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Abstract: Among the regulatory policies, feed-in tariffs (FIT) and renewable portfolio standards (RPS) are the most popular to promote the development of renewable energy power industry. This paper uses system dynamics (SD) to establish models of long-term development of China’s biomass power industry under FIT and RPS schemes, and provides a case study by using scenario analysis method. The model, on the one hand, not only clearly shows the complex logical relationship between the factors but also reveals the process of coordination between the two policy tools in the development of the industry. On the other hand, it provides a reference for scholars to study similar problems in different countries, thereby facilitating an understanding of biomass power’s long-term sustainable development pattern under FIT and RPS schemes, and helping to provide references for policy-making institutions. The results show that in the perfect competitive market, the implementation of RPS can promote long-term and rapid development of China’s biomass power industry given the constraints and actions of the mechanisms of RPS quota proportion, the TGC valid period, and fines, compared with FIT. At the end of the paper, policy implications are offered as references for the government.
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1. Introduction
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1.1 Background

Countries around the world have proposed various policies to promote the development of renewable energy, because renewable energy policies can significantly contribute to the expansion of domestic industrial activities in terms of sustainable energy [1]. Among the regulatory policies, feed-in tariffs (FIT) and renewable portfolio standards (RPS) are the most popular. More than 60 countries and regions worldwide have implemented one or other of the two policies [2]. FIT and RPS have common attributes, in that both are policy tools with dual characteristics of government intervention and market regulation.

FIT policy, represented by China, South America, and most European countries, is a scheme designed to accelerate investment in renewable energy technologies. It is a government-led regulatory mechanism that requires power grid enterprises to buy electricity from renewable energy producers at government-specified prices. In the early stages of renewable energy development, it ensured the sale of renewable energy at a protected price, ensuring that the high costs of electricity generation associated with certain renewable energy technologies do not prohibit the development and use of those technologies, eliminating the usual uncertainties and risks of renewable energy [3]. The goal of the FIT is to offer cost-based compensation to renewable energy producers, providing them with price certainty and long-term contracts that help finance renewable energy investments [4].

RPS policy, represented by the United Kingdom, Belgium, and multiple states in the USA, is a main promotion scheme of a quota obligation on electricity suppliers to supply an increasing proportion of their electricity from renewable sources [5]. It is structured as a quantity regulation, letting the market determine a reasonable price for renewable energy power. In this approach, governments set targets or quotas to ensure that power grid enterprises purchase a certain market share of capacity or generation of electricity coming from renewable energy sources. In most cases, governments create tradable green certificates (TGC) to track the fulfillment of quotas [6]. The competitive market determines the transaction price. The advantage of RPS policy is
that it is a framework policy that is easy to integrate with other policy measures and can be implemented in conjunction with the FIT.

Renewable electricity production is in China at present supported by a FIT support scheme. Taking China’s biomass power as an example, the National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC) issued the *Notice on Improving Feed-in Tariff of Biomass Power* in July, 2010, to standardize biomass power prices within the whole country [7]. The main contents of the notice cover two aspects: one of these is that biomass power FIT would be applied at 0.75 yuan/kWh for all the power plants, and the other is that the biomass power price cost sharing system would be implemented continually. However, the current situation is likely to change in the future. Along with the economic transformation and adjustment of its industrial structure, China has implemented new power system reforms. The NDRC issued the *Notice on Trial Implementation of Renewable Energy Tradable Green Certificate Issuance and Voluntary Subscription Trading System* on January, 18th, 2017 [8]. The notice stipulates that the wind power and photovoltaic power sectors trial RPS policy from July 1st, 2017, and that all renewable energy resources must subscribe to TGC from January 1st, 2018. The introduction of RPS policy will greatly change the biomass power industry, which is an important industry for resource-saving and eco-friendly society in China, and there are many important problems worth studying, such as the direction of the development of biomass power in the long term under the two policy schemes, potential problems that may arise during the development process, and future policy-making.

1.2 Literature review

Many scholars have built various models to study the renewable energy power industry under FIT and RPS schemes. Some scholars have used multi-objective programming approaches to serve the decision makers in the renewable energy industry. Ref. [9] emphasizes a method that integrates the backward dynamic programming algorithm and Least-Squares Monte Carlo method to assess the optimal
levels of FIT for photovoltaic power generation industry in China. Ref. [10] quantitatively compares the impact of RPS and FIT on renewable energy power industry via a dynamic long-term capacity investment model, which includes various objects and constraints. Some scholars have used bottom up models. Ref. [11] develops a long-term consumption forecasting model to study the influence of FIT variables on energy industry in Italy. Ref. [12] analyzes the potential of renewable energy for power generation under RPS scheme in Pakistan using a bottom up type of long term energy system based on the MARKAL framework. Other models have also been used. Ref. [2] establishes a two-stage model to compare the affect of FIT and RPS on renewable energy power industry. Ref. [6] examines the relative effectiveness of FIT and RPS in promoting wind power industry’s development using non-linear econometric and statistical model with panel data.

Numerous system dynamics (SD) simulation models built by scholars have been developed and applied successfully to a variety of problems related to energy planning and management [13]. Ref. [14] simulates the TGC price dynamics of a market designed to support an aggressive mandate for wind power generation in the northwestern USA. Ref. [15] describes the conceptual development of the SD model of U.S. energy supply and demand, and its use in analyzing national energy policy issues. Ref. [16] shares reflections on why SD practitioners have been successful in energy power industry. Ref. [17] uses SD models to study various aspects of security of energy supply faced by the Swiss electricity market. Ref. [18] establishes a SD model to analyze the regulation and intervention in the markets affect the long-term prospect for the secure supply of gas in Argentina. Ref. [19] addresses SD models considered for the assessment of policy options in the natural gas industry in Colombia, which focus on both modeling and policy, specifically with respect to industry sustainability, and also on environmental impacts.

1.3 Rationale and structure of the paper

In the existing literature, scholars have presented various methods to provide
useful analysis for renewable energy industry’s development under FIT and RPS schemes. However, the dynamics of development of the renewable energy industry are complex. Most of the literature examines the static impact of a single factor on renewable energy power industry’s development, and few examples visually indicate the complex relationship between various important factors and long-term renewable energy power industry’s development. Thus, our goal is to fill this gap. In this paper, we synthetically consider various important factors with the analysis of the existing literature, and use SD to establish models of long-term development of China’s biomass power industry under FIT and RPS schemes. It should be noted that in the countries with mature energy policies and a sound energy system, some countries implement one of the FIT and RPS schemes, and others implement the two schemes at the same time but never on the same energy power industry. However, China is now in the stage of power system reform, the FIT scheme is implemented to encourage the development of biomass power generation industry, while RPS scheme is implemented to realize the institutional change of biomass power industry from government subsidy policy to a mandatory system in which the government policies and the market mechanism work together. In other words, in view of the current situation of China’s renewable energy power system, the market mechanism of biomass power industry will gradually transfer from FIT scheme to RPS scheme rather than the RPS scheme immediately replaces the FIT scheme without a perfect TGC trading system (Figure 1 shows the changing process of the market mechanism of China’s biomass power generation, and China is now in the stage of market mechanism transferring from FIT to RPS scheme) [8]. Thus, the contents of this paper are divided into two parts, one part is the development of China’s biomass power industry under FIT scheme, and the other is the development of China’s biomass power industry under FIT and RPS integrate scheme. The model not only clearly shows the complex logical relationship between the factors but also reveals the process of coordination between the two policy tools in the industry’s development. In addition, the paper studies the development of China’s biomass power industry by using scenario analysis model. The models proposed in this paper can provide a
reference for scholars to study development of biomass power industry, thereby facilitating an understanding of biomass power’s long-term sustainable development pattern under FIT and RPS schemes and helping to provide references for policy-making institutions. The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 establishes the models of development of biomass power industry under FIT and RPS schemes. Section 3 carries out data analysis, presents the results of simulations of different scenarios, and conducts a sensitivity analysis. Section 4 is the discussion, and conclusions and policy implications are shown in Section 5.

2. Methodology

SD is a systems modeling and dynamic simulation methodology for the analysis of dynamic complexities in socio-economic and biophysical systems with long-term, cyclical, and low-precision requirements [20]. Through the complex relationship between the various elements of the system, SD establishes a relatively effective model, which can achieve the predetermined goal and meet the predetermined requirements. Based on the principle of system thinking and feedback control theory, SD helps understand the time-varying behavior of complex systems [21]. The development of the renewable energy power industry under FIT and RPS represents a dynamic system that contains a range of factors, including investment, cost, installed capacity, quota, TGC price, and TGC demand and supply, shown in Figure 2. These factors affect and restrict each other and determine the behavior mode of TGC suppliers and demanders (for details, please see Ref. [14]). Development of the renewable energy power industry under FIT and RPS involves multivariable, high order, and nonlinear, dynamic feedback complex systems, with obvious SD characteristics. Although other types of quantitative modeling can be used for the impact analysis, the SD model, which has the advantage of solving dynamic problems, can better simulate the process of development of the renewable energy power industry [22].
2.1 Theoretical framing analysis

FIT and RPS policies are the two instructional tools guiding investors’ confidence and direction for the renewable energy power industry. In the process of renewable energy power industry’s development, the introduction and implementation of FIT and RPS first lead to the change of investment sentiment, which is the investors’ enthusiasm, and then affect the new investment, thereby affecting the industrial scale and industry’s profits, which are the most important evaluation indicators of the development of the industry. We can see that investors’ enthusiasm is very important for the industry development, thus, the theoretical framing of the model in this study analyzes the main factors influencing the investors’ enthusiasm under FIT and RPS schemes, as shown in Figure 3. We use the installed capacity of biomass power to represent its industrial scale in the figure.

(1) FIT module

To encourage the investment to the development of the renewable energy power industry under FIT, the government subsidizes the electricity price of renewable energy power through developing an appropriate proportion of the long run marginal cost of renewable energy power [23]. This part of the subsidy price is a premium price, which directly determines the on-grid prices of renewable energy power. The FIT scheme improves investors’ enthusiasm for developing renewable energy projects. On the one hand, FIT scheme can make renewable energy power compete in the market at a lower on-grid price to ensure that power grid enterprises acquire renewable energy power in priority [24]. On the other hand, it can ensure that renewable energy power investors legitimately recover the cost of investment. Thus, the FIT is a main factor affecting the investors’ enthusiasm.

FIT scheme ensures investment and revenue of biomass power industry. However, with the growing scale of the industry, various construction costs, land occupation costs, raw materials and fuel costs, human resources costs, and loans gradually increase within the construction of biomass power projects. The profits of biomass power industry continuously change, which can not only directly affect the
development of the industry but also influence short-term investment of construction projects. Investors will adjust the new investment in the next period according to changes of profits. It reveals that industry’s profit is a main factor affecting the investors’ enthusiasm.

(2) FIT and RPS integrate module

The implementation of FIT and RPS integrate scheme relies on FIT policy, that is, FIT ensures the initial investment and industry scale when RPS implementing at early stage according to the above analysis, which lays a good foundation for the TGC market transaction scale. TGC refers to a certificate of renewable energy generation mode, which can be tradable and honored as a currency. TGC system is a market-based subsidy scheme designed to promote renewable energy power by prescribing the RPS quota proportion, which is a critical policy variable reflecting government policy objectives [25]. In this market, traditional power plants and power grid enterprises (TGC demanders) that purchase green certificates undertake designated RPS quota proportion. The renewable energy power plants (TGC suppliers) that sale green certificates can trade with TGC demanders on the basis of the renewable energy power generating capacity. In general, one kWh of electricity can be converted to one unit of TGC. The supply and demand of TGC determine the TGC price in the trading market. Besides, TGC has its valid period. TGC suppliers need to sell TGCs, and TGC demanders need to turn TGCs in RPS before expiration. Thus, TGC valid period affects the amount of TGC sold to demanders. To ensure the implementation of RPS, the government will punish either TGC suppliers or demanders who do not fulfill their quota obligations by setting a fine.

Within the implementation of RPS, the formation of TGC trading market affects the development of biomass power industry. The revenue of biomass power plants is not only from electricity sales but also from TGC sales, which is determined by TGC price and amounts of TGC sold to demanders. The change of revenue affects the industry’s profits. In addition, according to microeconomics theory, TGC price increases when TGC demand (amount of TGC purchases) is greater than supply (amount of TGC sales). In this situation, the investors hold that selling TGC is
profitable, and invest new biomass power projects, and vice versa. It shows that TGC
price affects investors’ enthusiasm, thereby influencing new investment and the
development of biomass power industry.

2.2 Model design

At the beginning of model design, we define the biomass energy of our study.
Different regions or countries have different definitions of biomass energy that can be
generated. For example, the raw material for biomass power generation in Europe is
the biodegradable part of different types of waste according to the “Renewable
Sources” European Directive 2001/77/CE. China uses natural plants, poultry manure,
and organic waste from urban and rural areas for biomass power generation according
to the Renewable Energy Law (2006). Agriculture as one of the largest industries in
China provides a rich source for biomass resources [26]. As the residue of wood
harvesting in agriculture and the forestry industries, crop straw accounts for
approximately 60% of the total biomass resources in China [27]. The future
development of biomass energy resources is likely to continue and expand from the
traditional agriculture and forestry residues into areas as poultry excrement, urban
garbage and biological liquid fuels [28]. The resource amounts and availability of
biomass energy in China is shown in Ref. [28] in detail.

To facilitate the theoretical study and establishment of the model, there are
several assumptions in the process of model establishment: 1) The market is a perfect
competitive market, that is, the market traders are rational economic people, and
supply and demand determines the transaction price. 2) Do not consider the
technological progress, that is, FIT and unit cost do not change with time. 3) Do not
consider the impact of tail gas on the environment, that is, the residents do not hinder
but accept the construction of biomass power plants.

2.2.1 Model under FIT scheme

Based on the above analysis, we believe that the development of biomass power
industry under FIT is mainly affected by FIT level and industry’s profits. This study sets the variables showing the cumulative results to state variables (shown in boxes), the variables showing the changing rate of state variables to rate variables (shown with double triangles), and the rest of the relevant variables to auxiliary variables according to the characteristics of the factors [22]. The flow graph is a good tool for modeling the cause and effect relationships between various components of the SD model. A flow graph of the development of biomass power industry under FIT scheme is established in this paper using Vensim software, as shown in Figure 4. The directions of the arrows indicate the influence interaction, and the impact of the FIT level and industry’s profits on the development of the industry is stressed via boldface and thick line.

There are approximately twenty control functions in this flow chart that are used to express the quantitative relationships between parameters. Due to the limited length of the article, only the main formulas and significant functional relationships of the impact of the FIT level and industry’s profits on the development of biomass power industry in the flow chart are enumerated, as follows. Interested readers can collect all the necessary information from Refs. [29,30] to completely understand the model under FIT scheme.

\[ s_i = \frac{(FIT + \alpha)}{LMC_{\text{biomass}}} \]  
(1)

\[ p_i = IP \times \epsilon \]  
(2)

\[ IC_{\text{expected}} = (s_i + p_i) \times IC_{\text{cumulative}} \times \varphi \]  
(3)

\[ IC_{\text{cumulative}} = \int (IC_{\text{new}} - ED) dt + IC_{\text{cumulative}_0} \]  
(4)

Where:

- \( s_i \) is the impact of subsidy price on investment,
- \( LMC_{\text{biomass}} \) is the long run marginal cost of biomass power,
- \( p_i \) is the impact of industry’s profits on investment,
- \( IP \) is industry’s profits,
IC_{expected} is the expected installed capacity to construct under FIT,

IC_{cumulative} is the cumulative installed capacity,

IC_{new} is the newly-added installed capacity,

ED is equipment depreciation,

IC_{cumulative_0} is the initial value of the cumulative installed capacity when time equals zero,

α, ε, and ϕ are economic parameters.

s_i can be seen as a comparative advantage over long LMC_{biomass}, and it is positively correlated with FIT levels, as shown in formula (1). IP directly determines investors’ investment strategies, and p_i is positively related to the profits, as shown in formula (2) [29]. Both s_i and p_i can be seen as the proportion of investment in the next period of the construction plan with IC_{cumulative}, thus IC_{expected} is shown as formula (3). The biomass power projects need to be operational after the construction period, thus, we use the delay function in Vensim to represent the newly-added installed capacity, which is DELAY FIXED (CP, construction period, 0). IC_{cumulative} is the cumulative value of the difference between the newly-added installed capacity and ED each year, as shown in formula (4), where ED is calculated by the average depreciation method.

\[ C_b = C_{b0} \times IC_{cumulative}^{-\theta} \]  

(5)

Where,

C_b is the biomass cost per unit of power generation,

C_{b0} is the initial value of the biomass cost per unit of power generation,

θ is the learning rate index of cumulative installed capacity in the biomass cost per unit of power generation.

The price of biomass is changing, which leads to the fluctuation of raw materials
and fuel costs, and with the expansion of industry scale, the biomass cost per unit of power generation is decreasing gradually [31]. The decreasing biomass cost with the expansion of industry scale can be quantified by learning rate of industrial development, which is estimated by learning curve model [32]. Thus, the biomass cost per unit of power generation is shown in equation (5) (for details, please see Ref. [31])

2.2.2 Model under FIT and RPS integrate scheme

Based on the above analysis, we believe that the development of biomass power industry under RPS scheme is mainly affected by FIT level, industry’s profits, and TGC price. A flow graph of development of biomass power industry under RPS scheme is established, as shown in Figure 5, where, the impact of FIT level, industry’s profits, and TGC price on the industry’s development is stressed via boldface and thick line.

There are approximately forty control functions in this flow chart, and only the main formulas and significant functional relationships of the impact of TGC price on the development of biomass power industry and the process of TGC fluctuation in the flow chart are enumerated, as follows. Interested readers can collect all the necessary information from Refs. [14,33,34] to completely understand the model under RPS scheme.

\[ t_i = \left( AP + \eta \right) / LMC_{biomass} \]  
\[ IC_{expected} = (s_i + p_i + t_i') \times IC_{cumulative} \times \delta \]  
\[ TGC_{sales} = f / m \times \left( TGC_p / TGC_{p_0} \times TGC_{hp} \right) \]  
\[ TGC_{purchases} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } TGC_{hp} > TGC_t \\ f / m \times \left( TGC_{p_0} / TGC_p \times \left( TGC_t - TGC_{hd} \right) \right) & \text{if } TGC_{hd} \leq TGC_t \end{cases} \]  
\[ TGC_{pf} = -TGC_a \times \lambda / t_{fp} \]

Where,

\[ t_i \] is the impact of TGC price on investment,
\( AP \) is TGC annual price,

\( IC'_{\text{expected}} \) is the expected installed capacity to construct under RPS,

\( t'_i \) is the impact of TGC price on investment after adjustment,

\( TGC'_{\text{sales}} \) is the expected TGC sales amount,

\( f \) is fine,

\( m \) is the maximum value of probable TGC price,

\( TGC_p \) is TGC price,

\( TGC_{p_0} \) is the initial value of TGC price when time equals zero,

\( TGC_{hp} \) is TGC held by biomass power plants,

\( TGC_{\text{purchases}} \) is the expected amount of TGC purchases,

\( TGC_{hd} \) is TGC held by demanders,

\( TGC_r \) is TGC turned in for RPS,

\( TGC_{pf} \) is TGC price fluctuation,

\( TGC_o \) is TGC oversupply,

\( t_{fp} \) is adjustment time of TGC price fluctuation,

\( \eta, \delta, \) and \( \lambda \) are economic parameters.

\( t_i \) can be seen as a comparative advantage over \( LMC_{\text{biomass}} \), which is similar to \( s_i \), and, as mentioned above, the higher the TGC price, the greater the enthusiasm of investors; thus, \( t_i \) is positively correlated with \( TGC_p \). In addition, investors use \( AP \), a relatively stable price, as a reference for the next period of investment [35]; thus, \( t_i \) is shown in formula (6). \( IC'_{\text{expected}} \) is similar to formula (3), as shown in formula (7). As there is a time difference between a TGC price signal and a new biomass power project starting to produce energy, \( t'_i \) is shown by using a delay
function in Vensim, as $\text{DELAY1} \left(t, \text{adjustment time of TGC price trend} \right)$. Newly-added installed capacity and cumulative installed capacity are the same as those under FIT.

In the TGC market, $TGC_{sales}$ is based on $TGC_{hp}$ and is affected by two aspects of $f$ and $TGC_p$. On the one hand, $TGC_{sales}$ changes as the $TGC_p$ changes, that is, biomass power plants plan the sales amount by taking the ratio of the current $TGC_p$ to $TGC_{p_0}$ as a reference [14]. When based on marginal cost price, $TGC_{p_0}$ is the difference between $LMC_{biomass}$ and the long run marginal cost of traditional power.

On the other hand, as $f$ set by the government is generally higher than $m$, TGC suppliers would rather sale more TGC than accept punishment [33]. To show the promotion effect of a fine, we set $f/m$ as a proportion representing the more amount of TGC sales based on the initial sales amount of biomass power plants. Thus, $TGC_{sales}$ is shown in formula (8). Similarly, $TGC_{purchases}$ is shown in formula (9), which is a conditional function shown as

$$\text{IF ELSE THEN} \left(TGC_{hd} > TGC_i, 0, f/m \times TGC_{p_0} / TGC_p \times (TGC_i - TGC_{hd}) \right)$$

in Vensim. $TGC_{hd}$ is the difference between TGC sold to demanders and $TGC_i$, where TGC sold to demanders is shown by using extremal function as $\text{MIN} \left( \text{MAX} \left( TGC_{ed}, TGC_{purchases} \right), \text{MAX} \left( TGC_{es}, TGC_{sales} \right) \right)$ in Vensim ($TGC_{ed}$ and $TGC_{es}$ are the amount of expired TGC of TGC demanders and suppliers, respectively). $TGC_i$ is determined by electricity demand and RPS quota proportion each year. As mentioned above, TGC supply and demand directly determines the TGC price changes: the greater the supply of TGC, the higher the TGC price. Thus, $TGC_{pf}$ is negatively correlated with $TGC_o$, as shown in formula (10) [36].

2.3 Validation of dynamic models
SD models are causal models, suitable for analysis and evaluation of the policy in a period of time, rather than a precise numerical prediction at a time [37,38]. Consistent with this assertion, the key purpose of our developed SD models is to assist us in the assessment and analysis of biomass power industry sector. Furthermore, all the models which produce the outcomes based on the right structure should be tested its validity. Without appropriate validity testing of the model, it is hard for anyone to buy in the claims of the study [39]. Therefore, we followed validation methods and steps that the SD community subjects their models to according to Refs. [40,41]. Both the structural (shown as follows) and behavior validity procedures (shown in the analysis of the results) are applied to SD models. It is noted that the validation methods and steps in Refs. [40,41] are suitable for all SD models, and directly used by us for a certain case study in the following contents.

2.3.1 Boundary adequacy

Figure 6 summarizes the major endogenous and exogenous variables in the models. Consistent with the purpose of the development of biomass power industry, all the major aggregates: investment, capacity, profits, costs, and TGC price are generated endogenously. Electricity demand, FIT, construction and equipment factors, RPS mechanisms, long run marginal costs, rate, and adjustment times are exogenous variables.

2.3.2 Structure verification

The structure verification of the models are tested by two aspects. One of them is the specific case-China’s biomass power industry data (or available knowledge about the real system) shown in Section 3, and the other are sub-models/structures of the existing models of the domain shown in Table 1.

2.3.3 Dimensional consistency

The dimensional consistency test requires testing all mathematical equations in
the models, and ensuring that the units of variables in each equation are consistent. We have used “Unit Test” in Vensim and found that the dimensional consistency passed the test. We take formula (1) as an example, the value of $\alpha$ is estimated based on the effect of FIT implementation in China. We considered all 34 locations (except for Hong Kong, Macao, and Taiwan) of biomass power plants, and the relation among the development of biomass power generation, the long run marginal cost of biomass power, and the FIT at each of these provinces were obtained to estimate the value of $\alpha = -0.55$. Now if we do the dimensional analysis of formula (1) using “Unit Test”, we can have $[\text{dimensionless}] = \left[ \frac{\text{yuan/kWh}}{\text{yuan/kWh}} \right] = [\text{dimensionless}]$. Thus, not only the value of $\alpha$ is based on the existing knowledge of the real system but also the formula is dimensionally consistent.

2.3.4 Parameter verification

The selection of parameter values determines the validity and feasibility of the model outcomes. The values in this study are sourced from the existing knowledge and numerical data form case-China’s biomass power industry data. The detailed description is given in Section 3.

2.3.5 Extreme condition test

We set (i) both FIT and RPS quota as 0, and (ii) construction delay to a very large number as several extreme conditions. We have found that installed capacity, investment and industry profits gradually reduced and close to zero in these cases, shown as Figure 7. It reveals that the output of the models is in line with the actual situation under extreme conditions, and the models we produced passes the extreme condition test and their validity is enhanced.

2.3.6 Structurally oriented behavior test

In this test, the behavioral sensitivity of the models are evaluated, which are
shown in sensitivity analysis of Section 3 in detail.

In summary, the structure of SD models of biomass power industry development under FIT and RPS schemes were exposed to all the six tests for overall structural validity. Based on these evaluations, we have strong confidence in the credibility of our scenario-based conclusions.

3. Data, simulation results and analysis

3.1 Data

To facilitate the study of the dynamic development, the temporal resolution of the model needs to be small. This study assumes that the step size is 1 month. At present, each country’s TGC contract period usually ranges from 3 to 10 years. To study the impact of policy on the long-term development of the industry, this study considers the actual situation in China, and assumes that the simulation time is 10 years, or 120 months, and that the start time is January, 2016. The key parameters and their practical initial values in the study are shown in Table 2. Most of the data are collected from the China Statistical Yearbook, a survey of the data from the China Electricity Council and National Energy Administration. The initial value of the RPS quota proportion of 1.3% is the proportion of biomass power generating capacity represented in the total electricity consumption in January, 2016. As the RPS quota proportion of China’s biomass power will reach at least 5% we estimate in 2025 according to NDRC [42], its growth rate is set as 1.13% each month. As China’s long-term electricity demand growth rate is approximately 3% each year [43], it is set as 0.25% each month. The key parameters and their assumed values in the study are shown in Table 3. According to Ref. [44], the learning rate index of cumulative installed capacity in the biomass cost per unit of power generation is 0.48. As the maximum value of the probable TGC price is approximately twice the long run marginal cost of biomass power [36], we set it as 1.2 yuan/kWh.

3.2 Simulation results
The simulation of the development of China’s biomass power industry under FIT and RPS schemes will be operated based on the SD models in Figure 4 and Figure 5. We set up the three following scenarios of FIT for comparative study. Scenario A is a practical situation, with a subsidy rate of about 30% relative to the long run marginal cost of biomass power, while Scenario B and C are comparative scenarios, with subsidy rates of 35% and 40%, respectively.

Scenario A: FIT is 0.75 yuan/kWh
Scenario B: FIT is 0.78 yuan/kWh
Scenario C: FIT is 0.81 yuan/kWh

The simulation results of the development of China’s biomass power industry under FIT scheme are shown in Figure 8. We can see that, starting from the commencement of operation, the expected installed capacity to construct, cumulative installed capacity, and industry’s profits continue to grow steadily under three FIT levels, with increases in the level of subsidy directly correlated with increases in the speed of growth. Under the three FIT levels, the cumulative installed capacities will approach 25.5 GW, 30.6 GW, and 36.7 GW, respectively, and the biomass power industry’s profits will reach ¥57.1 billion, ¥70.9 billion, and ¥87.5 billion, respectively, in 2025.

We verify the behavioral validity of the model under FIT scheme in this part by comparing the results of the simulation with the Chinese government’s planning values. As the industry planning of China’s biomass power is up to 2020, we compare the data in 2020 shown in Table 4. As the technological progress is not considered in the simulation, the planning value may higher than the simulation results. Since the model is not intended for forecasting but rather for policy analysis, the errors in installed capacity and profits growth rate are of little concern, as it will not affect the relative efficacy of policies [40]. As a result, it is fair to conclude that the model under FIT scheme, a model used for policy analysis rather than forecasting purposes, accurately replicates the actual data.

The simulation results of the development of China’s biomass power industry under RPS scheme are shown in Figure 9. By comparing the results of TGC price
with the related literature [14,33,35,36], we find that the overall trend of TGC prices is an initial increase followed by a decrease and that the maximum TGC price is less than the fine level. This proves that our simulation results are consistent with those of other scholars.

First, we analyze the practical situation, namely Scenario A. We can see from the figure that construction of the TGC market begins in 2016–2020 (Time from 0 to 60), during which period, within the context of the continuing growth in electricity demand and the government’s requirement for the RPS quota ratio, there is always TGC excess demand in the market and the TGC price will rise steadily. The growth of the TGC price causes two changes. First, investors’ enthusiasm grows, with the result that new biomass power plants will access the market. On the other hand, the revenue of biomass power plants increases. This causes steady growth of the expected installed capacity to construct, cumulative installed capacity, and industry’s profits.

With the construction of the TGC market and the expansion in scale of the biomass power industry, the electricity demand increases steadily and the generating capacity of biomass power grows fast. In addition, the effect of a fine contributes to increasing TGC purchases and sales. On the other hand, TGC demanders and biomass plants use the TGC held by themselves and the amount of expired TGC to adjust the amount of TGC in the market. Thus, the market interplay between TGC demanders and biomass power plants gradually intensifies from 2021 (Time=61) and begins to fluctuate violently, while TGC excess demand decreases, and TGC price, the expected installed capacity to construct, cumulative installed capacity, and industry’s profits continue to grow.

With the further fast expansion of the biomass power industry, fluctuating excess demand for TGC gradually changes into oversupply. The TGC price reaches a maximum of 0.88 yuan/kWh in 2024 (Time=96) and then begins to decline rapidly. Due to the delayed effect of the TGC price signal on new biomass power projects, investors do not immediately reduce their investment in new biomass power projects in 2024. Thus, the expected installed capacity to construct and the cumulative installed capacity both still grow rapidly. However, the rapid decline of the TGC price
and the growth of construction costs causes the profits of the biomass power industry to increase slowly. Finally, the cumulative installed capacity and industry’s profits approach 76.9 GW and ¥273 billion, respectively, in 2025.

We verify the behavioral validity of the model under RPS scheme in this part. As China has not yet implemented RPS, there is no practical data for comparison. However, on the one hand, as mentioned above, simulation results of TGC price are consistent with those of other scholars. On the other hand, according to the experience of other countries, the installed capacity will reach the target ahead of time if RPS can be well implemented. The simulation results of our model are consistent with the fact. It reveals that the model under RPS scheme, a model used for policy analysis rather than forecasting purposes similar to that under FIT scheme, accurately reflects the actual development trend.

Second, we conduct a comparative analysis using three scenarios. When the TGC market is in the TGC excess demand phase, the higher the subsidy price, the greater the enthusiasm of investors, the greater the expected installed capacity to construct and industry’s profits, the more rapid growth of the cumulative installed capacity of biomass power, the greater the TGC supply, the lower the TGC price while the easier to balance TGC demand, and more quickly reaching the maximum TGC price. Moreover, we find that the higher the subsidy price, the smaller fluctuation of market interplay between TGC demanders and biomass power plants. When TGC excess demand changes into oversupply, the TGC price begins to drop. We find that the higher the subsidy price, the lower the TGC price, the slower the growth of both expected installed capacity to construct and cumulative installed capacity of biomass power, and the slower the growth of biomass power industry’s profits. Although the high subsidy price contributes to increase investors’ enthusiasm, the too-low TGC price caused by high subsidy price leads to a reduction in the TGC market transaction activity, thereby reducing the investors’ enthusiasm. Through the contrast, we can see that a high subsidy price is propitious to the industry’s development in the TGC excess demand phase while a high TGC price is conductive to the industry’s development in the TGC oversupply phase.
In summary, we draw the following three conclusions from the simulation results. First, China’s biomass power industry develops faster, increases in scale, and profits more with the constraints and actions of RPS quota proportion, TGC valid period and fines under RPS scheme. Second, the subsidy price is negatively correlated with the TGC price in industry’s development. Third, the promotion effect of FIT on new investment in the TGC excess demand phase is stronger than that in the TGC oversupply phase. In contrast, the promotion effect of TGC price on new investment in the TGC oversupply phase is stronger than that in the TGC excess demand phase.

3.3 Sensitivity analysis

As mentioned above, policy makers set up mechanisms of RPS quota proportion, TGC valid period, and fine, to encourage industry’s development under RPS scheme. Various values of the three mechanisms will have different effects on industry’s development, and policy makers will develop their initial values accordingly. On the one hand, these values lead to different power plants’ market behavior decision-making of RPS, and then make the TGC achieve balance. On the other hand, the TGC equilibrium strategy in turn makes the RPS scheme more adaptable, and its performance is the dynamic adjustment and adaptation of RPS quota proportion, TGC valid period, and fine. Then, the TGC equilibrium strategy becomes the common belief of all the power plants, and the RPS scheme will be strengthened. Thus, it is necessary to study the scientific setting of RPS quota proportion, TGC valid period, and fine level for the current stage of China’s biomass power generation market. We set the FIT of the biomass power as 0.75 yuan/kWh in this section.

3.3.1 RPS quota growth rate

We set the RPS quota proportion of China’s biomass power in 2025 as 5%, 5.5% and 6%; that is, the RPS quota growth rate is set at 1.13%, 1.21%, and 1.28%, respectively, each month as Scenarios D, E, and F, respectively. The simulation results are shown in Figure 10. We can see that the higher the RPS quota growth rate, the
higher the TGC price, the greater the expected installed capacity to construct and profits of the biomass power industry, with the increases being fast and steady, and the more rapid growth of the cumulative installed capacity. This is because higher RPS quota proportion results in greater TGC demand, increased ease of promotion of market TGC transactions, and increased investor enthusiasm, thereby promoting industry’s development.

3.3.2 TGC valid period

We set 12 months, 36 months, and 60 months of the TGC valid period as Scenarios G, H, and I, respectively, in this section. The simulation results are shown in Figure 11. The figure shows, first, that the longer the TGC valid period, the lower the TGC price, the smaller the magnitude of TGC price fluctuation, and the slower the growth of expected installed capacity to construct, cumulative installed capacity, and profits of the biomass power industry. This is because increases in the TGC valid period increases not only the amount of TGC that can be held by the transactors but also the length of time that it can be held and the amount that can be sold, thus being helpful for transactors to deal with long-term risk of the TGC price, and resulting in the lower TGC price and slower it rises and falls. Second, the figure also shows that the change of the TGC valid period has no significant effect on expected installed capacity to construct, cumulative installed capacity, and profits of the biomass power industry. As a mechanism that can flexibly adjust the transaction volume at different times, the TGC valid period has little effect on the total amount of TGC transactions and, thus, has no significant effect on development of the biomass on power industry.

3.3.3 Fine level

Setting the fine level scientifically is an effective way to make the power plants follow the RPS scheme, which is conducive to promoting the TGC strategic choice of power plants, thereby increasing the effectiveness of the RPS scheme and TGC trading system. We set 1.3 yuan/kWh, 1.5 yuan/kWh and 1.7 yuan/kWh of fine level
as Scenarios J, K, and L, respectively, in this section. The simulation results are shown in Figure 12. We can see that the higher the fine, the greater the market incentive effect, the more active the market, the higher the TGC price, the greater the enthusiasm of investors, and the more rapid the development of the biomass power industry. However, a too high fine level causes the biomass power industry to develop too fast, resulting in TGC oversupply and a rapid fall in TGC price. Moreover, the higher fine leads to the faster the rate of decline, which results in the rapid decline of the growth of biomass power industry’s profits. Thus, although a high fine level can stimulate market transactions and promote industry’s development, it will lead to greater fluctuations in the TGC price, which increases the risks of market transactions and is not conducive to the growth of biomass power industry’s profits.

4. Discussion

To facilitate the theoretical study, the study sets some assumptions in the modeling process. However, in the process of policy implementation, many uncertain factors, such as the assumptions, have complex impacts on the development of China’s biomass power industry. In this section, we will discuss several assumptions set in the study.

4.1 Imperfect competition market

In general, the electricity market has not been an ideal perfect competitive market for a long time. An imperfect competitive market cannot fully realize information symmetry and maximize the efficiency of resource allocation. Moreover, the transaction price is not directly determined by supply and demand, and the market price signal cannot accurately adjust the behavior of traders, eventually resulting in market failure. China’s electricity market, for example, is mainly dominated by five power generation groups, the China state grid, and the southern power grid company, although NDRC has issued policies to break the electricity market’s monopoly and establish a perfect competitive market in the 13th Five-Year Plan power reform
This study shows that RPS can help to promote the development of China’s biomass power industry in the perfect competitive market, when compared with FIT. In contrast, Refs. [48,49] study the effect of FIT and RPS on electricity market in an oligopoly market, and show that the access threshold of the power industries is high, the traditional power enterprises form a monopoly, renewable energy power enterprises find it difficult to access the market, the transaction price is distorted, and FIT is more effective than RPS in promoting the development of the renewable energy in an imperfect competition market. Thus, the degree of market competition directly determines the policy effects of RPS for the development of China’s biomass power industry.

4.2 Technological progress

Technological progress is an important factor affecting industrial development. With the continuous operation of new biomass power plants, related supporting technologies of biomass power generation have come to maturity [50]. Technical progress, such as circulating fluidized bed, water-cooled vibrating grate furnace and other industrial technologies, reduces the costs of biomass power infrastructure construction, operation, and maintenance, in addition to other costs [31,50]. Thus, it reduces the long run marginal cost of generating biomass power in China, increases industry’s profits, and improves industry’s development under FIT [51,52]. The decline in long run marginal cost reduces the dependence of the biomass power industry on subsidy prices. Thus, the government will also reduce the FIT level and subsidy price at intervals [53]. This study shows that the reduction in FIT and subsidy price contributes to the long-term development of the biomass power industry under RPS. Overall, technical progress has a positive effect on the development of China’s biomass power industry under FIT and RPS.

4.3 Environmental conflicts

China’s biomass power generation is mainly based on the direct combustion of straw and on waste incineration [28]. As the rapid increase in straw and municipal
solid waste generation coupled with the lack of space for new landfill sites, China has
a strong demand for biomass power [54,55]. However, because of the possibility that
harmful materials, such as dioxin, carbonaceous material and levoglucosan-like
species, may be emitted into the air and then jeopardize the residents’ health, the
construction of biomass power plans often meet resistance from residents who fear
negative environmental impacts [56,57]. Although the technological progress can help
to solve the problems, the residents still hope that the biomass power projects will not
sit in the vicinity of their residential areas no matter how good the technology is [58].
The construction of power plants need more support of residents because of rapid
development of biomass power industry when implementing RPS. Lack of reasonable
strategies to solve the contradiction between residents and the government may lead
to serious conflicts resulting in discontinuing, reduction both of industry’s profits and
investors’ enthusiasm, an insufficient TGC supply, and imbalanced market supply and
demand, which will, ultimately, seriously affect the development of the biomass
power industry.

5. Conclusion and policy implications

This paper establishes SD models and analysesthe development of China’s
biomass power industry under the FIT and RPS schemes. The simulation results show
that in the perfect competitive market, the implementation of RPS can promote
long-term and rapid development of China’s biomass power industry given the
constraints and actions of the mechanisms of RPS quota proportion, the TGC valid
period, and fines, compared with FIT. Then the paper conducts a sensitivity analysis
of the three mechanisms, and finally discusses several assumptions set in the study for
critical comments against current situation. In summary, some policy implications in
this paper are given as follows when implementing RPS policy.

First, at the beginning of RPS implementation, policy makers should continue
implementing FIT to give biomass power subsidies. When the supply and demand in
the TGC market tends to balance, policy makers can either gradually reduce or cancel
the subsidy price. This will contribute to the sustainable development of China’s biomass power industry.

Second, to promote the development of biomass power industry, policy makers can, on the one hand, appropriately increase the RPS quota proportion, the TGC valid period and fine level. In particular, the fine level should not be too high. On the other hand, on the basis of continuous technological progress, policy makers should look for adequate strategies to go beyond the end of pipeline conflicts with residents, and try to influence the behavior of them.

Third, to improve the effectiveness of RPS policy, policy makers should actively promote reform of the power system, establish a perfect competitive market, and improve relative market mechanisms as soon as possible.

This paper notes some limitations that are still to be improved upon. Future studies will consider more realistic factors, such as the inflection point of electricity demand load forecasting, the auxiliary policy, the environmental constraints, and other uncertain factors, to generate a more scientific and accurate simulation of the development of biomass power industry.
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Figure 3 The theoretical framing of biomass power industry development model

Figure 4 The flow graph of biomass power industry development under FIT scheme
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Figure 8 The simulation results of China’s biomass power industry development under FIT scheme.
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Figure 9 The simulation results of China’s biomass power industry development under RPS scheme
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Figure 10: The sensitivity analysis of RPS quota growth rate
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Figure 11 The sensitivity analysis of TGC valid period
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Figure 12 The sensitivity analysis of fine level
The highlights are as follows:

- The models are based on the affect of policies for investors’ enthusiasm.
- System dynamics is used to analyze complex system of industry’s development.
- The models are suitable for similar problems in different countries.
- The results reveal a long-term sustainable development of the industry.