the world, the electricity demand is continuously growing. Therefore, industry as a major electricity consumer has been pushed towards an era of developing more energy-efficient motor drive systems.

In many industrial applications such as steal, paper, metal and mining, marine and production lines, a large number of motor drive systems are used [122]-[123]. In these applications, at the same time, simultaneously some of these motor drives may be operating in motoring mode, while the

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

others in the generating mode. Thus, if all converters shared a common DC bus [124], then it is possible to utilize the regenerative (braking) power to other converter systems. This results in less power usage from a front-end unit such as a generator or an AC grid source [125]-[126]. Therefore, the common DC bus configuration can be a cost effective and energy efficient solution in aforementioned application. A common front-end unit can supply power to all DC-AC inverters instead of individual front-end units in the standalone AC drives as shown in Fig. 33.

The common DC-bus configuration can be classified into two main categories:

- Regenerative system
- Non-regenerative system

In the regenerative DC bus system, the front-end unit capable of generating power back to the main grid to save energy cost, which fit very well in future smart grid concept. For this case, an active front end topology is required as a bidirectional power flow unit.



Fig. 33. A non-regenerative common DC bus system (dotted part is optional)

In non-regenerative DC bus system, the braking power is redirected to other inverters in the system via the common DC bus and possible excess power can be dissipated as heat by using brake registers or different loads in a stand-by case. For example, an energy saving can be possible by connecting ESS such as battery which can absorb excess energy and that can be utilized when DC grid system is needed. This can help to improve the system performance against main grid disturbances such as voltage sag and interruption.

Due to DC nature of this configuration, it offers "plug and play" system which can easily adopt integration of future distributed energy system without any significant change in the existing systems.

C. Data Centre

Internet has been one of the major innovations in 20th century and digital information systems became an important part of everyday life. Thus, this has required a rapid growth in number and size of data centers across the world. It has been estimated that data center power consumption around the world was between 1.1% and 1.5% of the total power consumption in 2010 [127]. This is around 2% of the global CO_2 emission. Due to the fast expansion of internet infrastructure in the fast developing countries such as India and Chine in recent years, it is expected to increase the power consumption of data center from 10MW to 50MW. In a typical data center, only 50% of the total power consumption is delivered to the Information Technology loads, which includes microprocessors, memory and disk drives. The rest of the power is lost in the form of distribution, power conversion and air-conditioning system [128]. Due to continuing demand for efficient electrical power in data centers, researchers from both industry and academia have considered to develop an energy efficient system based on DC microgrid systems.

A general block diagram of an electrical power distribution in a conventional AC based data center is illustrated in Fig. 34.



Fig. 34. AC based traditional power distribution in dada-center

The front side of the system is connected to a double conversion online Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) system. Inside of the UPS, the AC input voltage is first converted to the DC voltage and an energy storage system (such as battery and or fuel cell) is connected at the DC bus point. Then the DC voltage is inverted as an AC voltage again to supply power to a Power Distribution Unit (PDU). Although different configurations may be utilized in a data center but UPS is a key element to support the center during outage. Every rack has a Power Supply Unit (PSU) which converters the AC voltage into a DC voltage suitable for different loads. 12V DC voltage is commonly used in server electronics board, and this 12V is further step-down by Point-of-Load (PoL) DC-DC converter to 1-1.3V at chip level. Typically, three to five different voltage levels are required from the distribution network to chip-level, placed in a server electronic board. Only about 75% to 77% of electrical energy delivered to the chipsets [128]. Therefore, about 23% to 25% of energy lost alone in the energy conversions is dissipated in the form of heat. Therefore, this requires extra energy in the form of air-conditioning to remove the dissipated energy and control the temperature in the center. Now the main question is how to improve the efficiency of the system in order to reduce the energy consumption significantly?

There are two basic ways to improve the efficiency of the system:

- Reduce the number of conversion stages (less energy dissipated as heat and this required less capacity of cooling system)
- Increased the system voltage level (less distribution losses)

Thus the above solutions can be utilized in a DC microgrid system when the AC voltage is converted to a DC level where the PSU system and all DC-DC converters are connected to the same DC bus as shown in Fig. 35. There are currently 23 facilities in worldwide using DC grid systems [10].

Lawrence Berkley National Laboratory (LBNL) reported the advantage of 380V DC distribution system for data center

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

application [7]. They have claimed 7% more efficient system (compare to 415 V AC) with 15% less capital cost, 33% space optimized and 36% lower cost over full lifetime. Besides efficiency, cost and space optimization, other benefits with DC grid system are higher reliability (due to less conversion stage) and high power quality (no harmonics).

This technology can give additional benefits in future to implement Net-Zero Energy (NZE) concept by utilizing distributed renewable energy sources on site. However, DC cannot be-all and end-all solutions for all data centers. A detail feasibility study is required to analyze all pros and cons of a practical DC microgrid for different applications [129].



Fig. 35. DC based traditional power distribution in dada-center

D. Telecommunication Systems

Telecommunication (Telecom) sector emerges as one of the fastest growing sectors in recent years, especially a widespread expansion of wireless and broadband technology. As results of this rapid growth, telecom arises as a significant power consumer and contributor to CO_2 emission. According to International Telecommunication Union estimation, the Information and Telecom technologies generate about 2-2.5% of the global CO_2 emission [130] and it is expected to grow in future.

Due to significant technology advancement in the telecom sectors, the amount of information and data traffic has become much higher than the traditional voice exchange. This results in a higher number of Datacom equipment such as servers and computers. Today, the load density of these low power electronics telecom equipments is much higher than the traditional switching system used in telecom equipment. The existing telecom facilities were not designed to handle such a high power density load. Presently -48V DC is the common distribution system used in telecom facility worldwide [131]-[133]. In order to meet the growing demand in the information and data traffic, it is required an expansion in present telecom facilities. This expansion with the existing -48 V DC system needs longer cables, which results low efficiency, extra space and high installation cost. Therefore, more efficient and optimized distribution system is the need of today's telecom industry.

In recent years 380V DC grids gained more popularity in data centers and many residential and commercial buildings [7]-[10]. With 380V DC system, cross-section area of cable conductor can be significantly decreased without sacrificing the system efficiency. Now it is feasible to use a long cable to optimize the overall space requirement in the telecom facility. For example, with a long cable a centralized battery system can be placed far away from the loads. This will help to improve the overall cooling system in the facility at reduced utility bills [134].

E. Electric Vehicle Fast Charging Stations

Nowadays around 50% of liquid crude oil production is mainly used in transportation sector. This huge consumption of liquid crude oil gives many adverse effects to atmosphere in a form of air pollution, global warming and greenhouse gas emission. To overcome these issues, Plug-in Electric Vehicle (PEV) has more attention in recent years. Together with many research groups, many automotive industries have also started considering PEV as an alternative of traditional diesel/gas based vehicle. Furthermore, PEV is well-fitted in future smart grid roadmap, where integration of renewable energy sources such as wind and solar power generation is increasing day by day. In this system, PEV can play an important part as an energy storage system to improve the availability of distribution system by generating power back to grid during power production fluctuations from RESs [135].

The PEVs can be broadly classified into two main categories: Battery Electric Vehicles (BEVs) and Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEVs). The BEV includes a large battery as the only energy source to supply the traction motors. The requirement of high efficient battery and deployment of fast charging infrastructure is very important condition to resolve practical issues of BEVs.

Another possible solution is to integrate the electrical driving system with Internal Consumption Engine. This type of vehicle configuration is commonly known as Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle (PHEVs). In this configuration, vehicle is operated in "mixed" mode to significantly reduce the diesel/gas consumption and increase the range of PEVs [136].

At this moment, there are three main types of EV charging: Level 1, Level 2 and DC fast charge. Level 1 and Level 2 convert AC to DC using an on-board converter in the EV. Each vehicle on-board converter has specific limits to how fast it can charge [137].

Residential charging infrastructure and home charger are considered under Level 1 category, where typically single-phase AC main of 110V (or 220/230V) at 50Hz (or 60Hz) are used to charge the EV battery. Level 1 charging can be convenient for home use but charging is very slow, for example 16 hours required for a 130km range battery. Most of public charging stations are Level 2, where typically three-phase AC main of 400V at 50Hz (or 60Hz) are used. This can be classified as semi-fast charging configuration, as this can charge a battery within few hours - for example 3.5 hours for a 130km battery range [138].

The main issue with Level 1 and 2 charging infrastructure is the limitation of power extraction from the conventional AC plugs up to 10kW, which makes the recharging process very slow and hence unattractive for end users. In order to take EVs technology to a commercial success, reducing recharging time is the first requirement from the end users. Due to natural integration of RES, ESS and EV into a DC grid system, it is possible to provide high charging power for short times with better stability and efficiency. Thus, a DC microgrid system can play as a game changer to

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

support EVs era and smart grids. Therefore, a proper design of charging architecture has to be taken into account where DC current feed into the battery at variable DC voltage level in the range between 50-600V DC to satisfy the requirement of different vehicle and their battery range. Together with latest battery technology, the DC charging configuration can allow to recharge a car battery within few minutes which is comparable time to their counterpart's diesel/gas station for traditional vehicle.

Since fast DC charging infrastructure requires high power extraction, there are reasonable concerns about the adverse effects of large penetration of EV fast charging stations on distribution network [139]. Therefore, it will be essential to use some kind of ESS and smart energy management strategy for DC distribution systems with integration of PEVs [140]-[141].

F. Ship networks

Presently AC based diesel-electric propulsion is a preferred choice for varying velocity and dynamic positioning operation in marine applications. Due to depleting of fossil fuel and increasing environmental concerns and at same time, increasing power density and vessel power requirements, it is critical to fulfill these requirements by doing further improvement in the existing propulsion system [142]-[143].

Recently on-board DC grid system has been considered as an emerging and a new technology in marine applications [144]-[146], which can overcome most of limitations of existing propulsion systems and offer several benefits such as:

- The main AC switchboards and transformers are no longer needed. This will results optimized and more flexible power and propulsion system. Also with DC on-board system, efficiency and reliability of the system will increased due to less installed components.
- Power network is no longer fixed at 50Hz/60Hz. Therefore, variable speed diesel generators can operate at wider fuel-efficient loading ranges compare to the conventional fixed speed diesel generators [147].
- Even though the variable speed diesel generators can offer fuel-efficient system, but at the same time these generators can be more vulnerable during frequent load variations. In this case, a DC grid system offers possibilities to integrate ESS, which can compensate the power variation during significant load variations. This will result to improve the dynamic performance of the propulsion system with less fuel consumption [148].
- A DC on-board system is simple "plug and play", which offer easy integration of future energy source and ESS without any significant change in the system.

IX. Standardization of DC Microgrid System

In order to challenge the predominance of AC in low voltage distribution network, the biggest obstacle of DC microgrids is the requirement to standardize the voltage level, new safety regulations and suitable protection solutions as illustrated in Fig. 36.

A. DC Microgrid Voltage

One of the big challenges for voltage standardization in DC microgrid system is the use of different voltage levels in distributed generation with residential, commercial and industrial loads. Table I summarized the preferred voltage levels in major applications. In November 2014, International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) formed a new System Evaluation Group (SEG4) mainly focus on LVDC applications, distribution and safety regulations [149]. The IEC SEG4 organized an online survey to know more about LVDC market related application experiences and possible stakeholders worldwide. In this survey, one question was about the DC voltage level and the survey results (illustrated in Fig. 37) confirm that no standard voltage level is used at this moment. Furthermore, in recent years, numbers of articles have been reported about DC voltage levels [5], [150]-[153] but there has been no common agreement for one specific voltage level within the research community so far.



Fig. 36. DC distribution system with requirement of standardization [149]

The non-standardized voltage level is one of the biggest obstacles in the DC microgrid systems. For example without voltage standardization, it is impossible to standardize appliances, devices and equipment connected directly to DC grids. It is often inconvenient for industry and manufacturers to design products to handle different voltage levels and standards. In order to speed up the DC microgrid technology and its related products, voltage standardization is on the high demand and that will attract other stakeholders (including sellers, buyers and users) to take this technology to higher readiness level.

Table-I: DC Power Application with their Preferred Voltage Level

	Applications	Voltage (Vdc)
1	USB and other small electronic equipmets	≤ 5V
2	Cars, desktop computer	12V
3	LED lights, trucks, fans	24V
4	Future PV installation	48V
5	Telecom	− 48 V
6	Power over Ethernet	50 V
7	Energy Storage System (Batteries)	110V/220V
8	Data center	380V
9	EV charging	400V
10	Future residential and commercial building distribution	350-450V
11	Industry and transpotation (metro, light rail transit)	600-900V
12	Traction system, marine and aircraft system	1000-1500V

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access



Fig. 37. IEC SEG4 survey results for nominal voltage and voltage bands based on used case description [149]

B. DC Microgrid Safety

With the fast development of DC microgrid technology, both islanding and grid connected systems require additional safety requirements. The DC grid technology is different from the conventional AC grid system such as the type and the use of energy storage systems, corrosion effects due to DC stray currents and DC arcing mechanism [154]. This section highlights the gaps that need to be considered for future DC electrical safety standards:

- In recent years, a number of pilot studies have shown the advantages of DC over AC system. Although it is expected that during the transition phase, DC cables with different voltage ratings will be alongside with the AC cables. Therefore, future standards should make recommendation on electrical safety for AC and DC cables including their insulation levels.
- DC grid connected equipments have energy storage capacitors. These must be either isolate or de-energize before any maintenance work. Therefore, future electrical safety as well as fire safety standard should recommend safest time and approach to isolate and de-energize the DC microgrid systems.
- Different grounding schemes are possible in DC microgrid systems as discussed in section-V of this paper. Each grounding scheme offers different advantages to DC microgrid systems. The European Telecom Standards Institute (ETSI) standard ETSI EN 301 605 [155] discussed the relevant grounding scheme for 400V DC telecom and data center and conclude that IT and TN AC grid networks are suitable to interface with low voltage DC microgrid systems but British standard BS 7671 [156] instruct against IT network due to lack of practical experience to interface with DC microgrid system. Therefore, future standard should dissolve this contradict issue and recommend the optimum grounding scheme for DC grid systems.
- Requirement of operational and warning sign for DC microgrid installation.

In addition to the above recommendations, most of the DC applications are based on old standards or conventional DC systems. Therefore, many of these standards are also required an update based on recent development in public low voltage DC grid systems.

C. DC Microgrid Protection

Despite of many advantages of DC over AC system, another challenging part in the DC technology is their protection design. The challenge in DC microgrid system is due to absence of zero crossing-point and low reactance in the system [157]-[159].

Fault current in an AC system has zero-crossing shape. Hence, the fault interrupting devices can easily break the currents at the point of zero crossing. However, this natural zero crossing is not available in DC fault current, so the most of the AC circuit breakers are not feasible in the DC systems. Also in opposed to the AC system, the fault impedance of DC system is mainly resistive nature that leads to high peak in fault current with very fast rate of change compare to AC system. This makes further difficult for a conventional AC protective device to handle such fast rate of rise in DC fault current.

Due to a number of existing DC applications, protection device such as fuses and circuit breakers (CB) are commercially available for DC system [160]-[161]. Some of these devices are specifically designed for DC systems, but many of them can be used for both AC and DC protection applications. However, the rating of AC and DC system operation is different, so designers need to be very careful for selection of protection devices. Moreover, most of these devices introduce a large time constant and a time delay before the activation, which may not be compatible with future types of DC microgrid systems.

The recent research shows that aforementioned limitation in DC protective devices can be overcome by utilizing the power electronics switches [162]-[166]. These fast solid-state circuit breakers can offer a promising solution for DC microgrid systems, but this technology is still at a research stage. To support new developments of this technology, it is important to set new standards and guidelines as soon as possible.

Most of available protection standards for emerging technologies such as solar PV inverters are mainly focused on how to connect the solar PV systems directly to the AC grids only. Very limited information is available to connect these solar PV directly to the DC grids. Therefore, new sets of standards with full details on protection requirements for the future DC grid systems are on high demand for all power electronics and power engineering stockholders.

D. Standards Development Update

Above discussion highlights the growing need of new standard developments for all aspects of DC microgrid systems - voltage standardization, protection, safety and power quality to improve the readiness level of this technology for practical implementation in wider industrial and commercial applications. The recent interest in DC microgrid system attracts several national and international standard organizations and some of them have already started working in this area. This section reviews those activities with the recent update in DC standard developments:

i) International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)

IEC published many standards in DC system such as IEC 62040-5-3, IEC 61643-3 and IEC 61643-311 and so on for

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

existing DC applications. Recently a number of activities in the area of low voltage DC applications in Information and Communication Technologies (ICT), residential and commercial buildings etc. attract IEC to establish a new Strategic Group (SG) to study the standardization of DC distribution. Therefore, SG4 has been approved for Low Voltage DC (LVDC) distribution system up to 1500V DC in relation to energy efficiency [149].

ii) The Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Standard Association (IEEE-SA)

In IEEE standard association, there is a number of ongoing activities on how to utilize DC power distributions in many applications. Some of them are listed below:

WG 946: This standard provides recommended practice for design of lead acid batteries based DC auxiliary power supply system. This standard covers the guidelines for selection of number of batteries, their capacity, voltage level and duty cycle. It also provides brief description about the effect of grounding on the operation of DC auxiliary systems [167].

P2030.10: This ongoing work is mainly looking for possibility to utilize DC microgrid concept to provide safe and economic electricity in remote areas where centralized utility system does not exist. This standard covers the design, operations, and maintenance of a DC microgrid for rural or remote applications. The standard further provides requirements for providing low voltage DC and AC power to off-grid loads [168].

IEEE DC@Home: is an IEEE approved and sponsored activity to investigate the standard roadmap for the use of LVDC in residential buildings [169].

iii) EMerge Alliance

Emerge Alliance is a group of companies, universities and research labs working together to promote DC distribution systems in residential and commercial applications. This group also involved in developing new DC standards and recently released following two standard:

EMerge Alliance Occupied Space Standard: This standard mainly focuses on 24V DC distribution system in occupied space such as residential and commercial buildings [170]. The latest version (version 1.1) of this standard released in 2012 with several updates on voltage limits, cable size and other requirements for related product manufacturing industries.

EMerge Alliance Data/Telecom Center Standard: This standard recommends 380V DC power distribution for data and telecom centers to reduce energy loss and improve reliability of the system [171]. First version (version 1.0) of this standard has been released in 2012.

iv) European Telecom Standard Institute (ETSI)

ETSI is a standardization organization in the telecommunication industry in Europe. The group has developed standards for ICT including mobile, radio and internet technologies. Due to recent growing interest of telecom industries to replace existing AC power solution or low voltage -48V DC to high voltage DC infrastructure, ETSI has decided to update the relevant standard ETSI EN 300 132-3-1 to cope with high DC voltage.

ETSI EN 300 132-3-1: This standard is extended by adding new part (part-3) mainly dedicated for new voltage limits from 260 DC to 400V DC. The scope of this standard includes limits and measurement methods for voltage tolerance, power quality, grounding arrangements and protection requirements [172]. The latest version of this standard has been released in 2011.

v) The International Telecommunication Union (ITU)

The ITU recently published series of standard for DC distribution in telecom and ICT sectors. A brief update about these standards is described as below:

ITU L.1201: This standard made recommendation up to 400V DC power distribution for ICT equipment in telecom center, data center and customer premise [173]. This includes detail description about possible structure for DC power distribution with redundancy and monitoring options. This standard has been published in 2014.

ITU L.1202: This standard is complements to recommendation made in ITU L.1201. In this performance of 400V DC distribution system has been analyzed in terms of system efficiency, reliability/availability and environmental impacts [174]. The performance of 400V DC systems has been compared with existing -48V DC and AC power distribution system. This standard has been published in 2015.

ITU L.1203: This standard defines requirements and guidelines for 400V DC power distribution identification by color and marking in telecom/data center installation such as wire, cable and distribution board [175].

vi) Chinese Communication Standards Association (CCSA)

The CCSA published few standards for use of DC power distribution in telecom center. Some of these standards are listed below:

YD/T2378-2011: This standard describes the technical requirements, test methods, inspection rules and marking methods for 240V DC power distribution system in telecom centers [176].

YD/T 3091-2016: This standard describes the terminology definitions, evaluation requirements and methods for post-operational evaluation of 240V/336V DC power distribution systems in telecom centers [177].

There are few other standards such as YD/T 2089-2016 and YD/T 2556-2016, which are mainly complement to the above standards.

X. CONCLUSION

This paper presents different aspects of DC microgrids such as interface with AC grid, power quality, architecture, grounding, applications and standardization. Each section describes recent developments of DC microgrids with practical considerations. Although, a DC microgrid has not been fully utilized in residential or a commercial sectors, but existing applications show promising solutions for the future and smart grids. Protection, power quality and safety should be analyzed in details for different systems. In this paper, major technical issues of these cases have been discussed in details.

Without a proper standardization, it is not possible to bring the DC microgrid technology as a common and a generic power system solution for the future of micro or nanogrids in residential and commercial systems. Therefore, the latest activities in the area of standardization have been addressed in the last section of the paper.

REFERENCES

[1] Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI). DC Power Production, Delivery and Utilization; EPRI: Palo Alto, CA, USA, 2006.

[2] C. Sulzberger, "Triumph of AC – from Pearl Street to Niagara," *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, vol. 1, no. 3, May-June 2003, pp. 64-67.

[3] C. Sulzberger, "Triumph of AC 2 - the battle of the currents," *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 70 – 73, July/August 2003.

[4] Wunder, B.; Ott, L.; Kaiser, J.; Han, Y.; Fersterra, F.; Marz, M. Overview of different topologies and control strategies for DC micro grids," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on DC Microgrids*, Atlanta, GA, USA, 7–10 June 2015; pp. 349–354.

[5] T. Hakala, T. Lahdeaho and R. Komsi, "LVDC Pilot Implementation in Public Distribution Network", *Proceeding of International Conference on Electrical Distribution, CIRED 2015*, June 2015.

[6] J.D. Park, J. Candelaria, L. Ma, and K. Dunn, "DC Ring-Bus Microgrid Fault Protection and Identification of Fault Location," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*., vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 2574–2584, 2013.

[7] G. AlLee and W. Tschudi, "Edison's Data Center: 380Vdc brings Reliability and Efficiency to Sustainable Data Centers," *IEEE Power Energy Mag.*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 50–59, Nov. 2012.

[8] A. Pratt, P. Kumar, and T. V. Aldridge, "Evaluation of 400V DC distribution in Telco and data centers to improve energy efficiency," *Proceeding of 29th International Telecommunication Energy Conference*, Rome, Italy, Oct. 2007, pp. 32–39.

[9] Hirose, K., "Consideration of voltage range of a 380 VDC distribution system for international standardization," *Proceedings of the 35th International Telecommunications Energy Conference 'Smart Power and Efficiency' (INTELEC)*, Hamburg, Germany, 13–17 October 2013; pp. 1–6.

[10] Inamori, J., Hoshi, H., Tanaka, T., Babasaki, T. and Hirose, K., "380-VDC power distribution system for 4-MW-scale cloud facility," *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE 36th International Telecommunications Energy Conference (INTELEC)*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 28 September–2 October 2014; pp. 1–8.

[11] D. Kumar and F. Zare, "Analysis of harmonic mitigations using hybrid passive filters," *Proceeding of 16th International Power Electronics Motion Control Conference and Exposition (PEMC)*, Sep. 2014, pp. 945–951.

[12] P. Davari, F. Zare, and F. Blaabjerg, "Pulse pattern modulated strategy for harmonic current components reduction in three-phase ac-dc converters," *IEEE Transaction Industrial Application*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 3182–3192, Jul./Aug. 2016.

[13] P. Davari, Y. Yang, F. Zare, and F. Blaabjerg, "A multi-pulse pattern modulation scheme for harmonic mitigation in three-phase multi-motor drives," *IEEE J. Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 174–185, Mar. 2016.

[14] IEC 61000-3-2: Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) - Part 3-2: Limits - Limits for harmonic current emissions (equipment input current ≤ 16 A per phase).

[15] IEC 61000-3-12: Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) - Part 3-12: Limits - Limits for harmonic currents produced by equipment connected to public low-voltage systems with input current >16 A and ≤ 75 A per phase.

[16] IEEE 519 - IEEE Recommended Practice and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electric Power Systems.

[17] F. Zare, P. Davari and F. Blaabjerg, "A Multi-Pulse Front-End Rectifier System with Electronic Phase-Shifting for Harmonic Mitigation in Motor Drive Applications", *Proceeding of Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (IEEE ECCE)*, Sept 2016.

[18] F. Zare, "Modular Multi-Parallel Rectifiers (MMR) with Two DC Link Current Sensors," *Proceeding of Energy Conversion Congress and Expo (IEEE ECCE)*, Sept 2016.

[19] G. Gohil, R. Maheshwari, L. Bede, T. Kerekes, R. Teodorescu, M. Liserre, and F. Blaabjerg, "Modified discontinuous PWM for size reduction of the circulating current filter in parallel interleaved converters," *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 3457–3470, July 2015.

[20] F. Yang, X. Zhao, C. Wang and Z. Sun, "Research on Parallel Interleaved Inverters with Discontinuous Space-Vector Modulation," *Journal of Energy and Power Engineering*, pp. 219-225, 2015.

[21] L. Heinemann and G. Mauthe, "The Universal Power Electronics Based Distribution Transformer, an Unified Approach," *Proceeding of IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference (PESC)*, 2001, pp. 504–509.

[22] M. Simoes, R. Roche, E. Kyriakides, A. Miraoui, B. Blunier, K. McBee,S. Suryanarayanan, P. Nguyen, and P. Ribeiro, "Smart-Grid Technologies and Progress in Europe and the USA," *Proceeding of IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE)*, Sep. 2011, pp. 383–390.

[23] G. Ortiz and J. W. Kolar, "Solid-state-transformers: Key components of future traction and smart grid systems," *Proceeding of International Power Electronics Conference*, Hiroshima, Japan, May 18–21, 2014.

[24] De Doncker R. W.; Divan, D. M.; Kheraluwala, M. H.,"Power conversion apparatus for DC/DC conversion using dual active bridges," *U.S. patent US5027264 A*, Sep. 29, 1989.

[25] RW. De Doncker, D. Divan, M. Kheraluwala, "A Three Phase Soft-switched High-Power-Density dc/dc Converter for High-Power Applications," *IEEE Transactions of Industry Applications*, vol. 27, No. 1, Jan/Feb 1991, pp. 63-73.

[26] J. Taufiq, "Power Electronics Technologies for Railway Vehicles," *Proceeding of Power Conversion Conference (PCC)*, Nagoya, 2007, pp. 1388–1393.

[27] M. Steiner and H. Reinold, "Medium Frequency Topology in Railway Applications," *in European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications (EPE)*, Aalborg, 2007, pp. 1–10.

[28] C. Zhao, S. Lewdeni-Schmid, J. Steinke, M. Weiss, T. Chauduri, M. Pellerin, J. Duron, and P. Stefanutti, "Design, Implementation and Performance of a Modular Power Electronic Transformer (PET) for Railway Application," *Proceeding of European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications (EPE)*, Birmingham, 2011, pp. 1–10.

[29] B. Hafez, H. S. Krishnamoorthy, P. Enjeti, S. Ahmed, and I. J. Pitel, "Medium Voltage Power Distribution Architecture with Medium Frequency Isolation Transformer for Data Centers," *Proceeding of IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC)*, Fort Worth, 2014, pp. 3485–3489.

[30] X. She, A. Q. Huang, and R. Burgos, "Review of Solid-State Transformer Technologies and Their Application in Power Distribution Systems," *IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 186–198, 2013.

[31] J.E. Huber and J.W. Kolar, "Solid –State Transformers: on the origins and evolution of key concepts," *IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine*, vol. 10, no.3, Sept. 2016.

[32] X. She, A. Q. Huang, S. Lukic, and M. E. Baran, "On Integration of Solid-State Transformer With Zonal DC Microgrid," *IEEE Transaction on Smart Grid*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 975–985, 2012.

[33] X. Yu, X. She, X. Zhou, and A. Q. Huang, "Power management for dc microgrid enabled by solid-state transformer," *IEEE Transaction on Smart Grid*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 954-965, Mar. 2014.

[34] D. Rothmund, G. Ortiz, and J. W. Kolar, "SiC-based Unidirectional Solid-State Transformer Concepts for Directly Interfacing 400V DC to Medium-Voltage AC Distribution Systems," *Proceeding of the Telecommunications Energy Conference (INTELEC)*, Sep. 2014, pp. 1–9.

[35] G. Ortiz, M. Leibl, J. W. Kolar, and O. Apeldoorn, "Medium Frequency Transformers for Solid-State-Transformer Applications - Design and Experimental Verification," *Proceeding of IEEE International Conference on Power Electronics and Drive Systems (PEDS)*, 2013, pp. 1285–1290.

[36] P. Shuai and J. Biela, "Design and optimization of medium frequency, medium voltage transformers," *Proceeding of the European Conf. Power Electronics and Applications (EPE)*, Lille, France, Sep. 2013.

[37] T. Kaipia, P. Salonen, J. Lassila, J. Partanen, "Possibilities of the low voltage DC distribution systems," *Proceeding of NORDAC 2006 Conference*, Aug. 2006.

[38] Diaz, Enrique Rodriguez; Savaghebi, Mehdi; Quintero, Juan Carlos Vasquez; Guerrero, Josep M, "An Overview of Low Voltage DC Distribution Systems for Residential Applications," *Proceedings of the 5th IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics*, Berlin, Germany, 2015.

[39] P. Salonen, T. Kaipia, P. Nuutinen, P. Peltoniemi, J. Partanen, "An LVDC distribution system concept," *Proceeding of Nordic Workshop on Power and Industrial Electronics (NORPIE)*, June 2008.

[40] H. Kakigano, Y. Miura, and T. Ise, “Low-Voltage Bipolar-Type DC Microgrid for Super High Quality Distribution,” *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 3066–3075, 2010.

[41] Ashok Jhunjhunwala, Aditya Lolla, Prabhjot Kaur, “Solar-DC Microgrid for Indian Homes: Transforming Power Scenario,” *IEEE Electrification Magazine*, vol 4, Issue 2, pp: 10-19. June 2016.

[42] J. Karppanen, T. Kaipia, P. Nuutinen, A. Lana, P. Peltoniemi, A. Pinomaa, A. Mattsson, J. Partanen, J. Cho, and J. Kim, “Effect of Voltage Level Selection on Earthing and Protection of LVDC Distribution Systems,” *Proceeding of 11th IET International Conference AC and DC Power Transmission*, pp. 10-12, Feb 2015.

[43] H. Kakigano, Y. Miura, T. Ise, R. Uchida, "Dc voltage control of the dc micro-grid for super high quality distribution," *Proceeding of Power Conversion Conference (PCC '07)*, pp. 518-525, 2007.

[44] T. Dragicevic, J. C. Vasquez, J. M. Guerrero, and D. Skrlec, “Advanced LVDC Electrical Power Architectures and Microgrids: A Step Toward a New Generation of Power Distribution Networks,” *IEEE Electrification Magazine*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 54–65, 2014.

[45] W. Lu and B. T. Ooi, “Multiterminal LVDC system for optimal acquisition of power in wind-farm using induction generators,” *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 558–563, Jul. 2002.

[46] W. Lu and B. T. Ooi, “Optimal acquisition and aggregation of offshore wind power by multiterminal voltage-source hvdc*,” IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 201–206, Jan. 2003.

[47] W. Lu and B. T. Ooi, “Multi-terminal HVDC as enabling technology of premium quality park,” *IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 915–920, Jul. 2003.

[48] L. Tang, “Control and protection of multi-terminal dc transmission systems based on voltage-source converters,” Ph.D. dissertation, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada, 2003.

[49] L. Tang and B.-T. Ooi, “Locating and Isolating DC Faults in Multi-Terminal DC Systems,” *IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1877–1884, 2007.

[50] R. M. Cuzner and G. Venkataramanan, “The Status of DC Micro-Grid Protection,” *Proceeding of IEEE Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting*, 2008, pp. 1–8.

[51] Kwasinski, A. “Advanced power electronics enabled distribution architectures: design, operation, and control,” *Proceeding of Power Electronics and ECCE Asia (ICPE & ECCE)*, 2011, pp. 1484–1491

[52] E. Tironi, M. Corti and G. Ubezio, “Zonal electrical distribution systems in large ships: Topology and control,” *Proceeding of International Annual Conference (AEIT)*, 2015, Naples, Italy.

[53] B. G. Dobbs and P. L. Chapman, “A multiple-input DC-DC converter topology,” *IEEE Power Electronics Letter*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 6–9, Mar. 2003.

[54] N. D Benavides and P. L. Chapman, “Power budgeting of a multipleinput buck-boost converter,” *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1303–1309, Nov. 2005.

[55] A.Kwasinski, “Identification of feasible topologies for multiple-input DCDC converters,” *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 856–861, Mar. 2009.

[56] A. Khaligh, C. Jian, and L. Young-Joo, “A multiple-input DC-DC converter topology,” *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 862–868, Mar. 2009.

[57] R. S. Balog and P. T. Krein, “Bus Selection in Multibus DC Microgrids,” *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 860–867, 2011.

[58] J. C. Ciezki and R. W. Ashton, “Selection and stability issues associated with a navy shipboard and DC zonal electric distribution,” *IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 665–669, Apr. 2000.

[59] M. E. Baran and N. Mahajan, “System reconfiguration on shipboard DC zonal electrical system,” in *Proc. IEEE Electr. Ship Technol. Symp.*, 2005, pp. 86–92.

[60] E. Christopher, M. Sumner, D. W. P. Thomas, "Fault location in a zonal dc marine power system using active impedance estimation," *IEEE Transaction on Industrial Application*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 860-865, Mar./Apr. 2013.

[61] P. Cairoli and R. Dougal, “New Horizons in DC Shipboard Power Systems: New Fault Protection Strategies are Essential to the Adoption of DC Power Systems,” *IEEE Electrification Magazine*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 38–45, Dec. 2013.

[62] D. Paul, “DC traction power system grounding,” *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 818–824, May 2002.

[63] M. Noritake, T. Lino, A. Fukui, K. Hirose, and M. Yamasaki, “A study of the safety of the dc 400v distribution system,” *Proceeding of IEEE 31st International Telecommunications Energy Conference (INTELEC)*, pp. 1–8, 2009.

[64] K. Hirose, T. Tanaka, T. Babasaki, S. Person, O. Foucault, B. J. Sonnerber, and M. Szpek, “Grounding concept considerations and recommendations for 400vdc distribution system,” *Proceeding of IEEE 33rd International Telecommunications Energy Conference (INTELEC)*, pp. 1–8, 2011.

[65] K. Xing, F. C. Lee, J. S. Lai, T. Gurjit, and D. Borojevic, “Adjustable speed drive neutral voltage shift and grounding issues in a DC distributed system,” *Proceeding of IEEE-IAS Annual Meeting*, Oct. 1997, pp. 517–524.

[66] M. E. Baran and N. R. Mahajan, “DC Distribution for Industrial Systems: Opportunities and Challenges,” *IEEE Transaction. Industry Application*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 1596–1601, 2003.

[67] C. Prévé, “Protection of Electrical Networks,” ISTE Ltd, London, 2006.

[68] IEC 60364-1: Low-voltage electrical installations – Part 1: Fundamental principles, assessment of general characteristics, definitions,” International Electrotechnical Commission, 2005.

[69] B. Bridger, “High-resistance grounding,” *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. IA-19, no. 1, pp. 15-21, 1983.

[70] S.N. Singh, “Electric Power Generation, Transmission and Distribution,” PHI publisher, 2008.

[71] J. P. Nelson, P. K. Sen, "High resistance grounding of low voltage systems: A standard for the petroleum and chemical industry," *IEEE Transaction on Industry Applications*, vol. 35, pp. 941-948, July/Aug. 1999.

[72] G. Skibinski, Z. Liu, R. Van Lieshout, R. Lukaszewski, M. Tuchalski, "Part II: Application Guidelines for High Resistance Grounding of Low Voltage Common AC Bus & Common DC Bus PWM Drive System," *IEEE Transaction on Industry Applications*, Vol. 51, No. 2, 2015.

[73] European Commission, Low Voltage Directive, LVD 2006/95/EC. European Union Directive, Brussels 2006.

[74] T. De Oliveira, A. Bolzon and P. Donoso-Garcia, "Grounding and safety considerations for residential DC microgrids," *Proceeding of IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics Society, IECON 2014*, pp. 5526-5532.

[75] Technical Application Paper No. 14: Faults in LVDC microgrids with front-end converters [Online]. https://library.e.abb.com/public/a4760216a7d24bbabe5946f9d193ff40/1SDC007113G0201.pdf

[76] IEC 60479-1: “Effects of current on human beings and livestock”, International Electrotechnical Commission, 2005.

[77] E.Taylor, M. Korytowski and G. Reed, “Voltage transient Propagation in AC and DC Datacenter Distribution Architectures,” *Proceeding of IEEE-ECCE’12*, pp. 1998-2004, 2012.

[78] Graham, A.D., “The importance of a DC side harmonic study for a DC distribution system”, *Proceedings of the 6th IET International Conference on Power Electronics, Machines and Drives (PEMD 2012)*, Bristol, UK, 27–29 March 2012; pp. 1–5.

[79] A. Bose, “Smart transmission grid applications and their supporting infrastructure,” *IEEE Transaction on Smart Grid*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 11–19, June 2010.

[80] F. Li, W. Qiao, H. Sun, H. Wan, J. Wang, Y. Xia, Z. Xu, and P. Zhang., “Smart transmission grid: Vision and framework,” *IEEE Transaction on Smart Grid*, vol.1, no.2, pp:168–177, 2010.

[81] A. Pinomaa, J. Ahola, A. Kosonen, and P. Nuutinen, “Noise analysis of a power-line communication channel in an LVDC smart grid concept,” *Proceeding of 17th IEEE International Symposium on Power Line Communications Applications*, Mar. 2013.

[82] Asakimori, K., Murai, K., Tanaka, T. and Babasaki, T., “Effect of inrush current flowing into EMI filter on the operation of ICT equipment in HVDC system,” *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE 36th International Telecommunications Energy Conference (INTELEC)*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 28 September–2 October 2014; pp. 1–5.

[83] Kwasinski, A. “Advanced power electronics enabled distribution architectures: Design, operation, and control,” *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Power Electronics—ECCE Asia*, Jeju, Korea, 30 May–3 June 2011; pp. 1484–1491.

[84] Lazaroiu, G.C.; Tironi, E.; Popescu, M.O.; Ghita, O.; Dumbrava, V. "Transient analysis of DG interfaced low voltage dc system," *Proceedings of 14th International Conference on harmonics and Quality of Power—ICHQP 2010*, Bergamo, Italy, 26–29 September 2010; pp. 1–6.

[85] Whaite, S.; Grainger, B.; Kwasinski, A., "Power Quality in DC Power Distribution Systems and Microgrids," *Transaction of Energies 2015*, 8, 4378-4399.

[86] S. Rajagopalan, B. Fortenbery and D. Symanski, "Power quality disturbances within DC data centers," *Proceeding of 32nd International Telecommunications Energy Conference (INTLEC)*, June 2010.

[87] P. Prabhakaran, V. Agarwal, "Mitigation of voltage unbalance in a low voltage bipolar DC microgrid using a boost-SEPIC type interleaved dc-dc compensator," *Proceeding of IEEE 2nd Annual Southern Power Electronics (SPEC) conference*, 2016.

[88] Mok K-T, Wang M, Tan S-C, Hui SY., " DC electric springs - A new technology for stabilizing DC power distribution systems," *IEEE Trans Power Electronics*, vol. 32 (2), pp. 1088-1105, 2017.

[89] Y. Ito, Y. Zhongqing, and H. Akagi, "DC micro-grid based distribution power generation system," *Proceeding of IEEE IPEMC*, 2004, vol. 3, pp. 1740–1745.

[90] Farhadi, M.; Mohammed, O., "Real time Operation and Harmonic Analysis of Isolated and Non-Isolated Hybrid DC Microgrid," *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol.50, no.4, pp.2900-2909.

[91] Y. Yan, Y. Qian, H. Sharif, and D. Tipper, "A survey on smart grid communication infrastructures: Motivations, requirements and challenges," *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 5–20, 2013.

[92] NIST framework and Roadmamp for Smart Grid interoperability standards, 2010.

[93] W. Wang, Y. Xu, and M. Khanna, ―A Survey on the Communication Architectures in Smart Grid," *Computer Networks*, pp. 3604–3629. July 2011.

[94] P. Kansal and A. Bose, "Bandwidth and latency requirements for smart transmission grid applications*," IEEE Transaction on Smart Grid*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 1344–1352, Sep. 2012.

[95] R. H. Khan and J. Y. Khan, "A comprehensive review of the application characteristics and traffic requirements of a smart grid communications network," *Computer Network*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 825–845, Mar. 2013.

[96] M. Kuzlu, M. Pipattanasomporn, and S. Rahman, "Communication network requirements for major smart grid applications in HAN, NAN and WAN," *Computer Network*, vol. 67, pp. 74–88, Jul. 2014.

[97] S. Barmada, A. Musolino, M. Raugi, R. Rizzo, and M. Tucci, "A wavelet based method for the analysis of impulsive noise due to switch commutations in power line communication (PLC) systems," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 2(1), pp.92–101, 2011.

[98] C. M. Colson and M. H. Nehrir, "A review of challenges to real-time power management of microgrids," *Proceeding of IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting*, 2009.

[99] M. Zimmermann and K. Dostert, "Analysis and modeling of impulsive noise in broad-band powerline communications," *IEEE Transaction on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, vol. 44(1), pp. 249–258, 2002.

[100] M. Fang, J. Wan, X. Xu, and G. Wu, "System for Temperature Monitor in Substation with ZigBee Connectivity," *Proceeding of IEEE International Conference on Communication Technology*, pp. 25-28, Nov. 2008.

[101] B. Chen, M. Wu; S. Yao, B. Ni, "ZigBee Technology and its Application on Wireless Meter-reading System," *Proceeding of IEEE International Conference on Industrial Informatics*, Aug. 2006, pp. 1257 - 1260.

[102] I. F. Akyildiz and X. Wang, "A survey on wireless mesh networks," *IEEE Radio Communications*, pp. 23–30, 2005.

[103] H. Gharavi and B. Hu., "Multigate communication network for smart grid," *Proceeding of IEEE*, vol. 99(6), pp.1028 – 1045, 2011.

[104] V. C. Gungor and F. C. Lambert, "A survey on communication networks for electric system automation," *Computer Networks*, vol. 50(7), pp.877–897, 2006.

[105] A. Ghassemi, S. Bavarian, and L. Lampe, "Cognitive radio for smart grid communications," *Proceeding of IEEE SmartGridComm'10*, pp. 297–302, 2010.

[106] C. Hochgraf, R. Tripathi, and S. Herzberg, "Smart grid charger for electric vehicles using existing cellular networks and sms text messages," *IEEE SmartGridComm'10*, pp. 167–172, 2010.

[107] Conti M., Fedeli D., Virgulti M., "B4V2G: Bluetooth for electric vehicle to smart grid connection," *Proceeding of the Ninth Workshop on Intelligent Solutions in Embedded Systems (WISES)*, 2011, 7-8 July pp. 13-18, 2011.

[108] F. Aalamifar, S. Bavarian, E. Crozier, and L. Lampe, "WiMAX Technology in Smart Distribution Networks: Architecture, Modeling, and Applications," *in IEEE PES Transmission and Distribution Conference*, 2014.

[109] M. McGranaghan and F. Goodman, "Technical and system requirements for advanced distribution automation," *Proceeding of 18th International Conference and Exhibition on Electricity Distribution*, pp. 1–5, 2005.

[110] X. Fang, S. Misra, G. Xue, and D. Yang, "Smart grid - the new and improved power grid: A survey," *IEEE Communication Surveys and Tutorials*, vol. PP, no. 99, pp. 1–37, Dec. 2011.

[111] W. Wang and Z. Lu, "Survey cyber security in the smart grid: Survey and challenges," *Computer Network*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 1344–1371, Apr. 2013.

[112] X. Zhong, L. Yu, R. Brooks and G.K. Venayagamoorthy, "Cyber Security in Smart DC Microgrid Operations," *Proceeding of IEEE 1st International Conference on DC Microgrids*, 2015.

[113] EIA 2012: Annual Energy Outlook 2012 with Projections to 2035.

[114] Ulrich Hottelet, Mariela Botempi, "Direct Current Boots Energy Efficiency in Buildings". [Online] http://www.hottelet.de/Living%20Energy_Siemens_AC-DC.pdf

[115] P. Torcellini, S. Pless and M. Deru, "Zero Energy Buildings: A Critical Look at the Definition," *Proceeding of 2006 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings*, August 2016.

[116] California Public Utilities Commission, Renewables Portfolio Standard Eligibility (No. CEC-300-2007-006-ED3-CMF), 2008.

[117] California Independent System Operator, Folsom CA, Integration of Renewable Resources: Operational Requirements and Generation Fleet Capability at 20 % RPS, 2010.

[118] A. Sannino, G. Postiglione, M. H. J. Bollen, "Feasibility of a DC network for commercial facilities," *IEEE Transaction on Industry Applications*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1499-1507, Sep./Oct. 2003.

[119] D. Fregosi, S. Ravula, D. Brhlik, J. Saussele, S. Frank, E. Bonnema, J. Scheib, and E. Wilson, "A comparative study of DC and AC microgrids in commercial buildings across different climates and operating profiles," *Proceeding of IEEE First International Conference on DC Microgrids*, pp. 159–164, June 2015.

[120] DC Distribution Market, Benefits, and opportunitis in residential and commercial buildings, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Zero Net Energy Program, 2012.

[121] P. Waide and C. Brunner, "Energy-Efficiency Policy Opportunities for Electric Motor-Driven Systems," *International Energy Agency Working Paper, Energy Efficiency Series*, 2011.

[122] D. Kumar and F. Zare, "Harmonic analysis of grid connected power electronic systems in low voltage distribution networks," *IEEE Transaction on Emerging and Selected Topics Power Electronics*, Vol. 4, pp. 70-79, 2016.

[123] F. Zare and D. Kumar, "Harmonics Analysis of Industrial and Commercial Distribution Networks with High Penetration of Power Electronics Converters," *Proceeding of Australian Universities Power Engineering Conference (AUPEC)*, Brisbane, Australia, 2016.

[124] D. Kumar, P.W. Wheeler, J.C. Clare, T. Kim, "Weight/volume effective multi-drive system based on two-stage matrix converter," *Proceedings of IEEE IECON2008*, 2008, pp. 2782-2787.

[125] Danfoss: The Benefits Of DC-sharing – Focus on Drives [Online]. http://www.focusondrives.com/the-benefits-of-dc-sharing/

[126] Vaccon: Vacon NXP common dc bus products providing ultimate flexibility [Online]. https://www.vacon.com/ImageVaultFiles/id_3064/cf_2/Vacon-Common-DC-Bus-Products-Brochure-BC00169N-EN.PDF?634852860264670000

[127] J. McClurg, R. Pilawa-Podgurski, P. Shenoy, "A series-stacked architecture for high-efficiency data center power delivery," *Proceeding of IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition*, pp. 170-177, Sep. 2014.

[128] P. T. Krein, "A discussion of data center power challenges across the system," *Proceeding of IEEE Int. Conf. Energy Aware Computer.*, Dec. 2010.

[129] DC for efficiency: Low voltage DC power infrastructure in data centers. [Online].

https://library.e.abb.com/public/60289ad65efef903c1257c5a0040a37b/16-21%204m412_EN_72dpi.pdf

[130] Akerlund, J.; Boije af Gennas, C.; Olsson, G. & Rosin, D. (2007), "One year operation of a 9kW HVDC UPS 350 V at Gnesta Municipality data center," *Proceedings of 29th International Telecommunications Energy Conference, INTELEC'2007*, pp. 40-45, ISBN:978-1-4244-1628-8, Rome, Italy, September 2007.

[131] W. Allen, S. Natale, "Achieving ultra-high system availability in a battery-less –48VDC power plant," *Proceeding of INTELEC*, pp. 287-294, 2002.

[132] A. Kwasinski, "Evaluation of DC voltage levels for integrated information technology and telecom power architectures," *Proceeding of 4th International Telecommunication Energy Specialist Conference*, pp. 1-7, 2009-May.

[133] U. Carlsson, M. Flodin, J Akerlund, and A.Ericsson, "Powering the Internet – broadband equipment in all facilities - the need for a 300 V DC powering and universal current option," *Proceeding of INTELEC*, 2003, pp. 164-169.

[134] T. Tanaka, K. Hirose, D. Marquet, B. J. Sonnenberg, and M. Szpek, "Analysis of wiring design for 380-VDC power distribution system at telecommunication sites," *Proceeding of International Telecommunication. Energy Conference (INTELEC)*, pp. 1–5, 2012.

[135] C. Capasso and O. Veneri, "Experimental study of a DC charging station for full electric and plug in hybrid vehicles," *Journal of Applied Energy*, pp. 131-142, 2015.

[136] D. P. Tuttle and R. Baldick, "The evolution of plug-in electric vehicle grid interactions," *IEEE Transaction on Smart Grid*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 500–505, Mar. 2012.

[137] Driver's Checklist: A quick guide to fast charging.[Online] https://www.chargepoint.com/files/Quick_Guide_to_Fast_Charging.pdf

[138] D. Aggeler, F. Canales, H. Zelaya - De La Parra, A. Coccia, N. Butcher, and O. Apeldoorn, "Ultra-fast dc-charge infrastructures for EV-mobility and future smart grids," *Proceeding of IEEE Power Energy Soc. Innovative Smart Grid Technol. Conf.* Europe, Oct. 2010, pp. 1–8.

[139] L. P. Fernandez, T. Rom n, R. Cossent, C. M. Domingo, and P. Fr as, "Assessment of the Impact of Plug-in Electric Vehicles on Distribution Networks," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 26, pp. 206-213, 2011.

[140] G. Byeon, T. Yoon, S. Oh, and G. Jang, "Energy management strategy of the dc distribution system in buildings using the EV service model," *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. PP, p. 1, Apr. 2012.

[141] M. Tabari and A. Yazdani, "An Energy Management Strategy for a DC Distribution System for Power System Integration of Plug-In Electric Vehicles," *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 659-668, March, 2016.

[142] Srinivasa Rao K., P. J. Chauhan and et.all, "An exercise to qualify LVAC and LVDC power system architectures for a Platform Supply Vessel," *Proceeding of IEEE Conference and Expo Transportation Electrification Asia-Pacific (ITEC Asia-Pacific)*, Busan, Korea, 2016.

[143] Uriarte, F.M.; Hebner, R.E.; Kwasinski, A.; Gattozzi, A.L.; Estes, H.B.; Anwar, A.; Cairoli, P.; Dougal, R.; Dougal, A.; Feng, X.; *et. al.*, "Technical cross-fertilization between terrestrial microgrids and ship power systems," *In Proceedings of the ESRDC 10th Anniversary Meeting*, Austin, TX, USA, 4–6 June 2012.

[144] J. F. Hansen, J. O. Lindtjørn, and K. Vanska, "Onboard DC grid for enhanced DP operation in ships," *Proceeding of MTS Dynamic Positioning Conference*, Houston, 2011.

[145] ABB, "Onboard DC Grid. The step forward in Power Generation and Propulsion", 20 11. [Online] http://www04.abb.com/global/seitp/seitp202.nsf/0/292d42e87306453dc12579ad0050a457/$file/12_10_OnboardDCGrid_Technical-Information.pdf

[146] Zheming Jin ; Giorgio Sulligoi, et. All, "Next-Generation Shipboard DC Power System: Introduction Smart Grid and dc Microgrid Technologies into Maritime Electrical Networks," *IEEE Electrification Magazine*, 2016, vol. 4, pp. 45-57.

[147] B. Zahedi, L. E. Norum, and K. B. Ludvigsen, "Optimized efficiency of all-electric ships by dc hybrid power systems," *Journal of Power System*, vol. 255, pp. 341–354, 2014.

[148] O. C. Nebb, B. Zahedi, J. O. Lindtjorn, L. Norum, "Increased fuel efficiency in ship LVDC power distribution systems", *Proceeding of IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference (VPPC)*, Seoul, Korea, pp. 564- 568, (2012).

[149] IEC SEG4: Implementing the standardization framework to support the development of Low Voltage Direct Current and electricity access, October 2016.

[150] L. Weixing, M. Xiaoming, Z. Yuebin and C. Marnay, "On voltage standards for DC home microgrids energized by distributed sources," *Proceeding of Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference (IPEMC), 2012 7th International*, pp. 2282-2286.

[151] S Anand, B. G. Fernandes, "Optimal Voltage Levels for DC microgrids," *Proceeding of 36th Annual IEEE Industrial Electronics society Conference, IECON 2010*, pp.3034-3039, Nov. 2010

[152] K. Janne et. All, "Selection of Voltage Level in Low Voltage DC Utility Distribution System," *proceeding of International Conference on Electrical Distribution, CIRED 2015*, June 2015.

[153] J. Cho, J-H Kim, W. Chae, H-J Lee and J. Kim, "Design and Construction of Korean LVDC Distribution System for Supplying DC Power to Customer," *Proceeding of International Conference on Electrical Distribution, CIRED 2015*, June 2015.

[154] K. Smith, D. Wang, A. Emhemmed, S. Galloway and G. Burt, "Overview Paper on: Low Voltage Direct Current Distribution System Standards," *International Journal of Power Electronics*, 2017.

[155] ETSI, 2011Environmental Engineering (EE); Earthing and bonding of 400 VDC data and telecom (ICT) equipment.

[156] BS 7671 (2008): Requirements for Electrical Installations. IET Wiring Regulations

[157] A. Chang, Brian R. Sennett, Al-Thaddeus, "Analysis and Design of DC System Protection Using Z-Source Circuit Breaker," *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 1036-1049, Mar 2015.

[158] Emhemed, A.A.S. et al., "Validation of Fast and Selective Protection Scheme for an LVDC Distribution Network," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol.pp, no.99, pp.1–1, 2016.

[159] Monadi, M., Zamani, M.A., Candela, J.I., et al.: "Protection of AC and DC distribution systems Embedding distributed energy resources: A comparative review and analysis," *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review*, 2015, 51, pp. 1578-1593.

[160] J. Brozek, "DC overcurrent protection—Where we stand," *IEEE Transaction on Industry Applications*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1029–1032, Sep./Oct. 1993.

[161] D. Salomonsson, L. S ¨oder, and A. Sannino, "Protection of low-voltage dc microgrids," *IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery*, 24(3), pp. 1045–1053, 2009.

[162] M. Baran and N. Mahajan, "Overcurrent protection on voltage-sourceconverter-based multiterminal dc distribution systems," *IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 406–412, Jan. 2007.

[163] Z. J. Shen, G. Sabui, Z. Miao, and Z. Shuai, "Wide-Bandgap SolidState Circuit Breakers for DC Power Systems: Device and Circuit Considerations," *IEEE Transaction on Electron Devices*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 294–300, Feb. 2015.

[164] H. Pugliese, and M. Von Kannewurff, "Discovering DC: A Primer on DC Circuit Breaker, Their Advantages, and Design," *IEEE Industry Applications Magazine*, vol. 19, No. 5, September- October 2013.

[165] Y. Sato, Y. Tanaka, A. Fukui, M. Yamasaki, H. Ohashi, "SiC-SIT circuit breakers with controllable interruption voltage for 400-V DC distribution systems," *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 2597-2605, May 2014.

[166] Z. J. Shen, Z. Miao, A. M. Roshandeh, "Solid state circuit breakers for DC micrgrids: Current status and future trends," *Proceeding of IEEE 1st International Conference on DC Microgrids (ICDCM)*, pp. 228-233, Jun. 2015.

[167] IEEE Std. 446-1995: IEEE Recommended Practice for Emergency and Standby Power Systems for Industrial and Commercial Applications, 1995.

[168] IEEE P2030.10 - Standard for DC Microgrids for Rural and Remote Electricity Access Applications.

[169] IEEE Standard Group: DC in the Home.[online] http://www.project-edison.eu/file/repository/222.pdf

[170] EMerge Alliance: Public Overview of the Emerge, Public Overview of the Emerge Alliance Occupied Space Standard Version 1.1

[171] EMerge Alliance: Public Overview of the Emerge Alliance Data/Telecom Center Standard Version 1.0

[172] ETSI EN 300 132-3-0: Part 3: Operated by rectified current source, alternating current source or direct current source up to 400 V.

[173] ITU L.1201: Architecture of power feeding systems of up to 400 VDC.

[174] ITU L.1202: Methodologies for evaluating the performance of an up to 400 VDC power feeding system and its environmental impact.

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2705914, IEEE Access

[175] ITU L.1203: Colour and marking identification of up to 400 VDC power distribution for information and communication technology systems.
[176] YD/T2378-2011: 240V direct current power supply system for telecommunications.
[177] YD/T3091-2016: Requirements and methods for post-operational evaluation of 240V / 336V DC power supply system for communication.