Accepted Manuscript

Coupling of cleaner production with a day-ahead electricity market: a hypothetical case study

Luka Perković, Hrvoje Mikulčić, Luka Pavlinek, Xuebin Wang, Milan Vujanović, Houzhang Tan, Jakov Baleta, Neven Duić

PII:	S0959-6526(16)32068-6
DOI:	10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.019
Reference:	JCLP 8594
To appear in:	Journal of Cleaner Production
Received Date:	02 May 2016
Revised Date:	21 September 2016
Accepted Date:	05 December 2016

Please cite this article as: Luka Perković, Hrvoje Mikulčić, Luka Pavlinek, Xuebin Wang, Milan Vujanović, Houzhang Tan, Jakov Baleta, Neven Duić, Coupling of cleaner production with a dayahead electricity market: a hypothetical case study, *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.019

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Wordcount: 5,561 1

2	
3	Coupling of cleaner production with a day-ahead electricity market: a
4	hypothetical case study
5	
6	Luka Perković ^a , Hrvoje Mikulčić ^{*,b} , Luka Pavlinek ^b , Xuebin Wang ^c , Milan Vujanović ^b ,
7	Houzhang Tan ^c , Jakov Baleta ^b , Neven Duić ^b
8	
9	^a Faculty of Mining, Geology and Petroleum Engineering
10	University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
11	e-mail: luka.perkovic@oblak.rgn.hr
12	
13	^b Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture
14	University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
15	e-mail: hrvoje.mikulcic@fsb.hr, luka.pavlinek@stud.fsb.hr, milan.vujanovic@fsb.hr,
16	neven.duic@fsb.hr
17	
18	° MOE Key Laboratory of Thermo-Fluid Science and Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University,
19	Xi'an 710049, China
20	e-mail: wxb005@xjtu.edu.cn, tanhz@mail.xjtu.edu.cn
21	
21	Abstract
22	During the last 25 years day-ahead electricity markets are continuously expanding and the
23	amount of energy being traded through them is increasing. Moreover, there is a possibility for
24	production facilities to act directly on a day-ahead market as independent market players. The
25	aim of this paper is to analyse the potential for reduction of variable costs of an arbitrary
26	production facility consisting of high-efficient combined heat and power (CHP), grid
27	connection and production unit, thermal and products storage and photovoltaic (PV) panels.
28	Costs are reduced by offsetting the expensive electricity with the use of thermal and products
29	storage and optimization of power flows. Variable costs are, together with the costs of a raw
30	material, directly related to input costs of energy in the form of a fossil fuel derivatives and/or
31	electricity. Two hypothetical cases will be analysed: (1) production facility with installed PV

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +385 1 6168 494; fax: +385 1 6156 940. E-mail address: hrvoje.mikulcic@fsb.hr (H. Mikulčić).

acting as a prosumer and (2) production facility without the installed PV acting only as a consumer from the market point of view. Mathematical model consists of two sub-models which are solved in a coupled manner: the optimization of cost-reduction by retaining the output product distribution and model for obtaining the day-ahead market clearing price of electricity. The results show that coupling of market modelling with optimization of running costs for an arbitrary production facility can be used for estimation of market clearing price and optimization of power flows within the production facility.

Keywords: Day-ahead electricity market; Integer programming; Market clearing price;
Renewable energy sources; Thermal storage

41 Nomenclature

- 42 A area [m²]
- 43 e energy [kWh]
- 44 *E* energy content in thermal storage [kWh]
- 45 \overline{E} maximum energy content in thermal storage [kWh]
- 46 *I* integer programming variable [-]
- 47 *n* number of products [-]
- 48 \overline{n} maximum productivity in one hour [-]
- 49 *p* price per unit energy [eur/kWh]
- 50 \overline{P} maximum power capacity [kW]
- 51 \underline{P} minimum power capacity [kW]
- 52 q energy from market players [kWh]
- 53 X productivity per unit of energy [n/kWh]
- 54 η efficiency [-]
- 55 *List of subscripts*
- 56 *id* unit "i" of demand side
- 57 *is* unit "i" of supply side
- 58 *t* hour "t"

59 *List of superscripts*

fuel	relates to the fuel
el,imp/exp	relates to the import/export at the electricity hub
th,CHP	thermal output from CHP unit
el,CHP	electric output from CHP unit
el	relates to electric energy flow
el,prod	relates to electric energy demand for productivity
th,prod	relates to thermal energy demand for productivity
prod	relates to productivity
prod,dem	relates to products demand rate
imp/exp	relates to import/export from the market
iter	iteration
iter-1	previous iteration
	fuel el,imp/exp th,CHP el,CHP el el,prod th,prod prod prod,dem imp/exp iter iter-1

72 List of abbreviations

- 73 CHP Combined Heat and Power
- 74 MCP Market Clearing Price
- 75 MM Market Model
- 76 PF Production Facility
- 77 PFM Production Facility Model
- 78 PTH Power to heat
- 79 PV Photovoltaic
- 80 URF Under-relaxation factor

81 **1. Introduction**

82 Due to the increase in greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere, reduction of greenhouse 83 gas emissions has become major technological, societal, and political imperative worldwide 84 (Klemeš et al., 2010). The reduction of greenhouse gas emissions can be achieved by transforming fossil-oriented energy systems into more sustainable ones. The integration of 85 86 renewable energy sources in existing energy systems has been recognised as a first step for this 87 (Kostevšek et al., 2014). The penetration of various renewable energy sources in the overall 88 power generation reduces the environmental impact caused by fossil fuel generation systems 89 (Zare Oskouei and Sadeghi Yazdankhah, 2015). In this context, ensuring cleaner energy is also a 90 milestone for cleaner production, especially for reducing the greenhouse gases emissions and 91 emissions of other pollutants, which are directly related to the types and loads of the energy 92 sources used (Yong et al., 2015).

93 Due to increased environmental awareness, cleaner and more efficient production is gaining 94 on importance in all industrial sectors (Klemeš et al., 2012). In order to achieve this aim, the 95 industry players need to change the traditional ways of their production (Chofreh et al., 2014). 96 They need to start acting as prosumers, meaning that they need to act as customers that can both 97 produce and consume electricity (Brand et al., 2014). The prosumers additionally increases the 98 complexity of the commercial relationship between utilities and entities generating energy for 99 self-consumption because they can also sell their excess capacity to the utility company 100 (Cardenas et al., 2014). To avoid blackouts, electricity systems require a perfect balance between 101 supply and demand at all times (Ochoa and van Ackere, 2015). This has been well presented in 102 the study by Ho et al. (2014). The study showed that a renewable energy based distributed 103 energy generation system for a small community in Malaysia is technically feasible and 104 economically viable.

105 The electrical balancing in a prosumer way, that is to increase economic benefits for the 106 customers, was already analysed by different authors on different type of systems. Verleden et al.

107 (2011) analysed the electrical balancing for residential installations. The study showed that a self 108 -efficient system can be achieved by balancing supply and demand on a local level, from which 109 benefit both the distribution grid operator and the prosumer. Alahäivälä et al. (2015) analysed the 110 optimal control strategy for a residential micro-CHP system with a power sink. The study 111 showed that the presence of the intermittent generation in a power system occasionally causes 112 surplus in electricity production, and that in such a case, it may be profitable to use electricity for 113 heat production in a micro-CHP system. Laveyne et al. (2014) analysed the load-shifting of electrical heat pumps, showing that the heat can be stored much more cost efficiently than 114 115 electricity, by the load shifting ability of heat pumps. Salpakari and Lund (2016) studied the cost-116 optimal and rule-based control for buildings with PV, employing a heat pump, thermal and 117 electrical storage. The study showed that for a low-energy house in Southern Finland, the most 118 cost-optimal measure was a PV with a thermal storage, a heat pump and a battery. Perković et al. 119 (2016) in their study showed that coupling of desalination and renewables increases the system 120 stability by utilizing the brine and fresh water storage. Novosel et al. (2015a) showed that 121 introduction of electric vehicles can allow larger penetration of renewables.

122 Over the years, the rising cost of energy encouraged manufacturing facilities decision-makers 123 to tackle the energy cost problem in different manners (Shrouf et al., 2014). The potential to 124 reduce energy costs can lie in the integration of different production industries and day-ahead 125 electricity markets. This energy cost reduction potential and optimal production scheduling was 126 recently studied by Hadera and Harjunkoski (2013). The study showed that the steel plant 127 production that is assumed to participate in a day-ahead electricity market with hourly varying 128 electricity prices, may lead to significant savings in the electricity bill. Hadera et al. (2015) 129 studied the process flexibility of a steel production plant. The study show that the potential 130 impact of high prices in the day-ahead markets of electricity can be mitigated by jointly 131 optimizing the production schedule and the associated net electricity consumption cost. Ferruzzi 132 et al. (2016) presented a decision making model for optimal bidding in the day-ahead energy 133 market of a grid-connected residential microgrid, acting as an prosumer, under forecast 134 uncertainty. Bidding and scheduling in electricity markets between the aggregator and the 135 prosumer with the use of stochastic programming is investigated by the Ottesen et al. (2016) The 136 difference between the two common control strategies, the cost-optimal and rule-based control, 137 for a building-integrated PV is analysed in study of Salpakari and Lund (2016), where thermal 138 storage with heat pumps and batteries performed better than the demand shift measures in cost 139 reduction. This study also stressed out the importance of having grid-connected system for 140 improved performance and increased flexibility of a prosumer microgrid.

However, when it comes to the electrical balancing of a production of any kind of a manufacturing facility with a day-ahead electricity market when production facility can influence the market clearing price, this has till now not been reported.

Therefore the novelty of this work is a new model that couples the calculation of predicted market clearing price of electricity with optimal scheduling of production with the aim of better resource management, cleaner production and reduction of costs. Moreover, the production facility can be considered as a grid-connected microgrid, having possibility of renewable and conventional supply, as well as thermal storage and warehouse capacity for offsetting the production in times of high cost of power supply and selling own surplus in the day-ahead market.

151 **1.1. Problem formulation**

152 The configuration under the investigation, shown in Fig.1, is consisting of the day-153 ahead electricity market and the production facility. Production facility is connected to the 154 market through the interconnecting power flow cable which connects production facility to 155 the grid and enables the production facility to act as a market player. One of the assumptions 156 of the model is that the production facility can sell or purchase electricity only with the electricity market. Production facility can supply electricity from the market, CHP unit at the 157 158 cost of fuel and PV unit at zero marginal cost. Moreover, the production facility has a strict 159 hourly-based delivery schedule for the number of products that have to be delivered in each 160 hour of the day, and each product requires the predefined amount of thermal and electrical 161 energy. The main task of this work is to provide the coupling of the day-ahead market model 162 (MM) and production facility model (PFM) with the objective for minimizing the production 163 cost and maximizing the production facility income from the interplay with the MM. In order 164 to offset the high price of electricity, production facility can use capabilities of thermal storage and warehouse (storage for products) and produce its products during the periods of 165 166 low price of electricity or high solar irradiation, i.e. from it's own production at PV unit. There 167 is also a possibility to completely bypass the CHP unit with the use of production facility's 168 power-to-heat capability (PTH). In that case, all electric and thermal demand can be served 169 from the PV unit and the grid connection to the electricity market that are supplying the 170 electricity bus. Additional income can be gained for the production facility from selling the 171 excess of electricity directly on the day-ahead market. In order to explore the influence of 172 solar irradiation between the winter and summer, and to investigate the impact of PV unit has

- 173 on the cost reduction, four different cases have been simulated: summer and winter week,
- 174 with and without the PV unit.
- 175
- 176



177

178

Fig. 1. Problem formulation: coupling of the market and the production facility model.

In this work it is assumed that all environmental variables, such as solar irradiation
and demand schedule are fully known in advance, making this problem mathematically
deterministic.

184 **2.** Methodology

185 2.1. Market model (MM)

186 Day-ahead electricity market aims to find a market clearing price (MCP) of electricity 187 that maximizes the social welfare, i.e. the profit for market bidders: the producers (supply) 188 and the consumers (demand).





190 Fig. 2. Demand and supply orders for one hour in the day-ahead electricity market.

191

In this work a very simple model is applied for modelling of the electricity market, meaning that only simple hourly-based orders are taken into account and there is no market coupling with external markets. The model consists from two separate sub-models with the following objectives:

196

•

finding the MCP which results in maximum social welfare within the optimal
 configuration

maximization of social welfare by finding the optimal configurations

199

200 Mathematically, the first sub-model searches for optimal configuration with binary integer 201 programming. The objective function represents the maximization of social welfare for each 202 hour:

203

 $\max\left(\sum_{id=1}^{Nd} I_{id} \, p_{id} \, q_{id} - \sum_{is=1}^{Ns} I_{is} \, p_{is} \, q_{is}\right)_{t} \tag{1}$

205

The above equation represents the basic objective of the market model as presented in Fig. 2. Variables I_{id} and I_{is} represent binary decision variables and can be only zero or one. They are including or excluding the market bids, represented by multiple of demand/supply specific price *p* and quantity *q*. The only constraint in the modelling is that supply has to be larger or equal to demand, which allows the model to result with a small amount of surplus in supply: 211

212
$$\left(\sum_{i_{s=1}}^{N_s} I_{i_s} q_{i_s} \ge \sum_{i_{d=1}}^{N_d} I_{i_d} q_{i_d}\right)_t$$
(2)

213

Variables I_{id} and I_{is} are binary integers, meaning that they can include or exclude the bids, represented by the multiplication of the price and quantity pairs (p,q), which result in the largest social welfare, represented by the shaded surface in Fig. 2.

217

The second sub-model searches the optimal MCP p_t^{MCP} within the optimal configuration. The objective function can be expressed as:

220

221
$$\max\left(\sum_{id=1}^{Nd} I_{id} \left(p_{id} - p_{t}^{MCP}\right) q_{id} + \sum_{is=1}^{Ns} I_{is} \left(p_{t}^{MCP} - p_{is}\right) q_{is}\right)$$

222

Inputs for market model presented in this work are price-quantity pairs for the each marketplayer.

225 **2.2. Production facility model (PFM)**

The aim of the production facility model is to minimize the running cost of the production facility without violating the delivery schedule and physical constraints for each modelled unit within the production facility, like capacities of thermal storage, products storage, cable capacity towards the market and nominal power of the CHP plant. Each unit can be expressed by a set of simple relations that take into account only the power flows q and the associated cost p. Fig. 1 shows that the production facility running cost is associated to imports of fuel q^{fuel} and import/export of the power flow in exchange with the market $q^{el,imp/exp}$.

233

The CHP unit gives the thermal and electrical power on the output that is directly related to the input fuel and the respective efficiencies:

236

$$237 q_t^{th,CHP} = \eta^{th,CHP} q_t^{fuel} (4)$$

239
$$q_t^{el,CHP} = \eta^{el,CHP} q_t^{fuel}$$
(5)

240

The electricity bus balances the electrical power flows within the production facility andallows no direct storage of electric energy.

243

(3)

244
$$q_t^{el.,imp/\exp} + q_t^{el.CHP} - q_t^{el} - q_t^{PTH} + e_t^{PV} = 0$$
(6)

The supply/demand from the input/output of electric energy, supply from the CHP unit and the PV plant is balanced with electrical demands from the production unit and power-to-heat. Electrical energy can be converted to heat and stored in thermal storage. This power-to-heat is governed by the separate power flow q_t^{PTH} which also needs to be optimized, but without the associated cost, except the one related to the loss of energy due to efficiency related to converting power into heat.

Thermal storage can (TS) be modelled by the inputs and outputs of power flows that have to satisfy the available capacity of the TS. The inputs from CHP unit and power-to-heat are balanced with the state from previous hour and production unit demand

$$257 \qquad 0 \le E_{t-1} + q_t^{th,CHP} + \eta^{PTH} q_t^{PTH} - q_t^{th} \le \overline{E}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

258

The electric energy and the quantity of products being produced inside the production plant is related through the productivity per unit of energy $X^{el, prod}$:

$$262 X^{el, prod} q_t^{el} = n_t^{prod} (8)$$

263

264 Electric and thermal demand for production unit are directly related and thermal demand can265 be expressed as a function of electric demand:

266

267
$$X^{el.,prod} q_t^{el} = X^{th.,prod} q_t^{th} \rightarrow q_t^{th} = \frac{X^{el.,prod}}{X^{th.,prod}} q_t^{el}$$
(9)

268

269 Moreover, the number of units being produced cannot exceed the production unit capacity:

- $\begin{array}{ccc}
 270 \\
 271 & n_t^{prod} \leq \overline{n}
 \end{array} \tag{10}$
- 272

Products storage balances the inputs of newly produced products from the production facility
and outputs of products given by the hourly schedule (demand for products). Number of
products cannot exceed the warehouse capacity.

$$277 \qquad 0 \le N_{t-1} + n_t^{prod} - n_t^{prod,dem} \le \overline{N} \tag{11}$$

From the equations above the objective function for minimizing the PFM running cost and associated constraints can be derived:

282
$$\min\left(C = \sum_{t=1}^{24} \left(p_t^{MCP} q_t^{el,imp/exp} + p_t^{fuel} q_t^{fuel} + p_t^{PTH} q_t^{PTH} \right) \right)$$
(12)

Decision variables are hourly values of: input/output of the power flow exchanged with the market $q_t^{el,imp/exp}$, amount of power-to-heat q_t^{PTH} and power flow from the CHP unit q_t^{fuel} . The optimization is constrained with the following constraints:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta^{TS,in} \eta^{th,CHP} - \frac{1}{\eta^{TS,out}} \frac{X^{el,prod}}{X^{th,prod}} \eta^{el,CHP} \end{pmatrix} q_t^{fuel} - \\
286 \quad -\frac{1}{\eta^{TS,out}} \frac{X^{el,prod}}{X^{th,prod}} q_t^{el,imp/exp} + \\
+ \left(\eta^{PTH} + \frac{1}{\eta^{TS,out}} \frac{X^{el,prod}}{X^{th,prod}} \right) q_t^{PTH} \leq \overline{E} - E_{t-1} + \frac{1}{\eta^{TS,out}} \frac{X^{el,prod}}{X^{th,prod}} e_t^{PV} \\
287$$
(13)

$$-\left(\eta^{TS,in}\eta^{th,CHP}-\frac{1}{\eta^{TS,out}}\frac{X^{el.,prod}}{X^{th.,prod}}\eta^{el,CHP}\right)q_{t}^{fuel}+$$

$$288 \qquad + \frac{1}{\eta^{TS,out}} \frac{X^{el.,prod}}{X^{th.,prod}} q_t^{el.,imp/\exp} - \\ - \left(\eta^{PTH} + \frac{1}{\eta^{TS,out}} \frac{X^{el.,prod}}{X^{th.,prod}}\right) q_t^{PTH} \leq E_{t-1} - \frac{1}{\eta^{TS,out}} \frac{X^{el.,prod}}{X^{th.,prod}} e_t^{PV}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
290 \\
290 \\
291
\end{array} X^{el,prod} \eta^{el,CHP} q_t^{fuel} + X^{el,prod} q_t^{el,imp/exp} - X^{el,prod} q_t^{PTH} \leq \overline{N} - N_{t-1} + n_t^{prod,dem} - X^{el,prod} e_t^{PV} \\
291
\end{array} (15)$$

$$292 - X^{el,prod} \eta^{el,CHP} q_t^{fuel} - X^{el,prod} q_t^{el,imp/exp} + X^{el,prod} q_t^{PTH} \le +N_{t-1} - n_t^{prod,dem} + X^{el,prod} e_t^{PV}$$
(16)

$$294 \qquad X^{el,prod} \eta^{el,CHP} q_t^{fuel} + X^{el,prod} q_t^{el,imp/\exp} - X^{el,prod} q_t^{PTH} \le \overline{n} - X^{el,prod} e_t^{PV}$$

$$(17)$$

295
296
$$-X^{el.,prod} \eta^{el,CHP} q_{\iota}^{fuel} - X^{el.,prod} q_{\iota}^{el.,imp/exp} + X^{el.,prod} q_{\iota}^{PTH} \leq X^{el.,prod} e_{\iota}^{PV}$$
(18)
297

$$\underline{P^{imp/\exp}} \le q_t^{el,imp/\exp} \le \overline{P^{imp/ep}}$$
(19)

$$300 \qquad 0 \le q_t^{fuel} \le \overline{P^{CHP}} \tag{20}$$

$$302 \qquad 0 \le q_t^{PTH} \le \overline{P^{PTH}} \tag{21}$$

(14)

Equations (13) and (14) are limiting the input and output of energy in or out of the thermal storage. Equations (15) and (16) are limiting the input and output of products into or out of the warehouse. Equations (18) and (19) are balancing the electric energy on the electricity bus. Equation (20) and (21) are limiting the energy rate of CHP unit and PTH link to be less or equal to their installed capacity. The minimization problem presented in Equations (12 -21) can be minimized with non-linear programming.

310 2.3. Coupling the MM and PFM

Two models are coupled sequentially, meaning that they are executed independently from each other, but are coupled with the decision variables p_t^{MCP} and $q_t^{el,imp/exp}$ in consecutive iterations. The MCP p_t^{MCP} is output from MM and input to PFM, while $q_t^{el,imp/exp}$ is output from PFM and input to MM. Price at which $q_t^{el,imp/exp}$ is offered in MM also has to be provided. The decision variable $q_t^{el,imp/exp}$ has to be divided into two variables that serve as demand or supply from the MM point of view:

317

318
$$q_{id}^{PF} = \max(q_t^{el,imp/\exp}, 0)$$
 (22)

319

320
$$q_{is}^{PF} = \min(q_{t}^{el,imp/\exp}, 0)$$

321

Above equations state that input of electricity into the factory is seen as additional demand in the MM, while export of electricity in the PFM is seen as additional supply bid in the MM. The scheme of the coupling is given in Fig. 3.

325

(23)



- 326
- 327

Fig. 3. Coupling scheme between MM and PFM.

In first iteration q_{id}^{PF} and q_{is}^{PF} are assumed to be equal to zero, meaning that there is no 329 330 interaction between the PFM and the MM. Then the MM provides the MCP without the influence of PFM. In all consecutive iterations the MM takes into account bids and offers 331 from the PFM, represented by the $(q_{id}^{PF}, p_{id}^{PF})$ and $(q_{is}^{PF}, p_{is}^{PF})$ pairs. The overall coupling 332 333 algorithm is terminated when iteration count reaches the iteration limit number, which has to 334 be set in advance. There is a possibility that convergence of results, which can be monitored 335 for MCP, solution variables, or values of objective function, will not be stable, but oscillating. 336 In order to reduce these oscillations, the under-relaxation of results has been introduced 337 between the iterations for damping the abrupt changes in MCP:

338
$$p_t^{MCP} = URFp_t^{MCP, iter} + (1 - URF)p_t^{MCP, iter}$$

The value of under-relaxation factor in this work is set to 0.5 and the number of iterations isset to 20.

341 2.4. Hypothetical case study setup

A hypothetical case study is used in this work to demonstrate the applicability of thepresented methodology. Four cases will be analysed, as presented in Table 1.

Case	Week no.	Installed PV unit capacity as a percentage of total annual electricity demand	Allowed export to market
WiPV00	1 st	0%	no
WiPV50	1 st	50%	yes
SuPV00	25 th	0%	no
SuPV50	25 th	50%	yes

Table 1 Description of cases within the hypothetical case study.

346

Cases WiPV00 and SuPV00 have with zero percent of installed capacity as a percentage of 347 348 the total electricity demand and production facility is not allowed to offer the excess of 349 electricity to the market. Therefore, from the market point of view, in these cases production 350 facility is only a consumer. It can still have an influence on the price of electricity via the demand bids. On the other hand, in the cases WiPV50 and SuPV50, production facility acts 351 352 like a prosumer (producer and consumer) from the market point of view. In these cases the 353 installed capacity of the PV unit is chosen in such way that it produces 50% of the total 354 annual electricity demand of the production facility.

355

356 Daily schedule for the production facility delivery is given in the following pattern:

357

360

361 The amount of power from the PV unit is directly linked to solar insolation for a given362 location and can be expressed as

363

$$364 e_t^{PV} = A^{PV} \eta^{PV} e_t^{insol,m2} (25)$$

365

The insolation per square meter is obtained from the Meteonorm Software (2015), and corresponds to average of four Croatian major cities: Zagreb, Split, Rijeka and Osijek. The case study assumption is that the CHP unit runs on gas and that the price of gas is constant for a simulated period. The price of gas is given on the basis of energy content. All model parameters for the PFM are given in Table 2.

371

Parameter	Unit	Value	Parameter	Unit	Value
p_t^{fuel}	[eur/kWh]	0.05	$X^{el.,prod}$	[1/kWh]	0.01
$\eta^{{}^{th,CHP}}$	[-]	0.50	$X^{th., prod}$	[1/kWh]	0.02
$\eta^{{}^{el,CHP}}$	[-]	0.35	$p^{_{PTH}}$	[eur/kWh]	0.0
$\eta^{_{PTH}}$	[-]	0.95	$\underline{P^{^{imp/\exp}}}$	[kW]	3 e5
\overline{E}	[kWh]	$\overline{P^{^{CHP}}}\eta^{^{th,CHP}}3h$	$\overline{P^{^{imp/\exp}}}$	[kW]	5 e5
\overline{n}	[1/h]	8.0e3	$\overline{P^{CHP}}$	[kW]	1.00e6
\overline{N}	[-]	12.0e3	$\overline{P^{PTH}}$	[kW]	$\overline{P^{^{CHP}}}\eta^{^{th,CHP}}$
$\eta^{_{PV}}$	[-]	0.15	p_t^{MCP}	[eur/kWh]	from the MM
A^{PV}	[m ²]	calculated	$\eta^{^{TS,in}},\eta^{^{el,CHP}}$	[-]	0.95

372 **Table 2** Inputs for the PFM.

373

From the objective function, Eq. (12), it can be seen that the cost of PTH over the direct offer of electricity to the market has to be defined. In this work it is defined as a cost resulting from the price difference between the MCP and the price of fuel needed for the same thermal input to thermal storage.

$$p_t^{PTH} = p_t^{MCP} - \frac{1}{\eta^{CHP,th}} p_t^{fuel}$$
(26)

378379

Aggregated supply and demand by type, which serve as an inputs for the MM, are obtained from the simulation of Croatia's energy sector obtained in EnergyPLAN (Conolly et al., 2012) and downscaled to 25%, in order to enable the production facility to have comparable energy volumes as the rest of the energy system and to make the influence of production facility interplay with the market more visible. EnergyPLAN provides demand and supply by type in hourly resolution (Novosel et al., 2015b). The inputs are summarized in Table 3.

386

Table 3 Inputs by type for the MM, as obtained from the EnergyPLAN.

Supply		Demand	
Туре	Marginal cost p _{is}	Туре	Offered price p _{id}
	[eur/kWh]		[eur/kWh]
Wind	0.00	Electricity	0.10
PV	0.00	Export (fixed)	0.02
River Hydro	0.005	Export	0.65
Dam Hydro	0.01	Production facility (0.15
		$q_{\scriptscriptstyle id}^{\scriptscriptstyle FM}$)	
CHP	0.055		
Power plants	0.055		
Nuclear	0.025		
Import (fixed)	0.02		

Import	0.07	
Production facility (0.00	
q_{is}^{PF})		

388

Production facility interacts with the MM through the q_{is}^{PF} and q_{id}^{PF} . These flows have the associated prices of 0.0 eur/kWh for supply and 0.15 eur/kWh for the demand bids. In that way it is ensured that bids and offers from the production facility will be taken into account for calculation of MCP. The inputs for the marginal costs on the supply and the offered price on the demand side are chosen arbitrary, but following the logic that inputs from renewables have zero marginal cost. Both the marginal costs and the offered prices are not changed between the hours of simulation.

396 3. Result and discussion

397 **3.1.** Coupling intensity between MM and PFM

398 Convergence of MCP over the iterations for four chosen hours is presented in Fig. 4. The 399 convergence is clearly visible in the case of winter, and oscillation of results occur in summer 400 hours. Probable cause of oscillations is non-linear dependence of MM and PFM through the variable p_t^{MCP} . Oscillations are more visible in the summer hours, where significant amount of 401 402 cheap power from the PV unit is present, and the production facility is in the producer mode 403 influencing the MCP in the next iteration. Despite the oscillations, a trend of MCP 404 convergence is clearly visible, meaning that in winter hours increased demand from the production facility increases the MCP, while in summer week in the case of SuPV50 the MCP 405 406 drops down by half. This is valid for the daytime at noon, since during the night there is no PV source of exporting energy and curves for WiPV50 and WiP00 overlap. Convergence of 407 408 the MCP varies across the hours of simulation.



409 Fig. 4. Convergence of MCP for Monday at midnight and noon for winter and summer week;
 410 convergence is presented as a relative change with respect to the values at the first iteration
 411

411 412

413 Coupling of the production facility (PFM) with the market (MM) model is illustrated in Table 414 4, which represents the ratio between production facility electricity supply and total market 415 supply, as well as the ratio between the production facility electricity demand and the total 416 market demand. The last column represents the ratio between the production facility supply to 417 the market and total PV produced by the production facility. It can be seen that the demand 418 share of PFM on the market, the second column, varies between one third of the total demand, 419 except in the summer, where this ratio drops below one quarter. The drop of the ratio in second column shows the influence of the PV unit on reduction of overall demand on the 420 421 market. Consequently, MCP is also reduced, since PV unit is offered at low p. Supply share of 422 PFM on the market, the first column, is visible only for the case SuPV50, where supply holds 423 a share of less than 10%, enough to reduce the MCP, Fig. 5. The small share can be 424 explained by the fact that majority of the power from the PV unit is used directly for the PFM 425 thermal and electric supply, while only quarter of the PV unit supply has been put to the 426 market.

427

Case	$\sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{is,t}^{PF} \left/ \sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t}^{\sup,MM} \times 100\% \right.$	$\sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{id,t}^{PF} / \sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t}^{dem,MM} \times 100\%$	$\sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{is,t}^{PF} / \sum_{t=1}^{T} e_{t}^{PV} \times 100\%$
	[%]	[%]	[%]
WiPV00	0	34.21	0
WiPV50	0	34.21	0
SuPV00	0	33.54	0
SuPV50	8.14	22.09	22.54

429

Influence of the PFM on the MCP can also be estimated, since production facility in the
prosumer mode is offering its energy, produces in the PV unit, at the zero price, thus reducing
the MCP of the market. The magnitudes of MCP reduction are different in winter and summer
weeks, as it can be seen in Fig. 5.

434



435

436
437 The lowering of the MCP is more visible in the summer than in the winter week, since during
438 the summer there is more solar irradiation and consequently more power production from the

439 PV unit.

440 **3.2.** Influence of PV on production running costs

441 Results presented in Fig. 6 show that running costs are substantially lower if PV unit is part of

- the production facility.
- 443



444 445

Fig. 6. Relative running costs between cases 50PV and 00PV.

446

The influence of the production from the PV unit is substantial, since in combination with market exchange it reduces the need for imports and enables extra income with market sales. The aggregated power flows for all cases is presented in Fig. 7. Comparison between the cases PV00 and PV50 for both the summer and winter week shows that power produced from the PV unit is rather used for reduction of external supply, like import from the market (q_d^{FM}) and CHP unit ($q^{el,CHP}$), than for direct sale to the market (q_s^{FM} .). Aggregated demand for products (q^{el}) is the same for all cases, since it does not depend on supply mix.





Fig. 8 shows running costs of the production facility, as well as sells to the market, expressed in monetary units. It can also be seen that net savings in production facility running costs is directly related to the amount of energy from the PV unit, indicating that for the current configuration majority of PV energy at zero marginal cost was used directly in the production facility, instead of being placed on the market. On the other hand, magnitude of savings between the cases WiPV50 and WiPV00 is lower than the ones between SuPV50 and SuPV00, which is directly proportional to the amount of irradiation collected by the PV unit, which is larger during the summer week.





The storage activity difference between the PV50 and PV00 cases is presented in Fig. 9, where qualitatively different behaviour between the cases can be observed.



476

477
478 It can be seen that for both cases storage levels for both the thermal storage and the warehouse
479 are filled from zero to 100%, emptying during the night when MCP is lower and filling in

480 during the day, when MCP is higher.

481 **4.** Conclusion

This work presents the coupled modelling approach for optimization of production facility running costs and maximization of social welfare through the MCP in the market modelling. The hypothetical case study has been presented, in which production facility demand was a combination of thermal and electric with presence of PV unit and market model was based on electrical energy balance for Croatia obtained from EnergyPLAN. Results show that the methodology presented in this work can capture the interplay between the two models, providing an estimation on expected running costs if production facility acts on a market as

489 the prosumer or only as the consumer. It is shown that both the MCP of electricity and 490 production facility running costs can be lowered if PV unit is a part of the production facility 491 energy supply. Storage dynamics is also different if PV is present, meaning that both the 492 thermal and products storage activity is also responsible for offsetting the higher values of 493 MCP. However, convergence of the results has to be improved, since oscillations of the 494 resulting MCP is present over the iterations. Oscillations are most likely a result of non-linear 495 relation between the MM and the PFM and should be regulated only with under-relaxation 496 factor and number of iterations.

497 **References**

- Alahäivälä, A., Heß, T., Cao, S., Lehtonen, M., 2015. Analyzing the optimal coordination of a
 residential micro-CHP system with a power sink. Appl. Energy 149, 326–337.
 doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.03.116
- Brand, L., Calvén, A., Englund, J., Landersjö, H., Lauenburg, P., 2014. Smart district heating
 networks A simulation study of prosumers' impact on technical parameters in
 distribution networks. Appl. Energy 129, 39–48. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.04.079
- Cardenas, J. A., Gemoets, L., Ablanedo Rosas, J.H., Sarfi, R., 2014. A literature survey on
 Smart Grid distribution: An analytical approach. J. Clean. Prod. 65, 202–216.
 doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.09.019
- 507 Chofreh, A.G., Goni, F.A., Shaharoun, A.M., Ismail, S., Klemeš, J.J., 2014. Sustainable
 508 enterprise resource planning: imperatives and research directions. J. Clean. Prod. 71,
 509 139–147. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.01.010
- Connoly, D., Lund, H., Mathiesen, B., Pican, E., Leahy, M., 2012. The technical and
 economic implications of integrating fluctuating renewable energy using energy storage.
 Renew. Energy 43, 47-60. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2011.11.003
- 513 Ferruzzi, G., Cervone, G., Delle Monache, L., Graditi, G., Jacobone, F., 2016. Optimal
 514 bidding in a Day-Ahead energy market for Micro Grid under uncertainty in renewable
 515 energy production. Energy 106, 194–202. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2016.02.166
- Hadera, H., Harjunkoski, I., 2013. Continuous-time batch scheduling approach for optimizing
 electricity consumption cost, Computer Aided Chemical Engineering. Elsevier B.V.
 doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-63234-0.50068-3
- 519 Hadera, H., Harjunkoski, I., Sand, G., Grossmann, I.E., Engell, S., 2015. Optimization of steel

- 520 production scheduling with complex time-sensitive electricity cost. Comput. Chem. Eng.
- 521 76, 117–136. doi:10.1016/j.compchemeng.2015.02.004
- Ho, W.S., Hashim, H., Lim, J.S., 2014. Integrated biomass and solar town concept for a smart
 eco-village in Iskandar Malaysia (IM). Renew. Energy 69, 190-201.
 doi:10.1016/j.renene.2014.02.053
- Klemeš, J.J., Varbanov, P.S., Huisingh, D., 2012. Recent cleaner production advances in
 process monitoring and optimisation. J. Clean. Prod. 34, 1–8.
 doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.04.026
- Klemeš, J.J., Varbanov, P.S., Pierucci, S., Huisingh, D., 2010. Minimising emissions and
 energy wastage by improved industrial processes and integration of renewable energy. J.
 Clean. Prod. 18, 843–847. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2010.02.028
- Kostevšek, A., Klemeš, J.J., Varbanov, P.S., Čuček, L., Petek, J., 2014. Sustainability
 Assessment of the Locally Integrated Energy Sectors for a Slovenian Municipality. J.
 Clean. Prod. 88. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.04.008
- Laveyne, J.I., Zwaenepoel, B., Eetvelde, G. Van, 2014. Increasing Economic Benefits by
 Load-Shifting of Electrical Heat Pumps 39, 1513–1518. doi:10.3303/CET1439253
- 536 Meteonorm Software, 2015. http://www.meteonorm.com/
- Novosel, T., Perković, L., Ban, M., Keko, H., Pukšec, T., Krajačić, G., Duić, N., 2015a.
 Agent based modelling and energy planning Utilization of MATSim for transport
 energy demand modelling. Energy 92, 466-475. 10.1016/j.energy.2015.05.091
- Novosel, T., Ćosić, B., Pukšec, T., Krajačić, G., Duić, N., Mathiesen, B., Lund, H., Mustafa,
 M., 2015b. Integration of renewables and reverse osmosis desalination Case study for
 the Jordanian energy system with a high share of wind and photovoltaics. Energy 92,
- 543 270-278. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2015.06.057
- 544 Ochoa, C., van Ackere, A., 2015. Winners and losers of market coupling. Energy 80, 522–
 545 534. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2014.11.088
- Ottesen, S.Ø., Tomasgard, A., Fleten, S.E., 2016. Prosumer bidding and scheduling in
 electricity markets. Energy 94, 828–843. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2015.11.047
- Perković, L., Novosel, T., Pukšec, T., Ćosić, B., Mustafa, M., Krajačić, G., Duić, N., 2016.
 Modeling of optimal energy flows for systems with close integration of sea water
 desalination and renewable energy sources: Case study for Jordan. Energy Convers.

551 Manag. 110, 249–259. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2015.12.029

- Salpakari, J., Lund, P., 2016. Optimal and rule-based control strategies for energy flexibility
 in buildings with PV. Appl. Energy 161, 425–436. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.10.036
- 554 Shrouf, F., Ordieres-Meré, J., García-Sánchez, A., Ortega-Mier, M., 2014. Optimizing the
- 555 production scheduling of a single machine to minimize total energy consumption costs.

556 J. Clean. Prod. 67, 197–207. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.12.024

- Verleden, K., Verhelst, B., Desmet, J., Vandevelde, L., 2011. Electrical balancing potential in
 Belgian residential installations. IEEE PES Innov. Smart Grid Technol. Conf. Eur. 1–6.
- Yong, J.Y., Klemeš, J.J., Varbanov, P.S., Huisingh, D., 2015. Cleaner Energy for Cleaner
 Production: Modelling, Simulation, Optimisation and Waste Management. J. Clean.
 Prod. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.10.062
- Zare Oskouei, M., Sadeghi Yazdankhah, A., 2015. Scenario-based stochastic optimal
 operation of wind, photovoltaic, pump-storage hybrid system in frequency- based
 pricing. Energy Convers. Manag. 105, 1105–1114. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2015.08.062

- 0,0

580 Figure and Table captions

- 581 **Fig. 1.** Problem formulation: coupling of the market and the production facility model.
- 582 Fig. 2. Demand and supply orders for one hour in the day-ahead electricity market.
- 583 **Fig. 3.** Coupling scheme between MM and PFM.
- Fig. 10. Convergence of MCP for Monday at midnight and noon for winter and summer
 week.
- 586 **Fig. 11.** MCP in winter and summer week.
- 587 **Fig. 12.** Relative running costs between cases 50PV and 00PV.
- 588 Fig. 13. Production facility electricity balance; values above zero line are directing towards
- the bus; values below the zero line are directing away from the bus.
- 590 Fig. 14. Production facility running costs (above the zero line) and sales to the market (below
- 591 the zero line).
- 592 Fig. 15. Products and storage dynamics, PV50 vs. PV00.
- 593
- 594 **Table 1** Description of cases within the hypothetical case study.
- 595 **Table 2** Inputs for the PFM.
- **Table 3** Inputs by type for the MM, as obtained from the EnergyPLAN.
- 597 **Table 4** Aggregated coupling ratio between MM and PFM.